

Before Starting the CoC Application

You must submit all three of the following parts in order for us to consider your Consolidated Application complete:

1. the CoC Application,
2. the CoC Priority Listing, and
3. all the CoC’s project applications that were either approved and ranked, or rejected.

As the Collaborative Applicant, you are responsible for reviewing the following:

1. The FY 2024 CoC Program Competition Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) for specific application and program requirements.
2. The FY 2024 CoC Application Detailed Instructions which provide additional information and guidance for completing the application.
3. All information provided to ensure it is correct and current.
4. Responses provided by project applicants in their Project Applications.
5. The application to ensure all documentation, including attachment are provided.

Your CoC Must Approve the Consolidated Application before You Submit It

- 24 CFR 578.9 requires you to compile and submit the CoC Consolidated Application for the FY 2024 CoC Program Competition on behalf of your CoC.
- 24 CFR 578.9(b) requires you to obtain approval from your CoC before you submit the Consolidated Application into e-snaps.

Answering Multi-Part Narrative Questions

Many questions require you to address multiple elements in a single text box. Number your responses to correspond with multi-element questions using the same numbers in the question. This will help you organize your responses to ensure they are complete and help us to review and score your responses.

Attachments

Questions requiring attachments to receive points state, “You Must Upload an Attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.” Only upload documents responsive to the questions posed—including other material slows down the review process, which ultimately slows down the funding process. Include a cover page with the attachment name.

- Attachments must match the questions they are associated with—if we do not award points for evidence you upload and associate with the wrong question, this is not a valid reason for you to appeal HUD’s funding determination.
- We must be able to read the date and time on attachments requiring system-generated dates and times, (e.g., a screenshot displaying the time and date of the public posting using your desktop calendar; screenshot of a webpage that indicates date and time).

1A. Continuum of Care (CoC) Identification

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
- 24 CFR part 578;
- FY 2024 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
- Section 3 Resources;
- PHA Crosswalk; and
- Frequently Asked Questions

1A-1. CoC Name and Number: CT-505 - Connecticut Balance of State CoC

1A-2. Collaborative Applicant Name: Connecticut Department of Mental Health and Addiction Services

1A-3. CoC Designation: CA

1A-4. HMIS Lead: Connecticut Coalition to End Homelessness

1B. Coordination and Engagement–Inclusive Structure and Participation

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
- 24 CFR part 578;
- FY 2024 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
- Section 3 Resources;
- PHA Crosswalk; and
- Frequently Asked Questions

1B-1.	Inclusive Structure and Participation–Participation in Coordinated Entry.	
	NOFO Sections V.B.1.a.(1), V.B.1.e., V.B.1f., and V.B.1.p.	
	In the chart below for the period from May 1, 2023 to April 30, 2024:	
1.	select yes or no in the chart below if the entity listed participates in CoC meetings, voted—including selecting CoC Board members, and participated in your CoC’s coordinated entry system; or	
2.	select Nonexistent if the organization does not exist in your CoC’s geographic area:	

	Organization/Person	Participated in CoC Meetings	Voted, Including Electing CoC Board Members	Participated in CoC’s Coordinated Entry System
1.	Affordable Housing Developer(s)	Yes	Yes	Yes
2.	CDBG/HOME/ESG Entitlement Jurisdiction	Yes	Yes	Yes
3.	Disability Advocates	Yes	Yes	Yes
4.	Disability Service Organizations	Yes	Yes	Yes
5.	EMS/Crisis Response Team(s)	Yes	Yes	Yes
6.	Homeless or Formerly Homeless Persons	Yes	Yes	Yes
7.	Hospital(s)	Yes	Yes	Yes
8.	Indian Tribes and Tribally Designated Housing Entities (TDHEs) (Tribal Organizations)	Yes	No	Yes
9.	Law Enforcement	Yes	No	Yes
10.	Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual, Transgender (LGBTQ+) Advocates	Yes	Yes	Yes
11.	LGBTQ+ Service Organizations	Yes	Yes	Yes
12.	Local Government Staff/Officials	Yes	Yes	Yes
13.	Local Jail(s)	Yes	No	Yes
14.	Mental Health Service Organizations	Yes	Yes	Yes
15.	Mental Illness Advocates	Yes	Yes	Yes
16.	Organizations led by and serving Black, Brown, Indigenous and other People of Color	Yes	Yes	Yes

17.	Organizations led by and serving LGBTQ+ persons	Yes	Yes	Yes
18.	Organizations led by and serving people with disabilities	Yes	Yes	Yes
19.	Other homeless subpopulation advocates	Yes	Yes	Yes
20.	Public Housing Authorities	Yes	Yes	Yes
21.	School Administrators/Homeless Liaisons	Yes	Yes	Yes
22.	Street Outreach Team(s)	Yes	Yes	Yes
23.	Substance Abuse Advocates	Yes	Yes	Yes
24.	Substance Abuse Service Organizations	Yes	Yes	Yes
25.	Agencies Serving Survivors of Human Trafficking	Yes	Yes	Yes
26.	Victim Service Providers	Yes	Yes	Yes
27.	Domestic Violence Advocates	Yes	Yes	Yes
28.	Other Victim Service Organizations	Yes	Yes	Yes
29.	State Domestic Violence Coalition	Yes	Yes	Yes
30.	State Sexual Assault Coalition	Yes	Yes	Yes
31.	Youth Advocates	Yes	Yes	Yes
32.	Youth Homeless Organizations	Yes	Yes	Yes
33.	Youth Service Providers	Yes	Yes	Yes
	Other: (limit 50 characters)			
34.	CT Department of Labor	Yes	Yes	Yes
35.	US Department of Veterans Affairs	Yes	Yes	Yes

1B-1a.	Experience Promoting Racial Equity.	
	NOFO Section III.B.3.c.	

Describe in the field below your CoC's experience in effectively addressing the needs of underserved communities, particularly Black and Brown communities, who are substantially overrepresented in the homeless population.

(limit 2,500 characters)

CT BOS collaborated w/underserved Black & Brown communities substantially overrepresented in the CoC’s homeless population to design & operate programs that equitably benefit them by: recruiting Steering Committee (SC) & Scoring & Grievance Committee members from those communities; & partnering w/ the Consumer Leadership Involvement Project (CLIP) - made up of people w/lived experience (PLE) of homelessness the majority of whom identify as Black & Brown. CT BOS also established a Strategic Plan for 2023-2027 that specifies actions to address Race Equity, conducts equity data analysis & takes action based on biases or inequities identified. CT BOS continues to prioritize representation from communities of color on the CoC Board (i.e., SC), making significant progress in the past 5 years towards ensuring that it includes representatives of underserved communities – SC is now 36% BIPoC. The SC now has 8 Community Representatives (CRs) who are PLE, 6 of whom are BIPoC. The CoC strongly encourages its 6 Coordinated Access Networks (CANs) to appoint at least 1 BIPoC Steering Committee rep, of their 2 reps – 2 have done so, & we are working with the remaining 4. In 2024, CT BOS added a 4th SC Co-Chair who is a Black PLE to help ensure that CoC leadership reflects the populations overrepresented among people experiencing homelessness (PEH). The racial makeup of CLIP & CRs mirrors PEH in the CoC. They sit on all CoC Committees & provide input into key decisions, such as funding priorities, project rating & ranking factors, governance, policies, & program operating standards, & most recently helped to update the new project scoring tool. The 2024 New Project Scoring Committee was comprised of 60% BIPoC. CT BOS also promotes efforts to effectively address the needs of Black & Brown communities among its member agencies via its monitoring & project review & ranking processes. For example: monitoring assesses equity practices & projects are scored on how they: a) encourage diversity among their board and staff, b) develop partnerships with local organizations that focus on work with marginalized populations, c) analyze program access and outcomes by race and ethnicity, and d) respond to any disparate access/outcomes. CoC RE data analysis is presented at least annually at SC meetings followed by discussion of policies and protocols that may need updating, training needs, etc. One such action was to eliminate the VI-SPDAT & adopt a more equitable standardized assessment.

1B-2.	Open Invitation for New Members.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.a.(2)	
	Describe in the field below how your CoC:	
1.	communicated a transparent invitation process annually (e.g., communicated to the public on the CoC’s website) to solicit new members to join the CoC;	
2.	ensured effective communication and access for persons with disabilities, including the availability of accessible electronic formats; and	
3.	invited organizations serving culturally specific communities experiencing homelessness in your CoC’s geographic area to address equity (e.g., Black, Latino, Indigenous, LGBTQ+, and persons with disabilities).	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1) Public invitations to join the CoC were announced via email blast (to over 500 people) and on the CoC website (www.ctbos.org) at least twice per year. In addition, anyone can join the CoC at any time and sign up for multiple free email listservs via the landing page of the CoC website. All CoC Steering Committee (SC) meetings were and remain open to the public. Meeting dates, times, materials and zoom links were posted on the CoC website to enable public access to all meetings. Each CoC meeting announcement went out to over 500 people. 2) To ensure effective communication with people with disabilities, the CoC: contracted a consultant to review and remediate all electronic documents for accessibility, in accordance with Section 508. The CoC updated and maintains its website to ensure accessibility and posted an accessibility statement on its website describing its commitment to digital accessibility and inviting feedback to improve accessibility. The CoC made interpreters (including sign language), TRS phone services & large print materials available as needed and invited people with disabilities to join the CoC at virtual and in-person public events. 3) As part of its ongoing efforts to address equity, the CoC actively recruits organizations that serve culturally specific communities experiencing homelessness. For example, the CoC expanded seats on its Steering Committee (SC), added Co-Chair seat and targeted outreach to these organizations when filling those seats and when existing seats became vacant. The CoC works with its CANs to recruit from these organizations when appointing at least one of their two designated SC representatives. As a result of this targeted outreach, the CoC has made significant progress in the past 5 years towards ensuring that it includes organizations serving culturally specific communities – The SC is now 36% BIPoC & has 8 PLE Community Representatives, 75% of whom are BIPoC. In addition, 1 of 4 SC Co-Chairs is the leader of an AIDS services organization and proud member of the LGBTQ+ community.

1B-3.	CoC's Strategy to Solicit/Consider Opinions on Preventing and Ending Homelessness.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.a.(3)	

Describe in the field below how your CoC:	
1.	solicited and considered opinions from a broad array of organizations and individuals that have knowledge of homelessness, or an interest in preventing and ending homelessness;
2.	communicated information during public meetings or other forums your CoC uses to solicit public information;
3.	ensured effective communication and access for persons with disabilities, including the availability of accessible electronic formats; and
4.	took into consideration information gathered in public meetings or forums to address improvements or new approaches to preventing and ending homelessness.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1) The CoC solicited & considered input from a broad array of stakeholders via the CoC Steering Committee (SC) and a variety of committees (e.g., Policy and Advocacy, Entry, Outreach and Engagement, HMIS, Grievance, Systems Operations and Scoring). The SC includes 9 state/federal agencies, 12 representatives for 6 different CoC regions, 5 advocacy organizations & 8 community representatives who are people with lived experience of homelessness (PWLEH), including 2 Youth designated seats. The SC held open public monthly meetings. Most committees met monthly. Participants included people with extensive knowledge of homelessness from housing, health, mental health, substance use treatment, education, employment, DV, food insecurity, advocacy, philanthropy, faith, business, government and non-profit sectors and PWLEH. The CoC communicated info via monthly meetings, email listserv and CoC website and sought and received stakeholder and public input on policies, evaluation criteria, program operating standards, priorities for new funding and other key decisions. 2) All CoC meetings were public. SC meetings convened via Zoom. Announcements of public forums & comment opportunities were disseminated through the CANs (600+ recipients) and CoC (500+ recipients) email listservs. All SC meeting agendas, policy/planning documents & minutes were also posted on the website. 3) The CoC posted a commitment to Section 508 compliance on its website, has updated the website and digital content reflecting same, and published documents in accessible format ensuring effective communication w/people with disabilities. Interpreters (including sign language), TRS phone services and large print materials were available as needed. 4) The CoC considered input to address new approaches/improvements as follows: committees (including all listed above) convened stakeholders, synthesized their feedback to the SC (for example, CAN reps gather input from other regional stakeholders and report back); CoC staff compiled feedback & presented to the SC. Examples of changes implemented from input from PWLEH on the CoC's CLIP (Consumer Leadership Involvement Project) Committee include updates to the CoC's Participant Survey and new project scoring criteria.

1B-4.	Public Notification for Proposals from Organizations Not Previously Awarded CoC Program Funding.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.a.(4)	
	Describe in the field below how your CoC notified the public:	
1.	that your CoC will consider project applications from organizations that have not previously received CoC Program funding;	
2.	about how project applicants must submit their project applications—the process;	
3.	about how your CoC would determine which project applications it would submit to HUD for funding; and	
4.	ensured effective communication and access for persons with disabilities, including the availability of accessible electronic formats.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1) CT BOS notified the public about the request for applications by distributing the application for new projects via its website, listserv (500+ persons) & websites & listservs (6K+ recipients) of other statewide organizations. Anyone can subscribe to CoC’s email list via the landing page of the CoC’s website. The funding opportunity was also announced on Facebook. Application instructions (publicly posted on the web) state that “all entities that meet HUD eligibility criteria are encouraged to apply, including those that have not previously received CoC funds”. Technical assistance was provided to ensure the process was accessible to all applicants. CoC staff held a Bidders’ Conference for any interested applicants & information on how to join was posted to the CoC’s website. 2) Written instructions and a live, publicly accessible, webinar (recorded and posted to CoC website) provided a deadline, steps for application submission, information on application requirements, and an opportunity for question/answer to ensure accessibility of the process to all organizations, including those not previously funded. CoC staff also held office hours and provided extensive TA to applicants. Applications for new projects are submitted through an online database specially built for this process. Use of this system is free to any applicant and simply requires a web browser. Assistance and Technical assistance to use this system was provided to all applicants and was reviewed in the RFP webinar. 3) The CoC notified the public about how applications were chosen for submission to HUD in the publicly posted application instructions and scoring rubric posted to the website, and explained in a public webinar, which was also posted to the CTBOS website. 4) The CoC has implemented a plan to ensure accessible communication, posting a statement on the website regarding commitment to Section 508 electronic format accessibility. The website has been remediated and documents posted/published have been reviewed to meet accessibility requirements. The new project application instructions, along with submission instructions were reviewed/remediated for accessibility prior to distribution. The webinar included visual and audio guidance on applicant eligibility, instructions on application completion and submission. Interpreters (including sign language), TRS phone services & large print materials have been available as needed.

1C. Coordination and Engagement

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
- 24 CFR part 578;
- FY 2024 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
- Section 3 Resources;
- PHA Crosswalk; and
- Frequently Asked Questions

1C-1.	Coordination with Federal, State, Local, Private, and Other Organizations.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.b.	
	In the chart below:	
	1. select yes or no for entities listed that are included in your CoC’s coordination, planning, and operations of projects that serve individuals, families, unaccompanied youth, persons who are fleeing domestic violence who are experiencing homelessness, or those at risk of homelessness; or	
	2. select Nonexistent if the organization does not exist within your CoC’s geographic area.	

	Entities or Organizations Your CoC Coordinates with for Planning or Operations of Projects	Coordinates with the Planning or Operations of Projects?
1.	Funding Collaboratives	Yes
2.	Head Start Program	Yes
3.	Housing and services programs funded through Local Government	Yes
4.	Housing and services programs funded through other Federal Resources (non-CoC)	Yes
5.	Housing and services programs funded through private entities, including Foundations	Yes
6.	Housing and services programs funded through State Government	Yes
7.	Housing and services programs funded through U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS)	Yes
8.	Housing and services programs funded through U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ)	Yes
9.	Housing Opportunities for Persons with AIDS (HOPWA)	Yes
10.	Indian Tribes and Tribally Designated Housing Entities (TDHEs) (Tribal Organizations)	Yes
11.	Organizations led by and serving Black, Brown, Indigenous and other People of Color	Yes
12.	Organizations led by and serving LGBTQ+ persons	Yes
13.	Organizations led by and serving people with disabilities	Yes
14.	Private Foundations	Yes
15.	Public Housing Authorities	Yes
16.	Runaway and Homeless Youth (RHY)	Yes
17.	Temporary Assistance for Needy Families (TANF)	Yes
	Other:(limit 50 characters)	
18.		

1C-2.	CoC Consultation with ESG Program Recipients.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.b.	

In the chart below select yes or no to indicate whether your CoC:

1.	Consulted with ESG Program recipients in planning and allocating ESG Program funds?	Yes
2.	Provided Point-in-Time (PIT) count and Housing Inventory Count (HIC) data to the Consolidated Plan jurisdictions within its geographic area?	Yes
3.	Ensured local homelessness information is communicated and addressed in the Consolidated Plan updates?	Yes
4.	Coordinated with ESG recipients in evaluating and reporting performance of ESG Program recipients and subrecipients?	Yes

1C-3.	Ensuring Families are not Separated.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.c.	

Select yes or no in the chart below to indicate how your CoC ensures emergency shelter, transitional housing, and permanent housing (PSH and RRH) do not deny admission or separate family members regardless of each family member's self-reported sexual orientation and gender identity:

1.	Conducted mandatory training for all CoC- and ESG-funded service providers to ensure families are not separated?	No
2.	Conducted optional training for all CoC- and ESG-funded service providers to ensure family members are not separated?	Yes
3.	Worked with CoC and ESG recipient(s) to adopt uniform anti-discrimination policies for all subrecipients?	Yes
4.	Worked with ESG recipient(s) to identify both CoC- and ESG-funded facilities within your CoC's geographic area that might be out of compliance and took steps to work directly with those facilities to bring them into compliance?	Yes
5.	Sought assistance from HUD by submitting questions or requesting technical assistance to resolve noncompliance by service providers?	Yes

1C-4.	CoC Collaboration Related to Children and Youth—SEAs, LEAs, School Districts.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.d.	

Select yes or no in the chart below to indicate the entities your CoC collaborates with:

1.	Youth Education Provider	Yes
2.	State Education Agency (SEA)	Yes
3.	Local Education Agency (LEA)	Yes
4.	School Districts	Yes

1C-4a.	Formal Partnerships with Youth Education Providers, SEAs, LEAs, School Districts.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.d.	

Describe in the field below the formal partnerships your CoC has with at least one of the entities where you responded yes in question 1C-4.

(limit 2,500 characters)

The CT Department of Education (DOE) has been a voting member of the CT BOS Steering Committee (SC) for over 15 years and is represented by Lou Tallarita. This is reflected in the CoC Governance Charter/Bylaws. This structure ensures that the entire CoC is regularly made aware of changes and new resources at DOE. The DOE SC Representative serves as a point person to address any issues with LEAs, Homeless Liaisons and school districts. The DOE and CT BOS's Coordinated Entry System have created 5 strategies for schools & the CoC to collaborate on housing students experiencing homelessness, including: regular connection and communication, shared tools, information sharing, referrals and an exploration of shared funding. The CoC has also established written policies to ensure that homeless children, youth and families are informed of their rights under the McKinney-Vento Education Act and programs are in compliance with ESSA (Every Student Succeeds Act) and created a template for agency-level education policies. CoC programs are monitored on compliance with those policies. The DOE Rep gave input into those policies and provides annual training on these topics to the CoC membership. CT BOS's Coordinated Entry System has MOUs with Head Start, Early Head Start, Birth to 3, the CT Office of Early Childhood (OEC) and the CT State Board of Education (on behalf of the CT State DOE) to ensure the following: 1) the OEC develop a joint referral process and template among local Early Head Start/Head Start programs and LEAs to ensure referral into housing programs as appropriate; 2) Head Start and Birth to Three programs provide children experiencing homelessness with prioritized access and flexibility on enrollment requirements, 3) Head Start and Early Head Start provide a) case management services to Early/Head Start families in receipt of housing vouchers, and b) partner with the state to ensure reciprocal referrals with LEAs; and 4) the CoC will: a) identify and connect Early Head Start and Head Start families experiencing homelessness with available housing, b) provide TA, training and special project development to educational leaders, school districts and agencies supporting children, youth and families experiencing homelessness, c) work collaboratively with the DOE, LEAs and McKinney-Vento liaisons to provide permanent housing solutions to families and children experiencing homelessness.

1C-4b.	Informing Individuals and Families Who Have Recently Begun Experiencing Homelessness about Eligibility for Educational Services.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.d.	

Describe in the field below written policies and procedures your CoC uses to inform individuals and families who have recently begun experiencing homelessness of their eligibility for educational services.

(limit 2,500 characters)

The CoC adopted & regularly updates written policies that summarize educational rights & eligibility for educational services & require all projects, including Coordinated Entry (Coordinated Access Networks (CANs)), the point at which families & individuals who have recently begun experiencing homelessness typically first encounter the homeless system, to inform families with children & youth of those rights & eligibility at intake & as necessary. These policies are informed by & in compliance with the McKinney-Vento Education Act & the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA). The policy requires that all projects serving families & youth have a dedicated educational liaison to coordinate with the local school district & advocate for educational services for participants in their programs, to include: helping participants understand their educational rights; ensuring enrollment in school & early childhood education; ensuring students get access to all eligible services, programs, & extracurricular activities; & ensuring that children & young adults receive required transportation services. The policy also requires that each program: a) take additional actions, such as ensuring that the designated staff person helps with the development of service plans where significant unmet educational needs exist; b) ensures that all children & youth are enrolled in school immediately, even when lacking paperwork normally required, unable to pay fines/fees, or have missed deadlines; & c) ensure young people not required by law to enroll in school are encouraged & assisted to enroll, & families are encouraged & assisted to enroll children in early childhood education programs. The CoC provides a sample educational rights & services policy that all projects are required to adopt. Project sites, including CANs, are required to hang posters in English & Spanish targeted to parents & youth, describing educational rights & providing local contact information for people to assist in accessing services & supports. The CoC provides annual training on these policies & monitors compliance through its monitoring programs. Additionally, Statewide Street Outreach Standards require SO programs, another program through which those newly experiencing homelessness are contacted, to ensure applicable participants understand their educational rights, & assist children & youth to access schools & educational services as appropriate to the population being served.

1C-4c.	Written/Formal Agreements or Partnerships with Early Childhood Services Providers.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.d.	

Select yes or no in the chart below to indicate whether your CoC has written formal agreements or partnerships with the listed providers of early childhood services:

		MOU/MOA	Other Formal Agreement
1.	Birth to 3 years	Yes	Yes
2.	Child Care and Development Fund	No	Yes
3.	Early Childhood Providers	Yes	Yes
4.	Early Head Start	Yes	No
5.	Federal Home Visiting Program—(including Maternal, Infant and Early Childhood Home and Visiting or MIECHV)	Yes	Yes
6.	Head Start	Yes	No
7.	Healthy Start	No	Yes

8.	Public Pre-K	No	Yes
9.	Tribal Home Visiting Program	No	No
	Other (limit 150 characters)		
10.	Department of Children and Family Services, WIC	Yes	No

1C-5.	Addressing Needs of Survivors of Domestic Violence, Dating Violence, Sexual Assault, and Stalking—Collaboration with Federally Funded Programs and Victim Service Providers.
	NOFO Section V.B.1.e.

In the chart below select yes or no for the organizations your CoC collaborates with:

	Organizations	
1.	State Domestic Violence Coalitions	Yes
2.	State Sexual Assault Coalitions	Yes
3.	Anti-trafficking Service Providers	Yes
	Other Organizations that Help this Population (limit 500 characters)	
4.	Victim Service Provider Organizations	Yes

1C-5a.	Collaborating with Federally Funded Programs and Victim Service Providers to Address Needs of Survivors of Domestic Violence, Dating Violence, Sexual Assault, and Stalking.
	NOFO Section V.B.1.e.

Describe in the field below how your CoC regularly collaborates with organizations that you selected yes to in Question 1C-5 to:

1.	update CoC-wide policies; and
2.	ensure all housing and services provided in the CoC's geographic area are trauma-informed and can meet the needs of survivors.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1) The CoC updates CoC-wide policies on an ongoing basis through monthly Steering Committee (SC) meetings. Policy changes may be generated by HUD rules changes, emerging needs in the community, & feedback from providers and persons with lived experience. All SC meetings are open to the public. Participants include the state DV coalition, CT Coalition against Domestic Violence (CCADV), numerous victim services provider (VSP) organizations, some of which run Federally Funded DV programs, & the state sexual assault coalition, the CT Alliance to End Sexual Violence. CCADV & staff from Safe Futures, Federally funded VSPs, are voting members of the SC & are actively involved in recommending & commenting on policy changes. For example, CoC added Joint TH/RRH programs for survivors as allowable new project applications, allowed a different payment standard (more gradual/less burdensome) for DV RRH programs, allowing a slower increase in the victim's share of rent with the intent of preventing either returning to an abuser or relying on an abuser for financial support. For the safety of victims & providers, the CoC allowed DV programs to not use signatures on forms that typically are required (housing stabilization plans, program agreement forms), so names are not on papers that may be seen by an outside provider or the abuser. Any proposed CoC-wide policy changes are reviewed & discussed in monthly SC meetings & disseminated via email & the CoC website. At least a month for comment is allowed before voting on policy changes, allowing for deep involvement of stakeholders, including Federally funded programs & VSPs serving survivors who may not be at SC meetings. 2) The CoC collaborates with Federally funded organizations serving survivors of DV, dating violence, sexual assault & stalking, including CCADV, to ensure that CoC services are trauma informed & meet the needs of survivors through discussions at CoC meetings, cross-trainings on trauma-informed care & ongoing CoC-sponsored technical assistance (TA) & case conferencing provided by experts in trauma-informed care & the needs of survivors. TA & case conferencing are provided as needed to learning collaboratives of providers & focus on trauma-informed interventions to meet survivors' needs. CCADV & the CT Coalition to End Homelessness offer trainings on trauma-informed care for housing service providers & cross training opportunities so both systems understand their mutual work.

1C-5b.	Implemented Safety Planning, Confidentiality Protocols in Your CoC's Coordinated Entry to Address the Needs of Survivors of Domestic Violence, Dating Violence, Sexual Assault, and Stalking.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.e.	
	Describe in the field below how your CoC's coordinated entry addresses the needs of DV survivors by including:	
1.	safety planning protocols; and	
2.	confidentiality protocols.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1)The CoC’s Coordinated Access Network (CAN) Policies and Procedures Manual delineates safety planning protocols to address the needs of DV survivors. Protocols used by all CANs include assessment for safety and immediate referral to CT Coalition Against Domestic Violence (CCADV) to address safety issues and develop safety plans as appropriate. The manual also codifies an agreement for the CAN system and CCADV to cross-train at regular intervals to ensure staff understand the safety concerns of survivors and the steps to take in safety planning. Training is provided at least annually for CAN & provider staff on safety planning. For example, at the 2024 Annual Training Institute (ATI) for staff working across the sector, CT Coalition to End Homelessness (CCEH) & CCADV co-presented “Best Practices for Domestic Violence & Homelessness” which covered: how to recognize signs domestic or dating violence, sexual assault, and stalking; how to develop a safety plan with participants; safety planning protocols for staff to follow; additional safety planning resources. 106 staff attended, including numerous CAN, shelter, outreach & housing project staff. 2) The CAN Policies and Procedures Manual also delineates confidentiality protocols, including detailed steps to ensure the identities, locations and other PPI of survivors remain private and information is kept confidential. Protocols include a) how to navigate the parallel systems of referral in the DV and CAN systems ensuring anonymity, b) maintaining confidentiality without limiting access to programs and services, c) the ability to enter into HMIS anonymously. The manual outlines protocols for DV providers and CANs to collaborate to ensure the safest access to services within each system including agreements to cross-train staff to ensure confidentiality protocols are followed and survivors receive the most comprehensive array of services possible. CCEH and CCADV provide training for CAN & provider staff on the confidentiality and privacy rights of DV survivors per VAWA and CT state law at least annually. For example, the 2024 ATI “Best Practices for Domestic Violence and Homelessness” training covered confidentiality protocols. (see #1 for attendance details). 1 & 2) The CT Department of Housing employs CAN Managers who ensure that CANs are operating in accordance with safety planning and confidentiality protocols outlined in the CAN Manual.

1C-5c.	Coordinated Annual Training on Best Practices to Address the Needs of Survivors of Domestic Violence, Dating Violence, Sexual Assault, and Stalking Survivors.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.e.	

In the chart below, indicate how your CoC facilitates training for project staff and coordinated entry staff that addresses best practices on safety planning and confidentiality protocols:

		Project Staff	Coordinated Entry Staff
1.	Training Occurs at least annually?	Yes	Yes
2.	Incorporates Trauma Informed best practices?	Yes	Yes
3.	Incorporates Survivor-Centered best practices?	Yes	Yes
4.	Identifies and assesses survivors’ individual safety needs?	Yes	Yes
5.	Enhances and supports collaboration with DV organizations?	Yes	Yes
6.	Ensures survivors’ rights, voices, and perspectives are incorporated?	Yes	Yes
Other? (limit 500 characters)			

7.			
----	--	--	--

** nbsp;nbsp;**

1C-5d.	Implemented VAWA-Required Written Emergency Transfer Plan Policies and Procedures for Domestic Violence, Dating Violence, Sexual Assault, and Stalking. NOFO Section V.B.1.e.	
--------	--	--

Describe in the field below:

1.	whether your CoC’s written policies and procedures include an emergency transfer plan;
2.	how your CoC informs all households seeking or receiving CoC Program assistance about their rights to an emergency transfer;
3.	what your CoC requires households to do to request emergency transfers; and
4.	what your CoC does in response to households requesting emergency transfers.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1) CT BOS CoC’s written policies & procedures include an Emergency Transfer Plan (ETP) adapted from the model ETP (HUD-5381). The CT BOS ETP requires all providers & Coordinated Access Networks (CANs) to use consistent, VAWA compliant procedures prioritizing safety & facilitating access to a new unit as needed. All projects, regardless of funding source, are required to follow the ETP. 2) The CoC has adopted a policy that requires the CANs & all CoC, ESG & locally funded homeless services projects to inform all adults seeking or receiving assistance, regardless of known survivor status, of their rights under the ETP. To assist in sharing that information, the CoC created a brief, user friendly notice available in English and Spanish that clearly explains the ETP policies and procedures, including the process for individuals and families to request an emergency transfer. All homeless services projects are required to briefly explain the transfer policy and provide the notice upon application for assistance, at project intake, and at annual recertification. 3) The plan requires households to contact their current housing provider to request an emergency transfer. Participants may request relocation to a different unit, project, provider, CoC, or State. Housing providers may only assess transfer eligibility based on the need identified by the participant and may not consider perceived reliability. What constitutes a safe unit is determined by the participant. Assistance for participants to exercise these rights is available from the housing provider and CAN. 4) The CoC’s CANs, in response to households requesting emergency transfers: a) keep information regarding the location of the household & the transfer request private, b) refer the participant to a safe unit ensuring prioritization over other admissions; b) assist with safety planning prior to & during the move, including ensuring safety planning for potential new unit locations, c) assist the household to move as quickly as possible, honoring client preference and using available resources (e.g. CANs, the state organization providing assistance to DV survivors - CCADV), d) assist with any paperwork, referrals or advocacy necessary, e) keep the household informed of progress, f) assist with the physical move as appropriate and possible, and g) keep records of the emergency transfer on file with the program. The CoC monitors to ensure these protocols are followed.

1C-5e.	Facilitating Safe Access to Housing and Services for Survivors of Domestic Violence, Dating Violence, Sexual Assault, and Stalking. NOFO Section V.B.1.e.	
--------	--	--

Describe in the field below how your CoC ensures households experiencing trauma or a lack of safety related to fleeing or attempting to flee domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, or stalking have safe access to all of the housing and services available within your CoC's geographic area.

(limit 2,500 characters)

To ensure safe access to all housing & services for households experiencing trauma or lack of safety related to DV, the CoC uses 3 primary strategies: 211, its 6 regional Coordinated Access Networks (CANs) & a 24-hour Statewide DV Hotline operated by SafeConnect. 211 maintains regular communication w/ SafeConnect & a designated DV housing advocate attends all local CAN meetings, actively participating in case conferencing of all cases. All DV survivors are included (anonymously) on the State's BNL (By Name List) ensuring victims get equitable access to resources whether they enter via DV provide or a CAN. This ensures that survivors can access the full range of available housing & services as other populations experiencing homelessness. To facilitate access, CAN protocols include screening all clients & referring any w/ indications of DV, dating violence, sexual assault, trafficking or stalking to the Hotline for safety planning & referral. If the Hotline determines that a household is not eligible or cannot be accommodated in the survivor-specific system, or the survivor prefers not to pursue services from that system, the Hotline refers the client to the appropriate CAN for assessment & referral in accordance w/ the same protocols applied to non-survivor applicants adjusted as needed to ensure safety/confidentiality. Survivors consider options & determine what is safest & best aligned w/ their needs/preferences. Survivors can receive assistance from any project for which they are eligible, including those funded by any source. CAN policies explicitly prohibit denial of access due to DV survivor status, ensuring survivors have safe & confidential access to all CAN & victim services, & equal access & choice to seek the full array of housing and services available either through the survivor-specific system or CANs. CAN & HMIS policies & procedures outline protocols to ensure survivor choice while maintaining safety & confidentiality, including the ability to create anonymous HMIS records. To further facilitate safe access to the full array of housing and services for survivors available within our CoCs geographic area, the CANs and CT Coalition Against Domestic Violence participate in regular cross-training to maintain familiarity with safety and confidentiality protocols, referral mechanisms, the full array of services available in each system, and best practices to ensure survivors physical, emotional, safety, privacy & confidentiality needs are met.

1C-5f.	Identifying and Removing Barriers for Survivors of Domestic Violence, Dating Violence, Sexual Assault, and Stalking.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.e.	

Describe in the field below how your CoC ensures survivors receive safe housing and services by:

1.	identifying barriers specific to survivors; and
2.	working to remove those barriers.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1) The CoC ensures that survivors receive safe housing and services by identifying barriers specific to survivors. It does so via the active participation of CT Coalition Against Domestic Violence (CCADV), CT's statewide DV Coalition, and other DV service providers in the CoC's Steering Committee (SC). Additionally, a designated DV housing advocate attends all local CAN meetings & actively participating in case conferencing of all cases to proactively identify barriers specific to survivors. Each CAN has 2 representatives on the SC responsible for elevating systemic barriers encountered at the CAN level to the SC. Each CAN also has a funded backbone agency responsible for submitting quantitative & qualitative data on unmet needs faced by specific subpopulations to the SC for consideration when determining funding priorities. The CoC also requires consumer surveys for all projects including DV Bonus projects, as a part of its annual renewal evaluation process and the CANs also conduct annual surveys of its participants. These surveys ask: a) if participant needs are being met, b) what services are desired but weren't available, c) if the participant has/had the ability to provide program input, d) if there are any language or cultural barriers, and more. The CoC compiles these data for consideration when determining funding priorities, policy adjustments, & training plans. 2) Using information gathered via the methods outlined above the CoC ensures survivors receive safe housing and services by working with relevant stakeholders to remedy any barriers identified. For instance, when barriers faced by youth connected to human trafficking were identified, the CoC developed partnerships with law enforcement to identify & assist those youth. The CoC also provided community education and outreach related to human trafficking and adjusted street outreach and CAN access service days and hours. To address barriers related to the lack of safe temporary and permanent housing for survivors, the CoC prioritized expanding funding for RRH and Joint TH/RRH for survivors. When documentation barriers were determined to be delaying access to RRH for survivors, the CoC developed a fast-track option.

1C-6.	Addressing the Needs of Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual, Transgender and Queer+--Anti-Discrimination Policy and Equal Access Trainings.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.f.	

	1. Did your CoC implement a written CoC-wide anti-discrimination policy ensuring that LGBTQ+ individuals and families receive supportive services, shelter, and housing free from discrimination?	Yes
	2. Did your CoC conduct annual CoC-wide training with providers on how to effectively implement the Equal Access to Housing in HUD Programs Regardless of Sexual Orientation or Gender Identity (Equal Access Final Rule)?	Yes
	3. Did your CoC conduct annual CoC-wide training with providers on how to effectively implement Equal Access in Accordance With an Individual's Gender Identity in Community Planning and Development Programs (Gender Identity Final Rule)?	Yes

1C-6a.	Anti-Discrimination Policy--Updating Policies--Assisting Providers--Evaluating Compliance--Addressing Noncompliance.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.f.	

Describe in the field below:

1.	how your CoC regularly collaborates with LGBTQ+ and other organizations to update its CoC-wide anti-discrimination policy, as necessary to ensure all housing and services provided in the CoC are trauma-informed and able to meet the needs of LGBTQ+ individuals and families;
----	---

2.	how your CoC assisted housing and services providers in developing project-level anti-discrimination policies that are consistent with the CoC-wide anti-discrimination policy;
3.	your CoC's process for evaluating compliance with your CoC's anti-discrimination policies; and
4.	your CoC's process for addressing noncompliance with your CoC's anti-discrimination policies.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1) The CoC adopted a CoC-wide anti-discrimination policy in 2018, & subsequently updated it to include robust guidance for provider agencies on ensuring housing and service provision that is safe, trauma-informed, healthy, affirming, discrimination-free, & meets the needs of persons identifying as LGBTQIA+. The policy contains guidance on topics including terminology, requirements for professionalism, confidentiality, chosen titles/pronouns, gender separated facilities/services, safety, healthcare, & dress codes. It describes sanctions & penalties for violations & a grievance process. Prior to adopting the updated policy, the CoC circulated it among members, including LGBTQIA+ & other organizations, seeking feedback prior to adopting it. Voting members of the SC identify as LGBTQIA+ organizations/persons & encourage the CoC to update policies as needed. Factors impacting this include consumer & provider feedback, & updates to local, statewide, national, &/or best practice policy/guidance. 2)The CoC provided a sample project-level anti-discrimination policy consistent with both the original & updated CoC-wide anti-discrimination policies. The sample project-level CoC policy ensures that persons identifying as LGBTQIA+ receive supportive services, shelter, & housing free from discrimination. The CoC provided annual Equal Access trainings, including overviews of both the CoC-wide & project-level anti-discrimination policies. An LGBTQIA+ identified trainer led the trainings & provided opportunities for questions about implementation of the sample project-level policy. 3) The CoC's monitoring program evaluates project compliance w/ anti-discrimination & Equal Access policies by reviewing agency policies, participant handbooks, case notes, & by interviewing project staff. Per the anti-discrimination policy, participants may file a grievance if they believe their rights have been violated & the CoC will follow up on any noncompliance identified through this process to ensure corrective action (CA). 4) Failure to adhere to anti-discrimination requirements results in a finding. The monitoring team makes recommendations to correct the finding & the provider must submit a Corrective Action plan to the CoC. CoC consultants are available to provide support as agencies work to correct findings. If subsequent monitoring indicates that a project is unable to make the necessary changes, the project's HUD funding will be at risk.

1C-7.	Public Housing Agencies within Your CoC's Geographic Area–New Admissions–General/Limited Preference–Moving On Strategy.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.g.	

You must upload the PHA Homeless Preference\PHA Moving On Preference attachment(s) to the 4B. Attachments Screen.

Enter information in the chart below for the two largest PHAs highlighted in gray on the current CoC-PHA Crosswalk Report or the two PHAs your CoC has a working relationship with—if there is only one PHA in your CoC's geographic area, provide information on the one:

Public Housing Agency Name	Enter the Percent of New Admissions into Public Housing or Housing Choice Voucher Program During FY 2023 who were experiencing homelessness at entry	Does the PHA have a General or Limited Homeless Preference?	Does the PHA have a Preference for current PSH program participants no longer needing intensive supportive services, e.g., Moving On?
CT Department of Housing	61%	Yes-HCV	Yes
Housing Authority of the City of Hartford	39%	Yes-HCV	Yes

1C-7a.	<p>Written Policies on Homeless Admission Preferences with PHAs.</p> <p>NOFO Section V.B.1.g.</p>
	<p>Describe in the field below:</p>
	<p>1. steps your CoC has taken, with the two largest PHAs within your CoC’s geographic area or the two PHAs your CoC has working relationships with, to adopt a homeless admission preference—if your CoC only has one PHA within its geographic area, you may respond for the one; or</p>
	<p>2. state that your CoC has not worked with the PHAs in its geographic area to adopt a homeless admission preference.</p>

(limit 2,500 characters)

1) The CoC has coordinated closely with all PHA’s in the geographic area. Both of the noted PHAs in the CoC, CT Department of Housing (DOH) and the Housing Authority of the City of Hartford have successfully implemented homeless preferences in their HCV programs and a preference for persons moving on from PSH. CT DOH is and has been represented on the CoC Steering Committee (SC) and the Housing Authority of the City of Hartford works and has worked closely with the Coordinated Access Network (CAN) in that area, which has two representatives on the CoC Steering Committee. This has enabled regular and close communication around unmet needs and the continued need for affordable housing resources for those experiencing homelessness. DOH and the CT Department of Mental Health and Addiction Services (DMHAS), the CoC Lead and Recipient of many PSH projects, have also created and implemented a Moving On Policy and Procedure Guide to support and direct CoC PH providers on how to access the Housing Choice Vouchers available to people exiting PH programs via DOH’s homeless preference. Each of the 6 Coordinated Access Networks throughout the CoC has had PHAs as active members to foster those partnerships and improve system-wide ability to meet the need for affordable housing resources for homeless people. PHAs within the BOS region have worked with the CoC and the CANs to develop policies and procedures to verify homeless status and process applications submitted and/or recommended through the local CANs. The CoC has continued to promote the use of Housing Choice Vouchers for Moving On from PH, and DMHAS has done trainings on this topic, which have been available to all CoC recipients and posted to the CoC website. Roundtables have been held to bring together PHAs, providers and government representatives providing a forum for each (CoC and PHA) to understand the other’s system, promoting the development of common goals, providing the steps necessary to implement a preference and some insight as to what to expect once the preference for homeless households has been enacted. 2) N/A.

1C-7b.	Moving On Strategy with Affordable Housing Providers.	
	Not Scored–For Information Only	

Select yes or no in the chart below to indicate affordable housing providers in your CoC's jurisdiction that your recipients use to move program participants to other subsidized housing:

1.	Multifamily assisted housing owners	Yes
2.	PHA	Yes
3.	Low Income Housing Tax Credit (LIHTC) developments	Yes
4.	Local low-income housing programs	Yes
	Other (limit 150 characters)	
5.		

1C-7c.	Include Units from PHA Administered Programs in Your CoC's Coordinated Entry.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.g.	

In the chart below, indicate if your CoC includes units from the following PHA programs in your CoC's coordinated entry process:

1.	Emergency Housing Vouchers (EHV)	Yes
2.	Family Unification Program (FUP)	Yes
3.	Housing Choice Voucher (HCV)	Yes
4.	HUD-Veterans Affairs Supportive Housing (HUD-VASH)	Yes
5.	Mainstream Vouchers	Yes
6.	Non-Elderly Disabled (NED) Vouchers	No
7.	Public Housing	Yes
8.	Other Units from PHAs:	
	Foster Youth to Independence (FYI)	Yes

1C-7d.	Submitting CoC and PHA Joint Applications for Funding for People Experiencing Homelessness.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.g.	

1.	Did your CoC coordinate with a PHA(s) to submit a competitive joint application(s) for funding or jointly implement a competitive project serving individuals or families experiencing homelessness (e.g., applications for mainstream vouchers, Family Unification Program (FUP), other programs)?	Yes
		Program Funding Source
2.	Enter the type of competitive project your CoC coordinated with a PHA(s) to submit a joint application for or jointly implement.	U.S. Dept. of HUD Mainstream Vouchers

1C-7e.	Coordinating with PHA(s) to Apply for or Implement HCV Dedicated to Homelessness Including Emergency Housing Voucher (EHV).	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.g.	

	Did your CoC coordinate with any PHA to apply for or implement funding provided for Housing Choice Vouchers dedicated to homelessness, including vouchers provided through the American Rescue Plan?	Yes
--	--	-----

1D. Coordination and Engagement Cont'd

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
- 24 CFR part 578;
- FY 2024 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
- Section 3 Resources;
- PHA Crosswalk; and
- Frequently Asked Questions

1D-1.	Preventing People Transitioning from Public Systems from Experiencing Homelessness.	
-------	---	--

NOFO Section V.B.1.h.

Select yes or no in the chart below to indicate whether your CoC actively coordinates with the public systems listed to ensure persons who have resided in them longer than 90 days are not discharged directly to the streets, emergency shelters, or other homeless assistance programs.
--

1.	Prisons/Jails?	Yes
2.	Health Care Facilities?	Yes
3.	Residential Care Facilities?	Yes
4.	Foster Care?	Yes

1D-2.	Housing First—Lowering Barriers to Entry.	
-------	---	--

NOFO Section V.B.1.i.

	1. Enter the total number of new and renewal CoC Program-funded PSH, RRH, SSO non-coordinated entry, Safe Haven, and Transitional Housing projects your CoC is applying for in FY 2024 CoC Program Competition.	105
	2. Enter the total number of new and renewal CoC Program-funded PSH, RRH, SSO non-coordinated entry, Safe Haven, and Transitional Housing projects your CoC is applying for in FY 2024 CoC Program Competition that have adopted the Housing First approach.	105
	3. This number is a calculation of the percentage of new and renewal PSH, RRH, SSO non-coordinated entry, Safe Haven, and Transitional Housing projects the CoC has ranked in its CoC Priority Listing in the FY 2024 CoC Program Competition that reported that they are lowering barriers to entry and prioritizing rapid placement and stabilization to permanent housing.	100%

1D-2a.	Project Evaluation for Housing First Compliance.	
--------	--	--

NOFO Section V.B.1.i.

You must upload the Housing First Evaluation attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.
--

Describe in the field below:

1.	how your CoC evaluates every project—where the applicant checks Housing First on their project application—to determine if they are using a Housing First approach;
2.	the list of factors and performance indicators your CoC uses during its evaluation;
3.	how your CoC regularly evaluates projects outside of your local CoC competition to ensure the projects are using a Housing First approach; and
4.	what your CoC has done to improve fidelity to Housing First.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1) The CoC requires all projects to use the Housing First (HF) approach & defines what that means in the CT BOS CoC HF principles, which are aligned with & go beyond HF commitments in project applications. Evaluation of compliance with HF is ongoing & year-round via monitoring of project compliance with Coordinated Access Network (CAN) policies by CANs, data analysis on program entries & exits, & the CoC’s ongoing monitoring program. All vacancies in CoC-funded projects are required to be filled via CANs & CANs require case conferencing (CC) when projects reject applicants or terminate participants to ensure reasons do not conflict with HF. 2) To evaluate fidelity with the HF approach, the CoC considers whether the project: A) Uses prohibited admissions criteria (e.g., income, substance use, criminal history, credit, victimization, eviction record) B) Terminates participants for prohibited reasons (e.g., substance use, reluctance to engage in services, failure to make progress on a service plan, or other things not specified in a lease) C) Prioritizes rapid placement/stabilization in PH (e.g., performance data on exits to PH & length of time from match to housing). 3) The CoC reaches out to each CAN at least annually and CANs provide information about projects not following HF. In 2024, the CANs identified 7 projects. The CoC monitoring program also independently evaluates project compliance with HF by reviewing rejected CAN referrals, leases, policies, participant handbooks, case notes, & service plans. The monitoring team also interviews program staff & participants to assess alignment with HF. Monitoring ensures: the project is entering households according to HF principles and prioritizing placement/stabilization in housing; participation in services is voluntary; & participants are not terminated due to impermissible reasons. 4) To improve fidelity to HF, in 2024, CT BOS: provided training for project direct services & supervisory staff; provided TA for project leaders; notified 7 projects that they were out of compliance w/ HF & at risk of reallocation; issued final warnings to 2 projects; & replaced 1 subrecipient due to ongoing HF noncompliance. The CoC also issued multiple monitoring findings, made recommendations to correct findings & required submission of a corrective action plans to address findings. In the past, the CoC has also reallocated 2 projects in that were not using a HF approach & assisted w/ a change in providers in another project.

1D-3.	Street Outreach—Data—Reaching People Least Likely to Request Assistance.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.j.	

Describe in the field below how your CoC tailored its street outreach to people experiencing homelessness who are least likely to request assistance.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1) CT BOS has developed a well-coordinated CoC-wide street outreach (SO) strategy codified in Statewide SO Standards that were adopted by all funders in 2024. Federal PATH & State funding were also realigned in 2024 in accordance with the standards to ensure a tailored approach to identify, engage & partner with unsheltered people least likely to request assistance. The standards require a focus on canvassing streets, woods, parks, underpasses, abandoned buildings, public transportation, etc. to locate people who may be hidden or reluctant to engage. The standards also require SO projects to continuously build relationships w/ multi-disciplinary partners who provide information on where to find people, make introductions, & encourage service engagement, leveraging the skills, knowledge & relationships that each partner brings. All statewide SO teams, including VA Outreach & other specialized teams (e.g., youth, HIV) meet quarterly to coordinate efforts & share information. An agency is designated by the State in each region to develop a comprehensive outreach plan for that area, update the plan monthly & submit the plan 2x/year to the State. The State contracted a consulting firm to review the plans, provide training & technical assistance, and monitor projects annually to ensure consistency with the standards. Teams are required to identify and engage unsheltered people least likely to request assistance by using assertive engagement, canvassing regularly & coordinating with community partners (e.g., police, libraries, soup kitchens, shelters, hospitals, healthcare workers, faith-based & culturally informed organizations, public works & transit workers). Upon identification of unsheltered persons, teams are required to assess, get clients on the by name list, stay connected until permanent housing is obtained, & use warm hand-offs to support linkages to new providers & to support the client during transitions. Teams are required to use a trauma-informed approach that respects boundaries & builds relationships, to act with urgency to help each person create a housing plan tailored to individual needs & preferences & to use Motivational Interviewing to build motivation to accept help among those who are least likely to request assistance. Outreach workers must remain engaged as necessary to support stabilization in housing. Multi-lingual/cultural workers ensure services are tailored for people w/Limited English Proficiency and/or culturally specific needs.

1D-4.	Strategies to Prevent Criminalization of Homelessness.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.k.	

Select yes or no in the chart below to indicate your CoC's strategies to prevent the criminalization of homelessness in your CoC's geographic area:

	Your CoC's Strategies	Engaged/Educated Legislators and Policymakers	Implemented Laws/Policies/Practices that Prevent Criminalization of Homelessness
1.	Increase utilization of co-responder responses or social services-led responses over law enforcement responses to people experiencing homelessness?	Yes	Yes
2.	Minimize use of law enforcement to enforce bans on public sleeping, public camping, or carrying out basic life functions in public places?	Yes	Yes
3.	Avoid imposing criminal sanctions, including fines, fees, and incarceration for public sleeping, public camping, and carrying out basic life functions in public places?	Yes	Yes

4.	Other:(limit 500 characters)		
	City Council voted for All-in Community Support Models for shelters in which 1st responders usher PEH to low-barrier shelters, service-rich model instead of arresting.	Yes	Yes

1D-5.	Rapid Rehousing–RRH Beds as Reported in the Housing Inventory Count (HIC) or Longitudinal Data from HMIS.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.I.	

	HIC Longitudinal HMIS Data	2023	2024
Enter the total number of RRH beds available to serve all populations as reported in the HIC or the number of households served per longitudinal HMIS data, e.g., APR.	HIC	1,129	1,149

1D-6.	Mainstream Benefits–CoC Annual Training of Project Staff.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.m.	

Indicate in the chart below whether your CoC trains program staff annually on the following mainstream benefits available for program participants within your CoC's geographic area:

	Mainstream Benefits	CoC Provides Annual Training?
1.	Food Stamps	Yes
2.	SSI–Supplemental Security Income	Yes
3.	SSDI–Social Security Disability Insurance	Yes
4.	TANF–Temporary Assistance for Needy Families	Yes
5.	Substance Use Disorder Programs	Yes
6.	Employment Assistance Programs	Yes
7.	Other (limit 150 characters)	
	State Administered General Assistance (SAGA) Cash	Yes

1D-6a.	Information and Training on Mainstream Benefits and Other Assistance.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.m	

Describe in the field below how your CoC:

- | | |
|----|---|
| 1. | works with projects to collaborate with healthcare organizations, including those that provide substance use disorder treatment and mental health treatment, to assist program participants with receiving healthcare services, including Medicaid; and |
| 2. | promotes SSI/SSDI Outreach, Access, and Recovery (SOAR) certification of program staff. |

(limit 2,500 characters)

1)The CoC works with projects to build & enhance collaborations with health & behavioral healthcare programs and connect participants to healthcare services & health insurance, including Medicaid & HUSKY. For example, four health care organizations are voting members of the Steering Committee (SC) & presentations at monthly CoC Steering Committee (SC) meetings and annual training sessions ensure that project staff have the current information they need to connect participants to healthcare services & insurance. CT BOS Coordinates closely with the CT Departments of Mental Health & Addiction Services (DMHAS) & Social Services (DSS), which respectively provide the vast majority of substance abuse & mental health treatment throughout the State & administer Medicaid and HUSKY. Both are voting member of the Steering Committee & provide regular updates & training for project staff. Community Health Center, a Federally Qualified Healthcare Center (FQHC) presented recently on Mpox, the flu and COVID, including means of transmission, prevention/treatment options, & how to discuss those topics with clients & access vaccines and treatments. Through its Coordinated Access Networks (CANs) the CoC has strong connections with additional local FQHCs (e.g., Charter Oak, Cornell Scott) and local DSS offices to facilitate efforts to connect participants to healthcare & health insurance. Teams in each CAN, made up of local hospitals, community service providers, and community health centers, work closely with housing providers to link participants to healthcare and ensure that they are insured. DMHAS Local Mental Health Authorities participate in all regular CAN coordination meetings to promote awareness of and facilitate access to behavioral health services. Results from regular data analyses of program performance consistently show health insurance enrollment rates greater than 90% for participants. 2) CT DMHAS, the CoC Lead, supports a certified SOAR Specialist in each CAN funded through State resources & promotes SOAR certification for project staff. Within the past 3 years, the State was able to add 8 new full-time CAN-based certified SOAR Specialists statewide as demand for the service is high. CT BOS promotes SOAR certification, free SAMHSA online SOAR training, & connections to SOAR services at CoC meetings & trainings for project staff.

ID-7.	Partnerships with Public Health Agencies—Collaborating to Respond to and Prevent the Spread of Infectious Diseases.	
NOFO Section V.B.1.n.		
Describe in the field below how your CoC effectively collaborates with state and local public health agencies to develop CoC-wide policies and procedures that:		
1.	respond to infectious disease outbreaks; and	
2.	prevent infectious disease outbreaks among people experiencing homelessness.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1) In conjunction with CT Dept. of Mental Health & Addiction Services, the CoC's Collaborative Applicant, the CoC develops and maintains up-to-date CoC-wide policies and procedures originally established during the COVID-19 pandemic in collaboration with our partners at the CT Department of Public Health (DPH), municipal public health departments, Federally Qualified Healthcare Centers (FQHCs) and CT Division of Emergency Management & Homeland Security. CoC members are a part of the Command Structure, which meets, as needed, to identify client and provider needs, overcome barriers & promote best practices related to responding to infectious diseases among people experiencing homelessness (PEH). The CoC continues to use guidance from these partner agencies to ensure effective policies & procedures to monitor & respond to infectious disease outbreaks (Mpox, RSV, Flu, TB, Measles, etc.), disseminate information from DPH, the CDC & local public health authorities; deconcentrate shelters; rapidly stand-up non-congregate beds; promptly adjust Coordinated Entry prioritization criteria; expedite housing placements for the most vulnerable; secure and disseminate PPE and disinfecting products; promote the use of measures to prevent disease transmission among staff and clients; and partner with health care providers to promote vaccine & treatment confidence and ensure convenient vaccine & treatment access to project staff and clients. 2) The policies and procedures described above outline steps to take to prevent infectious disease outbreaks among PEH by: deconcentrating shelters; standing up non-congregate beds; expediting housing placements among the most vulnerable; securing and disseminate PPE & disinfecting products; partnering with health care providers to promote awareness of infectious diseases, transmission routes & prevention strategies; and ensuring convenient vaccine access to project participants and staff. Local FQHC's and public health officials coordinate regularly with the six BOS Coordinated Access Networks to ensure information sharing with homeless services providers on how to prevent and respond to all types of infectious disease outbreaks. These partnerships have equipped providers to effectively coordinate prevention services. For example, CoC agencies have developed and revised Infection Control Policies that can now be used to prevent future infectious disease outbreaks among PEH.

ID-7a.	Collaboration With Public Health Agencies on Infectious Diseases.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.n.	

Describe in the field below how your CoC:	
1.	effectively shared information related to public health measures and homelessness; and
2.	facilitated communication between public health agencies and homeless service providers to ensure street outreach providers and shelter and housing providers are equipped to prevent or limit infectious disease outbreaks among program participants.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1) The CoC used a robust public health communications strategy to ensure effective information sharing across the homeless services sector related to public health measures, including written guidance; presentations at public CoC meetings open to & attended by staff across the sector; emails to CoC listserv (550 + recipients); and updates to the CoC website with the most current guidance about infectious disease (ID) outbreaks, prevention & control. CAN case conferencing meetings also served as an opportunity for providers to collectively problem solve & share best practices on public health measures. To ensure the CoC had the latest information to share, the CoC sought/reviewed guidance & available trainings from local & national subject matter expertise (e.g. Community Health Center FQHC, CDC, HUD Exchange Disease Risks & Homelessness Page). The CoC also encouraged public health guidance review/training participation by sector leadership & staff. As a recent example, at a public CoC meeting in Sept 2024 (attended by 80+ sector leaders and staff) an FQHC presented on Mpox, the flu and COVID, including means of transmission, prevention strategies, treatment options, & how to discuss those topics with clients & facilitate access to vaccines and treatments for clients and staff. The CoC also distributed written guidance on these topics to over 500 stakeholders. 2) The CoC facilitated communication between public health agencies & homeless service providers to ensure street outreach, shelter & housing providers are equipped to prevent/limit infectious disease outbreaks among participants. This includes facilitating: public health agency consultation on prevention and mitigation protocols; access to needed supplies & preventive measures such as cleaning supplies, testing, treatment, & vaccinations. The CoCs local Coordinated Access Networks (CANs) have facilitated robust partnerships with the FQHC's in each region of the state to ensure providers are equipped to prevent/limit ID outbreaks. In addition, local healthcare & public health officials coordinated closely with the 6 CT BOS CANs. Quarterly statewide meetings with street outreach, shelter, & housing providers serve as an additional avenue to facilitate communication among key stakeholders on public health matters. Partners have been able to rapidly respond to emerging ID risks as a result of these ongoing relationships.

1D-8.	Coordinated Entry Standard Processes.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.o.	

Describe in the field below how your CoC's coordinated entry system:	
1.	can serve everybody regardless of where they are located within your CoC's geographic area;
2.	uses a standardized assessment process to achieve fair, equitable, and equal access to housing and services within your CoC;
3.	collects personal information in a trauma-informed way; and
4.	is updated at least annually using feedback received from participating projects and households that participated in coordinated entry.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1) The CoC’s coordinated entry system can serve everybody regardless of where they are located within the CoC’s geographic area via 6 regional Coordinated Access Networks (CANs) covering all areas of the CoC & offering access via 211 & physical locations (Hubs) in each CAN. CANs affirmatively market available housing/services in multiple languages via presentations, print, social media, billboards & the web. Outreach teams in each CAN engage people living unsheltered, including in encampments. Each CAN has an Outreach Plan, revised monthly & approved 2x/year, delineating: who is responsible for each area, canvassing schedules, & partners throughout each region who assist in identifying & engaging people living unsheltered. 2) All CANs use standardized assessment & prioritization protocols codified in CAN policies to achieve fair, equitable, & equal access to housing & services. This includes: standardized assessments to determine needs for all subpops (DV, youth, families, SMI, etc.), a uniform, By Name List tool exported from HMIS, & prioritization protocols based on objective criteria (e.g., length of homeless & comorbidities). Case conferencing provides opportunities to resolve barriers & ensure placements are aligned with client needs/preferences. 3) CANs collect personal information in a trauma-informed way as follows: keeps information private, sharing only with a signed release of information; ensuring collection of the least amount of info to assess and refer effectively; shares HMIS data (appropriate to confidentiality) to prevent participants from having to re-tell their stories; & trains CAN & provider staff on trauma, its impacts, & trauma-informed approaches to assessment & referral. The assessment tool was updated in the last year to remove invasive questions. 4) The CAN system is updated at least annually using feedback received from participating projects & households that participated in coordinated entry as follows: annual surveys of current & formerly homeless households who have participated in CAN programs; monthly CT CAN End Homelessness Entry Subcommittee meetings, including members from each CAN with frontline provider-to-client experience, convene to obtain feedback from projects participating in the CAN process; CAN data is reviewed monthly; annual evaluation visits to CAN sites. Survey results, program input, data review, & CAN visits all inform CAN TA and training needs, plus annual policy/procedure/assessment tool revisions.

1D-8a.	Coordinated Entry–Program Participant-Centered Approach.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.o.	

	Describe in the field below how your CoC’s coordinated entry system:
1.	reaches people who are least likely to apply for homeless assistance in the absence of special outreach;
2.	prioritizes people most in need of assistance;
3.	ensures people most in need of assistance receive permanent housing in a timely manner, consistent with their needs and preferences; and
4.	takes steps to reduce burdens on people seeking assistance.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1) The CoC Coordinated Access Networks (CANs) reach those least likely to apply by affirmatively marketing housing/services to persons regardless of race, color, national origin, English proficiency, religion, sex, sexual orientation, gender identity/expression, age, familial status or disability. 211 & Hubs - the entry points to CANS- market in multiple languages through presentations, print, social media, billboards & on the web. Marketing is targeted to populations cited above & outreach is conducted at organizations serving those groups. CANs reach out to local agencies serving people not connecting through 211/Hubs, e.g., Latino organizations; youth, LGBTQIA+ services, etc.) Outreach teams in each CAN focus on reaching all unsheltered person in the geography. 2) All CANs use a standardized assessment to prioritize those most in need of assistance (e.g., those in need of an emergency transfer, those homeless the longest & those with medical/behavioral health comorbidities). PSH prioritizes in accordance with Notice CPD 16-11. 3) CAN policies outline timelines for each step in the process (i.e., eligibility, assessment, prioritization, referral, & placement). CANs monitor to ensure timely PH placement. The CoC tracks length of time to placement for persons on the statewide By Name List (BNL) & includes that as an evaluation factor for projects. To ensure that participants are placed in PH that is consistent with their preferences, the CANs assess & document preferences & use Case Conferencing to ensure matching consistent with those preferences. The CoC also uses Critical Time Intervention to ensure housing is tailored to individual self-defined goals/needs. The CoC uses annual participant surveys & a CoC-wide grievance policy to ensure housing/service alignment with client preferences. 4) The CoC discontinued use of the VISPDAT & retooled CAN processes to remove invasive/unnecessary questions & streamline the assessment & prioritization process. Through CAN evaluation & a continuous quality improvement process led by CT CAN End Homelessness, the CoC makes ongoing efforts to reduce burdens on those seeking assistance including: initial focus on problem-solving & addressing urgent needs rather than on paperwork; obtaining the least amount of info necessary to prioritize & make referrals; & ensuring data sharing to minimize repeat questions & reduce eligibility verification burdens; & providing accessible virtual and community-based services.

1D-8b.	Coordinated Entry–Informing Program Participants about Their Rights and Remedies–Reporting Violations.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.o.	

Describe in the field below how your CoC through its coordinated entry:	
1.	affirmatively markets housing and services provided within the CoC’s geographic area and ensures it reaches all persons experiencing homelessness;
2.	informs program participants of their rights and remedies available under federal, state, and local fair housing and civil rights laws; and
3.	reports any conditions or actions that impede fair housing choice for current or prospective program participants to the jurisdiction(s) responsible for certifying consistency with the Consolidated Plan.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1) The CoC, via its Coordinated Access Networks (CANs), affirmatively markets housing & services to all eligible persons regardless of race, color, national origin, religion, sex, gender identity, sexual orientation, age, family status or disability through print materials at locations likely to be visited by People Experiencing Homelessness (PEH) -e.g., soup kitchens, social service agencies, libraries, etc., as well as newspapers, social media, billboards & on the web. Marketing is multi-lingual & is more concentrated in regions experiencing higher rates of homelessness with additional targeting to those least likely to apply for assistance. Multi-lingual outreach is also conducted at unsheltered locations likely to be visited by PEH. CANs reach out to local agencies likely to be serving people not already connected to 211 which need special outreach (e.g. Latino & LGBTQIA+ orgs, etc.). The CoC ensures that it is reaching all PEH by monitoring race equity data annually through an analysis of HMIS data. 2) The CoC requires CANs & all CoC/ESG projects to provide each applicant/participant with a Bill of Rights informing them in accessible language of their rights under federal, state, & local fair housing & civil rights laws. The Bill of Rights includes information about how to report violations, & project staff assist participants to understand their rights & report violations. The CoC’s monitoring program reviews client charts to assess compliance & may require submission of a follow up plan when projects have not provided the Bill of Rights. The CoC offers an annual Equal Access training covering fair housing & civil rights topics for CAN & CoC project staff. The CoC also educates project staff regarding these rights during Steering Committee meetings, helping to prepare staff to inform participants of them & support participants to pursue available remedies. 3) The CoC monitors conditions/actions impeding fair housing choice for CoC/ESG participants & applicants & works with project staff to ensure that any impediments are promptly reported to the appropriate Con Plan jurisdiction. The CoC’s monitoring program reviews client charts & interviews staff to ensure programs are affirmatively furthering fair housing and reporting any fair housing infractions to the jurisdiction responsible for certifying consistency with the Con Plan. Follow-up plans may be required from providers who do not follow this process.

1D-9.	Advancing Racial Equity in Homelessness—Conducting Assessment.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.p.	

1.	Has your CoC conducted a racial disparities assessment in the last 3 years?	Yes
2.	Enter the date your CoC conducted its latest assessment for racial disparities.	06/10/2024

1D-9a.	Using Data to Determine if Racial Disparities Exist in Your CoC’s Provision or Outcomes of CoC Program-Funded Homeless Assistance.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.p.	

Describe in the field below:

1.	the data your CoC used to analyze whether any racial disparities are present in your CoC’s provision or outcomes of CoC Program-funded homeless assistance; and
----	---

2.	how your CoC analyzed the data to determine whether any racial disparities are present in your CoC's provision or outcomes of CoC Program-funded homeless assistance.
----	---

(limit 2,500 characters)

1) The CoC regularly analyzes data on racial disparities in the provision of CoC funded homeless assistance and includes a race equity standard in the annual performance evaluation of CoC-funded programs. The annual performance analysis is conducted using HMIS data on returns to homelessness (RTH) by race and ethnicity and compares RTH for whites as compared to other racial and ethnic groups. In 2024, CT Coalition to End Homelessness (CCEH), the CoC HMIS lead agency, used HMIS data to produce a race equity report analyzing the following: the rate of participation in the homeless system by race as compared to the percentage of the races in the general population of each region; rates of exit to permanent housing by program type and race. The CoC also analyzed HMIS Coordinated Access Network (CAN) data for racial disparities. All CoC and ESG funded projects are included in these analyses. Additionally, the CoC has analyzed qualitative data from participant satisfaction survey results for CoC-funded projects (close to 2000 surveys per year) by race and ethnicity to determine if satisfaction differs by race/ethnicity. The CoC looks at data on race equity at Steering Committee (SC) meetings at least annually.

2) The CoC analyzed data on racial disparities by: comparing rates of Black, Hispanic and Indigenous people in the general population to the rates in the homeless system; and comparing rates of various outcomes (e.g. income, exits to homelessness, returns to homelessness) by race. Analysis reveals that Black, Hispanic & Indigenous persons are overrepresented in the homeless system as compared to the general population, with Black and Indigenous persons found at approximately twice the rate found in the general population. Additionally, 4 out of 5 families in the system are Black, Hispanic or Indigenous. Income in shelter is found to be lower for black people than other races. People identifying as black were shown to have slightly higher rates of return to homelessness from TH and RRH. The CoC has also analyzed: exits to PH from ES, RRH and PSH; rates of return to homelessness from ES and PSH; and changes in income in TH, RRH and PSH by race/ethnicity and found no racial disparities but continues to monitor these metrics.

1D-9b.	Implemented Strategies to Prevent or Eliminate Racial Disparities.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.p	

Select yes or no in the chart below to indicate the strategies your CoC is using to prevent or eliminate racial disparities.
--

1.	Are your CoC's board and decisionmaking bodies representative of the population served in the CoC?	No
2.	Did your CoC identify steps it will take to help the CoC board and decisionmaking bodies better reflect the population served in the CoC?	Yes
3.	Is your CoC expanding outreach in your CoC's geographic areas with higher concentrations of underrepresented groups?	Yes
4.	Does your CoC have communication, such as flyers, websites, or other materials, inclusive of underrepresented groups?	Yes
5.	Is your CoC training staff working in the homeless services sector to better understand racism and the intersection of racism and homelessness?	Yes

6.	Is your CoC establishing professional development opportunities to identify and invest in emerging leaders of different races and ethnicities in the homelessness sector?	Yes
7.	Does your CoC have staff, committees, or other resources charged with analyzing and addressing racial disparities related to homelessness?	Yes
8.	Is your CoC educating organizations, stakeholders, boards of directors for local and national nonprofit organizations working on homelessness on the topic of creating greater racial and ethnic diversity?	Yes
9.	Did your CoC review its coordinated entry processes to understand their impact on people of different races and ethnicities experiencing homelessness?	Yes
10.	Is your CoC collecting data to better understand the pattern of program use for people of different races and ethnicities in its homeless services system?	Yes
11.	Is your CoC conducting additional research to understand the scope and needs of different races or ethnicities experiencing homelessness?	Yes
	Other:(limit 500 characters)	
12.	Persons w/ Lived Experience lead training on impact of unconscious bias for Steering Committee	Yes

1D-9c.	Plan for Ongoing Evaluation of System-level Processes, Policies, and Procedures for Racial Equity.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.p.	

Describe in the field below your CoC’s plan for ongoing evaluation of system-level processes, policies, and procedures for racial equity.

(limit 2,500 characters)

CT BOS’s plan for ongoing evaluation of system-level processes, policies & procedures to prevent & eliminate racial disparities is as follows: A) Co-chairs will evaluate implementation of Race Equity action steps in the strategic plan at least twice annually; B) HMIS lead will conduct a system-level Race Equity analysis of service provision & outcomes annually, which will be reviewed by the Steering Committee; C) Co-chairs will evaluate success of recruitment efforts to diversify the CoC Board & all committees at least annually; D) Co-chairs will evaluate the success of retention efforts to sustain diverse membership on the CoC Board & all committees at least annually; E) Co-chairs will engage the Consumer Leadership Involvement Project (CLIP) made up of people with lived experience of homelessness (PLE) & 8 Community Representatives, who are PLEs holding voting seats on the SC, in efforts to evaluate system-level processes, policies, &/or procedures for racial equity. Membership in both groups reflects the racial makeup of the CoC’s people experiencing homelessness (PEH); F) CoC will engage project staff & leadership in evaluating the effectiveness of the CoCs training program in advancing Race Equity (e.g., via a survey). The CoC & its partners currently provide CoC-wide trainings on RE topics (e.g., Confronting Unconscious Bias & Racial Trauma & Mental Health), & this evaluation will identify opportunities to expand & improve Race Equity training. Findings from the evaluation will be incorporated into the CoC’s annual training plan; G) At its monthly meetings, the CoC will continue to reinforce & honor its group meeting agreements and code of conduct, which seek to elevate the voices of BIPoC stakeholders & those with lived experience of homelessness, and ensure collaborative and respectful dialogue. The CoC will continue to actively invite & create a welcoming space for stakeholders to raise system level RE issues either publicly or privately; H) The CoC will analyze findings from its monitoring program to identify Race Equity bright spots & system-level barriers to Race Equity; I) CoC Chairs will use each of these strategies (A -H) to identify opportunities to improve system-level processes, policies, and procedures for racial equity.

1D-9d.	Plan for Using Data to Track Progress on Preventing or Eliminating Racial Disparities.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.p.	
	Describe in the field below:	
1.	the measures your CoC plans to use to continuously track progress on preventing or eliminating racial disparities in the provision or outcomes of homeless assistance; and	
2.	the tools your CoC plans to use to continuously track progress on preventing or eliminating racial disparities in the provision or outcomes of homeless assistance.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1)The measures the CoC plans to use to continuously track progress on preventing/eliminating disparities in the provision or outcomes of homeless assistance include: comparing Homeless Management information System (HMIS) data to general population data to identify inequities in access; tracking program enrollments including street outreach, emergency shelter, transitional housing, rapid rehousing, permanent supportive housing and Coordinated Access Network (CAN), exit destinations, and returns to homelessness by race and ethnicity to identify inequities in access &/or outcomes; and reviewing monitoring report data annually for any trends such as fair housing concerns, grievances, or challenges in recruiting and retaining diverse staff and Board members. In addition, through its annual performance evaluation of CoC-funded renewal projects, the CoC will track rates of return to homelessness among Black, Indigenous and People of Color (BIPoC) persons as compared to white persons & will monitor performance against its established performance standard on that measure. Finally, through its monitoring program, the CoC will measure the extent to which projects have adopted 8 race equity practices (e.g., recruiting and retaining diversity in staff and Board positions & developing partnerships with local organizations that focus on working with marginalized populations. 2) The tools the CoC uses include HMIS system and program level reports, a custom built Race Equity report that uses HMIS data, and the Renewal Evaluation Database (RED). RED is the tool used for annual performance evaluations of CoC-funded projects and for aggregation of performance data at the system level. RED was developed by the HMIS System Administrator and imports performance data from HMIS and information tracked in Zengine, the CoCs grants management database. DV projects import a comparable database CSV file to RED. Additional tools used by the CoC to continuously track Race Equity progress are the Monitoring Tool and Guide and associated staff and participant interview tools and monitoring reports. These tools collect data on fair housing impediments, participant grievances, and project level race equity practices. These tools also collect data on the extent to which projects have met the CoCs standard of implementing a minimum number of these critical race equity practices.

1D-10.	Involving Individuals with Lived Experience of Homelessness in Service Delivery and Decisionmaking–CoC’s Outreach Efforts.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.q.	

Describe in the field below your CoC’s outreach efforts (e.g., social media announcements, targeted outreach) to engage those with lived experience of homelessness in leadership roles and decisionmaking processes.

(limit 2,500 characters)

The CoC uses a variety of methods to outreach to & engage people with lived experience of homelessness (PLE) in leadership roles and decision-making processes, including having 8 PLE as voting members on the CoC’s Board (i.e., Steering Committee or SC), having a PLE serve as a CoC Co-Chair & sponsoring the Consumer Leadership involvement Project (CLIP), which is a program to engage and support PLE in meaningful involvement in the decision-making of the SC and participation as leaders in other committees and advocacy efforts in the State. The CoC conducts outreach for Community Representative (CR), the 8 PLE SC voting members, by distributing applications via e-mail to the CoC listserv, announcement at SC meetings, posting to social media and the CoC website & targeted outreach to individuals identified by homeless services projects. CLIP outreaches to PLEs through emails, flyers, announcements at CoC & other meetings, visits to programs, targeted outreach to providers and networking of current CLIP members to their contacts through social media, word of mouth, etc. The CLIP Fellow is a PLE who leads CLIP & uses a vast network of contacts throughout the state for outreach. The Fellow visits programs, attends meetings in the community & conducts other targeted outreach. CLIP provides members with access to technology to participate in videoconferences, enabling them to attend SC and other decision-making meetings. CoC staff & SC members attend CLIP meetings to engage CLIP input on key CoC decisions such as, funding priorities & coordinated entry policies, & operating standards for street outreach and housing projects. CRs participate in all CoC votes, including project evaluation criteria, competition ranking and rating factors, and program standards. To increase access to information for all people, especially PLE, all CoC materials are posted to the website which is mobile-friendly. Anyone can sign up for the CoC’s e-mail lists which are free and used to distribute information on decisions to be made and the process to make them (all posted to the website as well). Annually, CoC recruits PLE to participate in CLIP, the Steering Committee, the new project application review and scoring committee and the Grievance Committee. In 2024 the CoC recruited a PLE to serve as a Co-Chair and participate in the CoC’s Executive Committee. Together these outreach efforts ensure leadership opportunities and inclusion of PLE voices in all CoC decision-making.

1D-10a.	Active CoC Participation of Individuals with Lived Experience of Homelessness.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.q.	

You must upload the Lived Experience Support Letter attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.
 Enter in the chart below the number of people with lived experience who currently participate in your CoC under the four categories listed:

	Level of Active Participation	Number of People with Lived Experience Within the Last 7 Years or Current Program Participant	Number of People with Lived Experience Coming from Unsheltered Situations
1.	Routinely included in the decisionmaking processes related to addressing homelessness.	60	36
2.	Participate on CoC committees, subcommittees, or workgroups.	23	17
3.	Included in the development or revision of your CoC’s local competition rating factors.	11	6

4. Included in the development or revision of your CoC's coordinated entry process.	21	10
---	----	----

1D-10b.	Professional Development and Employment Opportunities for Individuals with Lived Experience of Homelessness. NOFO Section V.B.1.q.	
---------	---	--

Describe in the field below how your CoC or CoC membership organizations provide professional development and employment opportunities to individuals with lived experience of homelessness.

(limit 2,500 characters)

The CoC provides professional development & employment opportunities through its Consumer Leadership Involvement Project (CLIP), which employs a persons with lived experience of homelessness (PLE) as project staff & offers assistance to its members (all PLE) with resume writing, job search & soft skills-training to help with employment. The CoC pays CLIP members \$25/hour for Steering Committee meeting participation and up to 10 hours of training annually on topics of their choice. CLIP uses senior CLIP members to train new members. The CoC also provides professional development & employment networking opportunities to 8 PLE who serve on the CoC Board & a PLE who serves as a CoC Co-Chair. The CoC encourages members to provide professional development & employment opportunities for PLE through bonus points in funding applications, measuring employment outcomes in renewal evaluations, providing trainings, making connections to Community Colleges (e.g., Capitol Community College) and other certification programs to access skills-based training, and requiring coordination with local Workforce Development/American Jobs Centers to access internships and other assistance with finding employment. All project participants complete an assessment, including employment needs & receive a referral to an employment specialist as appropriate. Specialists conduct outreach to private employers; locate internships; connect consumers w/staffing agencies, continuing education and skills-based training; and provide resources on job fairs & other employment resources. Examples of connections to internships and continuing educations including Columbus House's Social Enterprise Program which helps develop skills in facilities management and MOUs w/Goodwin College which offers certification courses in welding, medical billing and coding, and business administration. CoC agencies also provide employment opportunities within their organizations for PLE, in peer, case management, navigator, supervisory & leadership positions. CoC members mentor PLE staff & link them to professional development opportunities such as attending workshops and trainings in areas related to their position. All applications for new projects funded through the CoC must provide a plan for how they will assist participants with employment as well as discuss how their agencies will work to hire PLE within their organization through skills-based training, internships as well as permanent full-time positions.

1D-10c.	Routinely Gathering Feedback and Addressing Challenges of Individuals with Lived Experience of Homelessness. NOFO Section V.B.1.q.	
---------	---	--

Describe in the field below:

1.	how your CoC gathers feedback from people experiencing homelessness;
2.	how often your CoC gathers feedback from people experiencing homelessness;
3.	how your CoC gathers feedback from people who received assistance through the CoC Program or ESG Program;
4.	how often your CoC gathers feedback from people who have received assistance through the CoC Program or ESG Program; and
5.	steps your CoC has taken to address challenges raised by people with lived experience of homelessness.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1) The CoC Board (i.e. Steering Committee or SC) designates 8 of 36 voting seats for people with lived experience of homelessness (PLE). These Community Representatives (CRs) provide regular feedback & recommendations (recs) on program & policy development at monthly SC meetings. The CoC also has a Consumer Leadership Involvement Project (CLIP), a working group of PLE who meet bi-weekly, share feedback in SC meetings & participate in other CoC committees such as Scoring & Grievance, providing valuable input. CLIP reviewed CoC Governance documents & provided recs to make them more “consumer-friendly,” updated the participant survey tool & gave input on the new project scoring tool. 1 of 4 CoC Chairs is a PLE 2) Feedback is gathered from PLE at least 2X/month. 3) Via focused recruitment efforts, many CLIP & CR are recruited by CoC agencies & have been in CoC/ESG funded projects, providing feedback as described above. The CoC requires all projects to administer satisfaction surveys annually to CoC project participants. Anonymous surveys in English & Spanish can be completed on paper, online (including via mobile device) or verbally. Questions address access to/satisfaction with services, quality of life, confidentiality, cultural sensitivity, gaps/unmet needs, & opportunities for input into services received. Participants access the survey via community meetings, email, texts & in case management meetings. Surveys are analyzed & the CoC provides: each project with aggregated participant feedback reports; a CoC-wide aggregate report; and a findings report for the SC. 4) Feedback from PLE who have rec’d assistance from CoC/ESG programs is gathered 2X/month from CLIP/CR and yearly from surveys. 5) To address challenges raised by PLE around limited leadership opportunities the CoC: designated 8 seats on its Board for PLE, created a co-chair seat for a PLE & launched CLIP. To address challenges raised regarding accessibility of CoC processes, the CoC avoids use of acronyms in meetings/materials & holds monthly prep & debrief meetings before and after each SC meeting. In response to SSI feedback, the CoC lead agency added 8 new SSI SOAR Specialists. The CoC has also adopted a strategic plan for 2024-2027 that addresses challenges raised by PLE including staff training, leadership opportunities, & program model & Coordinated Entry improvements.

1D-11.	Increasing Affordable Housing Supply.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.s.	
	Describe in the field below at least two steps your CoC has taken in the past 12 months to engage city, county, or state governments that represent your CoC’s geographic area regarding the following:	
1.	reforming zoning and land use policies to permit more housing development; and	
2.	reducing regulatory barriers to housing development.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1) The Partnership for Strong Communities (PSC), a voting CoC Steering Committee member, coordinates the HomeConnecticut (HomeCT) campaign & leads these efforts for the CoC. HomeCT, seeks to ensure access to safe, accessible & affordable housing & coordinates stakeholders to increase rental assistance & investment in affordable housing & remove barriers to affordable housing production. In the last 12 months, actions included: engagement in advocacy meetings w/elected officials, public testimony & building public awareness in support of a state bill to reform zoning rules to allow higher-density development near transit hubs (Transit-Oriented Communities – TOC). This bill, Work, Live, Ride Act, was re-introduced in the last legislative session and allows 2% of residential land in CT for 4 or more units of multi-family housing as of right, mandates higher affordability in high opportunity communities, encourages local planning, and provides TA and funding to create TOC districts that work for individual circumstances. The bill failed, but TOC continues to garner attention & will be re-introduced at the 2025 legislative session. The CoC & its members will continue to provide testimony & meet w/public officials to support this zoning reform & land use policy & increasing public awareness of this effort

2) Every town in CT submits an affordable housing plan to the CT Office of Policy Management. Plans require towns to identify their affordable housing needs & develop plans for future affordable housing development. With support from PSC, CoC members participate in their local town planning processes to develop these plans & remove regulatory barriers to housing development. Actions in the past 12 months: attended public hearings, submitted comments on town plans and met w/local officials. PSC is the CoC lead on Desegregate CT, which also includes many CoC members and is advocating on the statewide level to address regulatory barriers to housing development related to current permitting laws. All 169 CT towns are required to maintain several land use commissions & processes, which particularly burdens small towns and is a barrier to housing development. The campaign seeks to allow towns to choose to create unified land use commissions w/other towns allowing housing developers to benefit from streamlined permitting. At CoC meetings PSC engages CoC members to support this effort (e.g., writing letters to & meeting w/local officials & state reps).

1E. Project Capacity, Review, and Ranking–Local Competition

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
- 24 CFR part 578;
- FY 2024 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
- Section 3 Resources;
- PHA Crosswalk; and
- Frequently Asked Questions

1E-1.	Web Posting of Advance Public Notice of Your CoC’s Local Competition Deadline, Scoring and Rating Criteria.	
	NOFO Section V.B.2.a. and 2.g.	

1.	Enter the date your CoC published its submission deadline and scoring and rating criteria for New Project applicants to submit their project applications for your CoC’s local competition.	02/28/2024
2.	Enter the date your CoC published its submission deadline and scoring and rating criteria for Renewal Project applicants to submit their project applications for your CoC’s local competition.	08/06/2024

1E-2.	Project Review and Ranking Process Your CoC Used in Its Local Competition. We use the response to this question and the response in Question 1E-2a along with the required attachments from both questions as a factor when determining your CoC’s eligibility for bonus funds and for other NOFO criteria below.	
	NOFO Section V.B.2.a., 2.b., 2.c., 2.d., and 2.e.	

You must upload the Local Competition Scoring Tool attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.

Select yes or no in the chart below to indicate how your CoC ranked and selected project applications during your local competition:

1.	Established total points available for each project application type.	Yes
2.	At least 33 percent of the total points were based on objective criteria for the project application (e.g., cost effectiveness, timely draws, utilization rate, match, leverage), performance data, type of population served (e.g., DV, youth, Veterans, chronic homelessness), or type of housing proposed (e.g., PSH, RRH).	Yes
3.	At least 20 percent of the total points were based on system performance criteria for the project application (e.g., exits to permanent housing destinations, retention of permanent housing, length of time homeless, returns to homelessness).	Yes
4.	Provided points for projects that addressed specific severe barriers to housing and services.	Yes
5.	Used data from comparable databases to score projects submitted by victim service providers.	Yes

6.	Provided points for projects based on the degree the projects identified any barriers to participation (e.g., lack of outreach) faced by persons of different races and ethnicities, particularly those over-represented in the local homelessness population, and has taken or will take steps to eliminate the identified barriers.	Yes
----	---	-----

1E-2a.	Scored Project Forms for One Project from Your CoC's Local Competition. We use the response to this question and Question 1E-2. along with the required attachments from both questions as a factor when determining your CoC's eligibility for bonus funds and for other NOFO criteria below. NOFO Section V.B.2.a., 2.b., 2.c., and 2.d.	
--------	---	--

You must upload the Scored Forms for One Project attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.
Complete the chart below to provide details of your CoC's local competition:

1.	What were the maximum number of points available for the renewal project form(s)?	100
2.	How many renewal projects did your CoC submit?	90
3.	What renewal project type did most applicants use?	PH-PSH

1E-2b.	Addressing Severe Barriers in the Local Project Review and Ranking Process. NOFO Section V.B.2.d.	
--------	--	--

Describe in the field below:	
1.	how your CoC analyzed data regarding each project that has successfully housed program participants in permanent housing;
2.	how your CoC analyzed data regarding how long it takes to house people in permanent housing;
3.	how your CoC considered the specific severity of needs and vulnerabilities experienced by program participants preventing rapid placement in permanent housing or the ability to maintain permanent housing when your CoC ranked and selected projects; and
4.	the severe barriers your CoC considered.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1) The CoC evaluation process included criteria, standards and scores on exits to permanent housing for all TH, PSH and RRH project types, including DV projects. The data source is/was the CT HMIS, from which the Renewal Evaluation Database (RED) imports performance data to calculate the rate of exits to PH for every project. (DV projects export a comparable database CSV file to the RED.) The RED assigned a score based on the results, which accounts for 25% of the project's score. 2) The 2024 evaluation standards included a criterion that measured the avg length of time from a household's match to a housing resource to move-in. Data was imported to RED directly from HMIS using data on enrollment & housing move-in dates. The benchmark was set at 85% of PSH & 50% of RRH households being housed within 30 days of match. 3) The CTBOS Review & Ranking process considered each project's success in achieving measurable outcomes for people with the highest service needs & longest experience of homelessness in a "housing first" model. The CoC has funded only low barrier PSH serving exclusively the highest need & most vulnerable as prioritized by a CAN. CT BOS PSH is 100% DedicatedPlus, prioritizes chronic, & serves a high portion of people w/criminal backgrounds & serious behavioral health disabilities; therefore, PSH has a lower earned income performance standard. TH has been reserved exclusively for youth & DV survivors & has had higher length of stay thresholds, due to population specific barriers impacting rapid placement. Projects were also exempt from the maintain/exit to PH metric when a client left for safety reasons. When ranking/selecting projects, CT BOS considered these needs/vulnerabilities: chronic homelessness/dedicated Plus criteria, victimization/abuse/DV, low/no income. 4) CT BOS considered the following severe barriers: a) DV survivors' ineligibility for benefits based on abuser's income, prioritization of safety, custody & legal issues over benefits; b) DV survivors' & youth's need for greater periods of time to stabilize in housing; and c) conditions associated with chronic homelessness such as criminal histories, serious mental health diagnoses, substance use disorders and having zero income.

1E-3.	Advancing Racial Equity through Participation of Over-Represented Populations in the Local Competition Review and Ranking Process.	
	NOFO Section V.B.2.e.	

Describe in the field below:

1.	how your CoC used input from persons of different races and ethnicities, particularly those over-represented in the local homelessness population, to determine the rating factors used to review project applications;
2.	how your CoC included persons of different races and ethnicities, particularly those over-represented in the local homelessness population in the review, selection, and ranking process; and
3.	how your CoC rated and ranked projects based on the degree that proposed projects identified any barriers to participation (e.g., lack of outreach) faced by persons of different races and ethnicities, particularly those over-represented in the local homelessness population, and steps the projects took or will take to eliminate the identified barriers.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1) In CTBOS, African Americans (AA) are overrepresented in the homeless population; 13% of the population is AA compared with 29% of people experiencing homelessness (PEH). The Steering Committee (SC), comprised of members from a broad range of racial & ethnic backgrounds (28% AA; 36% BIPOC), determines & votes on rating factors used to evaluate projects annually. BOS has sought to ensure that persons over-represented in the homeless population have leadership positions. BOS By-laws have diversity criteria for SC Co-chairs; 1 of 4 SC chairs is AA. The 6 Coordinated Access Networks (CANs) were encouraged to select at least 1 person of color of the 2 SC reps per CAN (25% are BIPOC). Of the persons w/lived experience (PLE) on the SC (Community Representatives -CRs), 5 of 8 are BIPOC. Input of persons of varied races resulted in the CoC adding a rating factor to the annual renewal evaluation - rate of return to homelessness among white/non Latinx as compared to BIPOC, Latinx, & Multi-racial households. RFPs for new projects required applicants to answer questions on racial equity efforts in their agencies & programs, which is a project rating factor. 2) Ranking, selection & review processes have been established & voted on by the SC annually. BOS ensured that persons of different races have leadership roles & voting SC seats by: having diversity criteria for SC Co-chairs in BOS By-laws (1 of the 4 (25%) chairs is AA); encouraging the 6 CANs to select at least 1 person of color of the 2 reps per CAN; and working to ensure the whole SC, including CRs, mirror the racial/ethnic makeup of PEH in the CoC. Of the CRs, 43% are AA and 71% are BIPOC. The BOS Scoring Committee (40% AA/ 60%BIPOC) reviewed new project applications and provided recommendations to the SC (28% AA/36% BIPOC); 3) BOS reviewed how projects promote racial equity & identify disparate outcomes among BIPOC & Latinx people as compared to White/Non-Latinx (accounted for approx. 5% new project points). Higher points increased chances that projects were selected and ranked higher. CoC Renewal Evaluation Standards included Rate of Return to Homelessness Among Latinx, BIPOC & Multi-Race Groups as compared to Whites/Non-Latinx. The CoC is using this data to set future project scoring standards for, ensuring ongoing consideration of these important equity factors in project rating & ranking decisions. In the renewal evaluation, BOS has also analyzed agency consumer survey results by race & ethnicity.

1E-4.	Reallocation—Reviewing Performance of Existing Projects.	
	NOFO Section V.B.2.f.	
	Describe in the field below:	
1.	your CoC's reallocation process, including how your CoC determined which projects are candidates for reallocation because they are low performing or less needed;	
2.	whether your CoC identified any low performing or less needed projects through the process described in element 1 of this question during your CoC's local competition this year;	
3.	whether your CoC reallocated any low performing or less needed projects during its local competition this year; and	
4.	why your CoC did not reallocate low performing or less needed projects during its local competition this year, if applicable.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1)The CoC has a written reallocation policy that is used to determine when to reallocate lower performing projects and less needed projects. The CoC uses HIC, PIT, by-name list (BNL), project performance, system performance, monitoring results, cost effectiveness and spending data to determine how to best use resources. Projects may opt to voluntarily reallocate funds or be required to reallocate in part or in full based on poor performance or lack of need. Projects that have been in corrective action for 2 years or have 2 consistent CoC monitoring visits demonstrating significant concerns are considered for reallocation by the BOS Steering Committee (SC). Projects are notified in writing of the potential for reallocation and provided with TA to address deficiencies. After a final warning, if deficiencies persist, SC non-conflicted members vote on whether to reallocate. Projects slated for mandatory full or partial reallocation may submit an appeal. A Grievance Committee reviews appeals & makes decisions. Reallocated funds are awarded competitively through an RFP. 2) The CoC identified 14 low performing projects. 3) During this competition, the CoC is reallocating 1 project that has struggled to meet CoC requirements due to budgetary & staffing limitations. The CoC Chairs met with the project team, and it was mutually decided that the program would be reallocated. 4) While the CoC reallocated the one project, the CoC considered all data cited above & determined that there remains a critical need for projects currently funded. The CoC also reviewed multiple years of project performance data & determined that no project had a persistent history of poor performance & none met the CoC’s criteria for reallocation. In many cases the grantee had already made significant progress towards correcting the issue. The CoC has a robust process & history of reallocating projects to fund better performers and address changes in need (e.g., 6 projects reallocated in 2021 competition and all TH not targeted to youth or DV has been reallocated). CoC efforts to work with grantees to improve performance have been successful. The CoC required each of the 14 low performers to submit a Corrective Action Plan (CAP), including a timeframe for completion and the responsible party for each action step. The CoC reviewed all CAPs and provided feedback as warranted. The CoC also reviewed areas where training might assist when developing its annual training plan and offered TA.

1E-4a.	Reallocation Between FY 2019 and FY 2024.	
	NOFO Section V.B.2.f.	

	Did your CoC cumulatively reallocate at least 20 percent of its ARD between FY 2019 and FY 2024?	No
--	--	----

1E-5.	Projects Rejected/Reduced–Notification Outside of e-snaps.	
	NOFO Section V.B.2.g.	
	You must upload the Notification of Projects Rejected-Reduced attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.	

1.	Did your CoC reject any project application(s) submitted for funding during its local competition?	Yes
2.	Did your CoC reduce funding for any project application(s) submitted for funding during its local competition?	Yes
3.	Did your CoC inform applicants why your CoC rejected or reduced their project application(s) submitted for funding during its local competition?	Yes
4.	If you selected Yes for element 1 or element 2 of this question, enter the date your CoC notified applicants that their project applications were being rejected or reduced, in writing, outside of e-snaps. If you notified applicants on various dates, enter the latest date of any notification. For example, if you notified applicants on 06/26/2024, 06/27/2024, and 06/28/2024, then you must enter 06/28/2024.	04/23/2024

1E-5a.	Projects Accepted–Notification Outside of e-snaps.	
	NOFO Section V.B.2.g.	
	You must upload the Notification of Projects Accepted attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.	

	Enter the date your CoC notified project applicants that their project applications were accepted and ranked on the New and Renewal Priority Listings in writing, outside of e-snaps. If you notified applicants on various dates, enter the latest date of any notification. For example, if you notified applicants on 06/26/2024, 06/27/2024, and 06/28/2024, then you must enter 06/28/2024.	10/07/2024
--	--	------------

1E-5b.	Local Competition Selection Results for All Projects.	
	NOFO Section V.B.2.g.	
	You must upload the Local Competition Selection Results attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.	

	Does your attachment include: 1. Project Names; 2. Project Scores; 3. Project Status–Accepted, Rejected, Reduced Reallocated, Fully Reallocated; 4. Project Rank; 5. Amount Requested from HUD; and 6. Reallocated Funds +/-.	Yes
--	---	-----

1E-5c.	Web Posting of CoC-Approved Consolidated Application 2 Days Before CoC Program Competition Application Submission Deadline.	
	NOFO Section V.B.2.g. and 24 CFR 578.95.	
	You must upload the Web Posting–CoC-Approved Consolidated Application attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.	

	Enter the date your CoC posted the CoC-approved Consolidated Application on the CoC’s website or partner’s website–which included: 1. the CoC Application; and 2. Priority Listings for Reallocation forms and all New, Renewal, and Replacement Project Listings.	
--	--	--

You must enter a date in question 1E-5c.

1E-5d.	Notification to Community Members and Key Stakeholders by Email that the CoC-Approved Consolidated Application is Posted on Website.	
	NOFO Section V.B.2.g.	

You must upload the Notification of CoC-Approved Consolidated Application attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.

	Enter the date your CoC notified community members and key stakeholders that the CoC-approved Consolidated Application was posted on your CoC's website or partner's website.	
--	---	--

You must enter a date in question 1E-5d.

2A. Homeless Management Information System (HMIS) Implementation

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
- 24 CFR part 578;
- FY 2024 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
- Section 3 Resources;
- PHA Crosswalk; and
- Frequently Asked Questions

2A-1.	HMIS Vendor.	
	Not Scored—For Information Only	

	Enter the name of the HMIS Vendor your CoC is currently using.	CaseWorthy
--	--	------------

2A-2.	HMIS Implementation Coverage Area.	
	Not Scored—For Information Only	

	Select from dropdown menu your CoC's HMIS coverage area.	Statewide
--	--	-----------

2A-3.	HIC Data Submission in HDX.	
	NOFO Section V.B.3.a.	

	Enter the date your CoC submitted its 2024 HIC data into HDX.	05/09/2024
--	---	------------

2A-4.	Comparable Databases for DV Providers—CoC and HMIS Lead Supporting Data Collection and Data Submission by Victim Service Providers.	
	NOFO Section V.B.3.b.	

	In the field below:	
1.	describe actions your CoC and HMIS Lead have taken to ensure DV housing and service providers in your CoC collect data in HMIS comparable databases; and	
2.	state whether DV housing and service providers in your CoC are using a HUD-compliant comparable database—compliant with the FY 2024 HMIS Data Standards.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1) The CoC has a comparable database (CDB) with a 100% participation rate among VSP CoC/ESG beds. The CDB is administered by the CT Coalition Against Domestic Violence (CCADV) and is used to provide de-identified LSA data to HUD, and aggregated HUD System Performance Measures and other APR data to the CoC and HMIS lead for each applicable project. The HMIS Lead has provided TA, as needed, to ensure CDB adherence to HUD’s comparable database standards, including data element collection and reporting, continued submission of aggregate LSA, SPM, and APRs for each project, and improvements to SPM data quality. Though the existing CDB (ETO – Efforts to Outcomes) is generally compliant with HUD requirements, the system demands a high level of administrator & user resources. CCADV has chosen EmpowerDB as its new comparable database vendor, to improve efficiency, tighten compliance with HUD Data Standards, and ensure a better overall user and administrator experience, particularly when reporting system performance data from the CDB to the HMIS lead, the CoC, and HUD. These improvements are anticipated to strengthen data & reporting quality and are planned for February 2025. The CoC has supported these efforts by helping CCADV to secure funding, for example, through movement of funds within an existing grant, adding eligible expenses to the HMIS budget line item in DV Bonus applications, and planning for how best to meet CDB financing needs through future CoC Competitions and/or alternative sources. This strategy has supported roll-out of an upgraded CDB, including data transfer, report testing, and user training. CCADV, the CoC and the HMIS Lead, anticipate that the efforts described above to launch an upgraded CDB will be complete by February 2025. 2) The DV housing and service providers in the CoC are using a HUD-compliant comparable database – compliant with the FY2024 HMIS Data Standards.

2A-5.	Bed Coverage Rate–Using HIC, HMIS Data–CoC Merger Bonus Points.	
	NOFO Section V.B.3.c. and V.B.7.	

Using the 2024 HDX Competition Report we issued your CoC, enter data in the chart below by project type:

Project Type	Adjusted Total Year-Round, Current Non-VSP Beds [Column F of HDX Report]	Adjusted Total Year-Round, Current VSP Beds [Column K of HDX Report]	Total Year-Round, Current, HMIS Beds and VSP Beds in an HMIS Comparable Database [Column M of HDX Report]	HMIS and Comparable Database Coverage Rate [Column O of HDX Report]
1. Emergency Shelter (ES) beds	1,570	53	1,596	98.28%
2. Safe Haven (SH) beds	28	0	28	100.00%
3. Transitional Housing (TH) beds	242	66	280	90.91%
4. Rapid Re-Housing (RRH) beds	663	486	1,149	100.00%
5. Permanent Supportive Housing (PSH) beds	5,542	75	4,417	78.64%
6. Other Permanent Housing (OPH) beds	43	0	22	51.16%

2A-5a.	Partial Credit for Bed Coverage Rates at or Below 84.99 for Any Project Type in Question 2A-5.	
	NOFO Section V.B.3.c.	
	For each project type with a bed coverage rate that is at or below 84.99 percent in question 2A-5, describe:	
1.	steps your CoC will take over the next 12 months to increase the bed coverage rate to at least 85 percent for that project type; and	
2.	how your CoC will implement the steps described to increase bed coverage to at least 85 percent.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1) All bed types except PSH & OPH exceed the targeted bed coverage rate. All CoC funded PSH projects enter in HMIS but the coverage rate is only 78%. This is because the CoC has 1,148 HUD-VASH beds which do not enter into HMIS & significantly reduce the bed coverage rate, making up almost 100% of the PSH beds that are not included in the HMIS. The CoC has been working with the VA for some time to resolve the issue. Coordination had been ongoing with work on an MOU to share data. Efforts stalled during COVID, a change in HMIS Lead Agency staff & failed attempts to connect with the VA. Work with the VA has resumed & over the next 12 months the CoC will RFP the HMIS Lead Role with integrating with VA data being a task in the RFP. Nutmeg, the HMIS administrator, has been meeting with the VA & will be exploring importing data from the VA HOMES system into the HMIS through a CSV upload, which could occur regularly & would ensure bed coverage of close to 100% for PSH. Additionally, over the next 12 months, Nutmeg will continue to provide "read only" access to HMIS to the VA so that the VA sees the benefits of integrating their data with the HMIS. OPH beds from homeless-dedicated Housing Authority Vouchers & LIHTC units were added to the HIC & PIT per HUD requirement. However, those projects are not entering data in HMIS, as, currently, staff do not have the capacity to do so. In the next 12 months, the HMIS Lead & Administrator will research methods to integrate &/or automate data transfers from Housing Authority (HA) systems to HMIS. 2) To increase PSH & OPH bed coverage to at least 85%, the CoC will implement these steps by reaching out to other VA & HA systems wherein data is exported to HMIS & facilitate a meeting with that system & our local providers (VA & HA) to discuss any questions or hesitations & follow up with action steps as needed (e.g., share documents, best practices, engage HMIS leads, etc.). The HMIS Lead will meet regularly with the VA & HAs to plan & implement the CSV export from the VA HOMES & HA system into HMIS. The HMIS Lead will coordinate with the System Administrator on specifications for the upload & other technical issues. The HMIS Lead will also work with the VA & HAs to create & execute any agreements/MOUs required for the export, providing regular updates to the CoC Steering Committee on the progress of the integration of the HUD-VASH & HA units into the CT HMIS.

2A-6.	Longitudinal System Analysis (LSA) Submission in HDX 2.0.	
	NOFO Section V.B.3.d.	
	You must upload your CoC's FY 2024 HDX Competition Report to the 4B. Attachments Screen.	

Did your CoC submit at least two usable LSA data files to HUD in HDX 2.0 by January 24, 2024, 11:59 p.m. EST?	Yes
---	-----

2B. Continuum of Care (CoC) Point-in-Time (PIT) Count

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
- 24 CFR part 578;
- FY 2024 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
- Section 3 Resources;
- PHA Crosswalk; and
- Frequently Asked Questions

2B-1.	PIT Count Date.	
	NOFO Section V.B.4.a	

	Enter the date your CoC conducted its 2024 PIT count.	01/23/2024
--	---	------------

2B-2.	PIT Count Data–HDX Submission Date.	
	NOFO Section V.B.4.a	

	Enter the date your CoC submitted its 2024 PIT count data in HDX.	05/09/2024
--	---	------------

2B-3.	PIT Count–Effectively Counting Youth in Your CoC’s Most Recent Unsheltered PIT Count.	
	NOFO Section V.B.4.b.	

	Describe in the field below how your CoC:	
1.	engaged unaccompanied youth and youth serving organizations in your CoC’s most recent PIT count planning process;	
2.	worked with unaccompanied youth and youth serving organizations to select locations where homeless youth are most likely to be identified during your CoC’s most recent PIT count planning process; and	
3.	included youth experiencing homelessness as counters during your CoC’s most recent unsheltered PIT count.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1) The CoC conducted the statewide CT PIT Count with a regional coordination approach using the 7 Coordinated Access Networks (CANs)(6 in CT BOS) and sub-regions of some of the larger CANs. Each CAN included all local unaccompanied youth and youth-serving organizations (including YHDP & RHY as well as privately funded programs) in planning and coordinating the PIT count. All projects serving homeless youth were included in both the PIT and HIC counts, in planning mtgs and trainings. For those that do not use HMIS, access to and training on the PIT Database was provided. The PIT Planning Team, who are responsible for planning and implementation throughout the state, also engaged YHDP providers, Outreach providers, and CT CAN Youth and Young Adult Subcommittee members in planning meetings and trainings to ensure that all places where homeless youth may be on the night of the count were identified and those youth are entered into the PIT database. Staff from the above providers and committee members (which included youth who have been homeless) assisted in identifying locations of unsheltered youth on the night of the count and updating current living situation assessments. Homeless youth serving organizations that do not use the HMIS were provided training and access to the PIT Database to enter data directly. 2) The coordination among the CANs, the PIT Planning Team, the providers serving youth, and unaccompanied youth began months before the count date to ensure that locations where homeless youth are most likely to be identified were included in the PIT count. This included multiple planning meetings and trainings to identify these locations and ensure they were canvased for the count. Outreach teams in each CAN used this information to target locations where youth were likely to be found. 3) The PIT Planning Team engaged the CT CAN End Homelessness Youth and Young Adult committee, which includes youth with lived experience as members who are engaged in street outreach to homeless youth and young adults. The connection between the committee and homeless youth and young adults in the CANs enabled them to gather information on unsheltered locations where homeless youth could be found on the night of the count. The committee members and young adults on those teams were involved in capturing the current living situation of unsheltered youth on the night of the count in the PIT database, which was the method used to count people living unsheltered.

2B-4.	PIT Count–Methodology Change–CoC Merger Bonus Points.	
	NOFO Section V.B.5.a and V.B.7.c.	

	In the field below:	
1.	describe any changes your CoC made to your sheltered PIT count implementation, including methodology or data quality changes between 2023 and 2024, if applicable;	
2.	describe any changes your CoC made to your unsheltered PIT count implementation, including methodology or data quality changes between 2023 and 2024, if applicable;	
3.	describe whether your CoC's PIT count was affected by people displaced either from a natural disaster or seeking short-term shelter or housing assistance who recently arrived in your CoCs' geographic; and	
4.	describe how the changes affected your CoC's PIT count results; or	
5.	state "Not Applicable" if there were no changes or if you did not conduct an unsheltered PIT count in 2024.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1) Sheltered Methodology: Methodology largely stayed the same as last year. As in the past 2 years, this year all HMIS participating agency data was imported directly from HMIS into the Point in Time (PIT) database (DB) as compared to prior years when users would manually enter the PIT data. This year, the PIT Team improved ease of use within the PIT DB by implementing a ticketing system for providers to ask questions or make corrections to data, thereby improving data quality. 2) Unsheltered Methodology: This also largely stayed the same. HMIS street outreach (SO) program data imported directly from HMIS into the PIT database. Since 2022 program staff were required to complete the HMIS Current Living Assessment (CLA) for the night of the count for any active SO clients. New - with the advent of SNOFO outreach funding, additional outreach staff increased canvassing capacity. The PIT Team created new 1-page guidance for street outreach & youth providers, including youth navigators to help ensure that all experiencing unsheltered homelessness were counted. Data Quality: Additional follow-up was conducted related to the report indicating SO clients without contacts in 90 days. SO providers were prompted to review & update the data to ensure SO enrollments were current & locations better known. The PIT Team also improved ease of use within the PIT DB by implementing a ticketing system for providers to ask questions or make data corrections, potentially improving data quality. 3) Both sheltered & unsheltered PIT counts increased this year – sheltered by 12% (248) & unsheltered by 7% (31). Anecdotal reports indicate that PEH include people fleeing DV from other areas, plus households from other countries, states, etc. seeking new opportunities for stabilization in our region. CT's proximity & accessibility to NYC, known to have a large influx of migrants in ES is also notable. While displacement is not something the CoC tracks, data from 2023 & 2024 indicate that 1.7% & 4% of households respectively in ES & SO programs had no SSN in HMIS, possibly signaling an influx of displaced persons from outside the country. It is likely that displaced persons recently entering our CoC area contributed to the increase in PIT. 4) Overall, both counts were more accurate due to the improvements to the PIT database, updated provider guidance & additional follow-up conducted. It is also likely that an increase in displaced persons contributed to the PIT increase.

2C. System Performance

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
- 24 CFR part 578;
- FY 2024 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
- Section 3 Resources;
- PHA Crosswalk; and
- Frequently Asked Questions

2C-1.	Reducing the Number of First Time Homeless—Risk Factors Your CoC Uses.	
	NOFO Section V.B.5.b.	
	In the field below:	
1.	describe how your CoC determined the risk factors to identify persons experiencing homelessness for the first time;	
2.	describe your CoC’s strategies to address individuals and families at risk of becoming homeless; and	
3.	provide the name of the organization or position title that is responsible for overseeing your CoC’s strategy to reduce the number of individuals and families experiencing homelessness for the first time	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1) To understand the risk factors & identify first-time homeless households, the HMIS administrator ran a report from HMIS on the first-time homeless cohort. Data were analyzed to identify patterns for possible risk factors. Results from FY23 SPMs indicate, that of the first-time homeless, 31% were living w/mental health issues, 11.5% were domestic violence survivors & 42% had no income. 2) The CoC uses state, federal, local & private resources to prevent households from ever experiencing homelessness. The CoC has successfully been using diversion as a strategy to prevent homelessness & will continue these efforts. 211 and Hubs (local access/diversion sites) serve as the front door and connect those facing a housing crisis w/resources to prevent them from becoming homeless. Diversion is the first intervention provided for all people seeking homeless assistance and includes: identifying alternative housing arrangements, connecting to conflict resolution & mediation, referrals to behavioral health services, utility & short-term rent and eviction prevention assistance, and legal services. Diversion continues to occur for anyone referred to the 6 local Coordinated Access Networks (CANs). CT Dept. of Housing (DOH) continues to fund diversion efforts and has established a legal services program for households facing eviction. Beginning in Jan 2023, CANs gained the ability to intervene at an earlier point in the housing crisis to prevent homelessness; individuals within 5 days of becoming unsheltered & youth & families within 14 days of becoming homeless are scheduled for a CAN assessment. A pilot project showed that providing services at this point did not increase requests for shelter and allowed for less crisis driven interventions. The CoC is also exploring implementing validated prevention screening tools to ensure those most likely to become homeless are served by these expanded prevention efforts. CT Coalition to End Homelessness continues to provide ongoing diversion training to staff and to facilitate diversion learning collaboratives for both adult and YHDP programs. The CT CAN End Homelessness Systems Entry Committee is working to respond to the causes of homelessness in each CAN, implement proven methods to divert people from homelessness and quickly match people to housing. 3) CT DOH is responsible for overseeing the strategy.

2C-1a.	Impact of Displaced Persons on Number of First Time Homeless.	
	NOFO Section V.B.5.b	

Was your CoC's Number of First Time Homeless [metric 5.2] affected by the number of persons seeking short-term shelter or housing assistance displaced due to:

1.	natural disasters?	No
2.	having recently arrived in your CoC's geographic area?	Yes

(limit 2,500 characters)

Both sheltered and unsheltered point in time counts increased this year, with sheltered increasing by 12% (248 people) and unsheltered by 7% (31 people) since the 2023 PIT count. Two neighboring states (i.e., NY & MA) have seen a well-documented influx of migrants in need of emergency homeless services. Information from CT BOS’s street outreach (SO) teams and emergency shelter (ES) staff indicate an increase in people entering homeless services projects in the CT BOS geographic area from other countries and states. CT BOS’s proximity to known migration hubs, availability of job opportunities for low wage workers, & wage growth among low wage workers that exceeds the national average are among the likely drivers of this increase. Legislation passed in 2019 mandating annual incremental increases in CT’s minimum wage combined with the availability of public transportation from NYC make CT BOS an attractive destination for migrants seeking low wage job opportunities. Reports from sector staff are supported by data, including CT Statewide McKinney-Vento data about students experiencing homelessness (SEH) shows an increase from 22.2% in the 2022-23 school year to 29% in the 2023-24 school year of all SEH classified as English Learners. English learners are defined as students lacking sufficient mastery of English to assure equal educational opportunity in the regular school program. While CT BOS does not collect data specific to “newly arriving” in the CoC’s geographic area, the CoC conducted analysis of data for people without a social security number from the 2023 to the 2024 PIT counts. That analysis reflected the following: In 2024, 69 household in ES and 28 households in SO programs had no social security number in HMIS. These numbers are more than double what they were in 2023. In addition, CT has seen about triple the number of asylum seekers compared to recent years with a backlog of more than 13,000 cases in Hartford’s immigration court. These data along with reports from sector staff signal an influx of displaced persons recently entering the CT BOS geographic area as a contributing factor to the CoC’s increase in metric 5.2.

2C-2.	Reducing Length of Time Homeless—CoC’s Strategy.	
	NOFO Section V.B.5.c.	
	In the field below:	
1.	describe your CoC’s strategy to reduce the length of time individuals and persons in families remain homeless;	
2.	describe how your CoC identifies and houses individuals and persons in families with the longest lengths of time homeless; and	
3.	provide the name of the organization or position title that is responsible for overseeing your CoC’s strategy to reduce the length of time individuals and families remain homeless.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1) The CoC uses multiple strategies to reduce Length of Time Homeless (LOTH), including monitoring LOTH data to inform strategies - average LOTH for persons in ES, SH & TH was 89 days in FY 23, the same as FY22. To reduce LOTH CT BOS provides training to staff on rapid exit; evaluates LOTH in program evaluations; dedicates 100% of PSH beds to Dedicated Plus; prioritizes for PSH based on LOTH; requires Housing First at 100% of projects; prioritizes HCV vouchers for people moving on from PSH to increase turnover in PSH; & increases housing inventory thru CoC & other federal, state & local resources. For example, the CoC added 147 RRH units and 444 PSH units from 2019 to 2024 and is applying for 12 new PSH and 6 new RRH projects in this NOFO. This year, CT Dept of Housing committed 340 new Section 8 vouchers to families, 90 of them to families experiencing homelessness. The CT Housing Finance Agency (CHFA) continues to use tax credits to create new PSH. In 2023 and 2024, CHFA awarded funds for 451 new affordable units, 196 of which are PSH for homeless people; all units go thru the CAN and serve those with the greatest LOTH. To encourage rapid exits, in the renewal evaluation process, BOS tracks length of time from project entry to housing move-in. The Housing Collective provides training to ES staff to provide housing-focused services w/the goal of reducing LOTH via rapid exits to PH. Per program standards, ES/SO must develop Housing Plans for all clients & use Motivational Interviewing & Person-Centered Planning to engage consumers in housing plans focused on rapid exit to PH. In June 2024 the Annual Training Institute provided training on rapid & effective exits, & the CoC continues to explore other affordable options to speed up placements as well as additional landlord engagement efforts. 2) The CoC identifies households with the longest LOTH using a byname list generated by HMIS. This list tabulates the cumulative LOTH & is used to prioritize households with the longest LOTH through the Coordinated Access Networks (CANs). The Housing Placement teams in each CAN match long-term homeless households w/vacancies & CAN staff work w/outreach, service providers, and the housing provider to obtain required documentation, locate housing & remove barriers to housing access. 3) CT Dept of Housing is responsible for overseeing this strategy.

2C-3.	Successful Permanent Housing Placement or Retention –CoC’s Strategy.	
	NOFO Section V.B.5.d.	
	In the field below:	
1.	describe your CoC’s strategy to increase the rate that individuals and persons in families residing in emergency shelter, safe havens, transitional housing, and rapid rehousing exit to permanent housing destinations;	
2.	describe your CoC’s strategy to increase the rate that individuals and persons in families residing in permanent housing projects retain their permanent housing or exit to permanent housing destinations; and	
3.	provide the name of the organization or position title that is responsible for overseeing your CoC’s strategy to increase the rate that individuals and families exit to or retain permanent housing.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1)CT BOS uses multiple strategies to increase PH exits from ES, SH, TH, & RRH. Quarterly, Steering Committee (SC) reviews SPMs including exits to PH & adjusts interventions to improve performance. Performance on this metric declined slightly from 55% in FY 22 to 53.4% in FY 23 & strategies to increase the rate include: monitoring program performance on exits to housing, increasing subsidies & subsidized units available to homeless people, providing training to staff on strategies to rapidly rehouse & support housing stabilization & increasing focus on promoting new housing options. Successful PH exits is an evaluation criterion in the annual performance review process & is assessed during monitoring visits. Poor performers are offered TA & required to submit corrective action plans. To expand exit options the SC works w/local PHAs to implement existing homeless preferences & request new & turnover units be allocated to the Coordinated Access Networks, & the CT Dept of Housing (DOH) & Housing Finance Agency (CHFA) pursue all federal opportunities & uses State bond financing to expand affordable housing units. In 2024, CHFA awarded funding for 28 new PSH homeless set-aside units in LIHTC projects. As of 10/1/24, 345 EHV's allocated to homeless people have been utilized. Training was offered in 2024 on increasing system flow, rapid & effective exits & next year, the CoC will provide more training on senior housing & other sustainable housing options. New DOH contract standards for housing-based CM services require the rate of exits to PH to increase by more than 5% over the prior year or be at 95%. 2) BOS has a 97.2% rate at which people in PH projects (non-RRH) retain or exit to PH. In addition to efforts described above to increase affordable PH options, strategies to maintain/improve this rate include: requiring case conferencing for tenants at risk of eviction to preserve housing or identify alternative placement; monitoring & evaluating CoC funded projects on this metric & providing TA to projects unable to meet standards; increasing units available through move-on initiatives with local PHAs, EHV vouchers & LIHTC projects & providing trainings to staff on topics related to housing retention (e.g., CTI, Housing First, housing stabilization). New DOH contract standards for housing-based CM services require exits to PH increase by more than 5% over the prior year or be at 95% 3) CT BOS Co-Chairs oversee the strategy.

2C-4.	Reducing Returns to Homelessness—CoC's Strategy.	
	NOFO Section V.B.5.e.	
	In the field below:	
1.	describe your CoC's strategy to identify individuals and families who return to homelessness;	
2.	describe your CoC's strategy to reduce the rate that individuals and families return to homelessness; and	
3.	provide the name of the organization or position title that is responsible for overseeing your CoC's strategy to reduce the rate individuals and persons in families return to homelessness.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1) Coordinated Access Networks (CANs) flag all households returning to homelessness at the point of return & assess reasons for the return. Plans for housing are informed by causes of previous housing failure. System Performance Measures (SPMs) show returns are most likely in the first 6 months following exit & number of persons returning overall declined by 6% from FY 2022 to FY 2023. An analysis of FY 23 SPMs data indicate common factors of those returning include: 23% have no income; 19% live w/mental illness & 3% are DV survivors. 2) The CoC reviews SPMs quarterly at Steering Committee meetings to adjust strategies & resource allocation to reduce returns. FY 2023, rate of returns for the first 6 months for TH is 5% & ES is 14%. CTCANdata.org provides users access to return data, enabling providers, CAN & CoC leaders, & funders to see rates of return for a project, agency, intervention type, &/or CAN, & to compare return rates across time periods. This ensures transparency & accountability & allows easy monitoring of effectiveness of efforts to reduce rates. When CANs identify returning households, reasons are assessed & additional housing supports are provided. CoC written policy requires that housing providers notify the CAN if a household is at risk of returning to homelessness. CANs convene case conferences to identify housing stabilization resources & prevent a return. Case managers monitor & reduce housing stability risks, mediate conflicts with landlords & assist those at-risk of return to access prevention services. Providers use motivational interviewing & person-centered planning to engage tenants in housing stabilization services, including home visits, intensive CM & linkages to mainstream services & income supports. The CoC monitors these practices, establishes performance standards & evaluates projects based on factors that reduce risk of returns (e.g., exit destination, increasing income, connecting participants to benefits/employment). CoC requires corrective action, as necessary. Given rate of returners w/no income is high, the CoC will be focusing on ensuring participants are accessing all public benefits they are eligible for & getting more assistance around employment. State contract requirements for housing-based CM services in PH require returns to shelter after 12 months decrease by more than 5% over the prior year or are less than 5%. 3) CT BOS Co-Chairs are responsible for overseeing this strategy.

2C-5.	Increasing Employment Cash Income—CoC's Strategy.	
	NOFO Section V.B.5.f.	

	In the field below:
1.	describe your CoC's strategy to access employment cash sources;
2.	describe how your CoC works with mainstream employment organizations to help individuals and families experiencing homelessness increase their employment cash income; and
3.	provide the organization name or position title that is responsible for overseeing your CoC's strategy to increase income from employment.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1) In FY23 rates of increased employment income for stayers was 6.3% & 18.1% for leavers - increase of 1 & 2% respectively. The CoC's strategy to increase employment income includes: the CoC Steering Committee (SC) has added the Dept of Labor as a member, reviews employment income data quarterly & takes action to improve outcomes. CoC Lead has partnered w/ American Job Centers (AJCs) on an initiative to build career pathways, strengthen candidate qualifications, & educate project staff about employment programs & resources. Youth presenting at Coordinated Access Networks (CANs) are referred directly to youth liaisons at Workforce Development Boards who assess employment needs & refer to services. Annually, the CoC evaluates projects on increasing employment income & requires submission of corrective action plans as needed. CoC staff review the plans & provide TA. The CoC requires most projects to assess participants at entry & every 6 months to determine employment income, employment goals & update service plans. RRH projects must assess & update plans every 3 months. The CoC created an Employment Resource Manual for providers to improve rates of employment. 2) CoC efforts to work with mainstream employment orgs. to increase employment income include: SC annually convenes CT Dept of Labor (SC member), people w/lived experience of homelessness (PLEH) & providers to identify & address barriers to accessing mainstream employment services & resources for PLEH; DOL SC member provides updates regularly at SC mtgs to share employment resources & events w/providers & PLEH; CCEH (SC member) annually convenes homeless services & employment provider agencies, AJCs, Workforce Investment Boards & Chambers of Commerce to develop collaborations that improve access for PLEH to mainstream employment services. With support from initiatives described above, the CoCs CANs are building & sustaining partnerships with mainstream employment organizations. Examples include: In Greater New Haven CAN a partnership with the Workforce Alliance resulted in a shared intake process for housing & employment services & a Work Group is facilitating bi-directional referrals between homeless service & mainstream employment agencies; in Greater Hartford CAN an MOU with Goodwin College provides streamlined access to job training & certification courses; a Ticket to Work Initiative in multiple CANs focused on helping RRH clients gain & maintain employment. 3) CoC Chairs oversee this strategy.

2C-5a.	Increasing Non-employment Cash Income—CoC's Strategy	
	NOFO Section V.B.5.f.	
	In the field below:	
	1. describe your CoC's strategy to access non-employment cash income; and	
	2. provide the organization name or position title that is responsible for overseeing your CoC's strategy to increase non-employment cash income.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1) The CoC’s strategy to access non-employment cash income includes: the CoC Steering Committee (SC) reviews rates of increased non-cash income quarterly & strategizes on improving outcomes. The CoC offers annual training on cash and non-cash benefits, including eligibility and application processes. CT DSS & the CT Coalition to End Homelessness (both SC voting members) offer regular trainings and online information about TANF, State Supplement, SAGA cash programs, SSI & SSDI. These trainings are recorded and distributed to CCEH & CoC e-mail lists with over 4000 recipients in the homeless services sector. The State of CT makes applying for multiple cash benefits easy through the CT Connect web portal, enabling access to 14 different cash & non-cash benefits. Per CoC policies, all projects assess participants at entry & every 6 months to determine cash benefits income & sources and work with participants to apply for benefits for which they are eligible. Providers assist participants to create online accounts, fill out and submit applications, access resources, follow up to maintain eligibility, and assist with transportation, internet or videoconference access as needed. Providers work closely with DSS staff to ensure benefits are obtained. To increase rates of receipt of SSI/SSDI, the CT Dept. of Mental Health and Addiction Services (DMHAS) funds multiple SSI/SSDI SOAR specialists in each Coordinated Access Network (CAN) in the CoC. Providers in CoC programs: work with these specialists to assist participants to access SSA through the online portal; provide transportation as needed; & provide assistance completing required forms, maintaining eligibility and other follow up required. The percent of people leaving with increased non-employment cash income has remained at 20% from FY 22 to FY 23; the SC will continue to track this criterion, and the CoC will continue to provide TA and trainings to improve this metric. CT Dept of Housing has contract requirements for housing-based case management services programs requiring at least 25% of households increase income (any source) from enrollment to exit/follow up assessment. 2) The CoC Chairs are responsible for overseeing this strategy.

3A. Coordination with Housing and Healthcare

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
- 24 CFR part 578;
- FY 2024 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
- Section 3 Resources;
- PHA Crosswalk; and
- Frequently Asked Questions

3A-1.	New PH-PSH/PH-RRH Project–Leveraging Housing Resources.	
	NOFO Section V.B.6.a.	
	You must upload the Housing Leveraging Commitment attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.	

	Is your CoC applying for a new PH-PSH or PH-RRH project that uses housing subsidies or subsidized housing units which are not funded through the CoC or ESG Programs to help individuals and families experiencing homelessness?	Yes
--	--	-----

3A-2.	New PH-PSH/PH-RRH Project–Leveraging Healthcare Resources.	
	NOFO Section V.B.6.b.	
	You must upload the Healthcare Formal Agreements attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.	

	Is your CoC applying for a new PH-PSH or PH-RRH project that uses healthcare resources to help individuals and families experiencing homelessness?	Yes
--	--	-----

3A-3.	Leveraging Housing/Healthcare Resources–List of Projects.	
	NOFO Sections V.B.6.a. and V.B.6.b.	

If you selected yes to questions 3A-1. or 3A-2., use the list feature icon to enter information about each project application you intend for HUD to evaluate to determine if they meet the criteria.

Project Name	Project Type	Rank Number	Leverage Type
Chrysalis Center ...	PH-PSH	104	Housing
Southeastern Ment...	PH-PSH	103	Healthcare
New Reach Greater...	PH-PSH	102	Healthcare

3A-3. List of Projects.

1. What is the name of the new project? Chrysalis Center Cedar Pointe
2. Enter the Unique Entity Identifier (UEI): R2J2V5BZNGY2
3. Select the new project type: PH-PSH
4. Enter the rank number of the project on your CoC's Priority Listing: 104
5. Select the type of leverage: Housing

3A-3. List of Projects.

1. What is the name of the new project? Southeastern Mental Health Authority PSH
2. Enter the Unique Entity Identifier (UEI): R2J2V5BZNGY2
3. Select the new project type: PH-PSH
4. Enter the rank number of the project on your CoC's Priority Listing: 103
5. Select the type of leverage: Healthcare

3A-3. List of Projects.

1. What is the name of the new project? New Reach Greater New Haven PSH
2. Enter the Unique Entity Identifier (UEI): R2J2V5BZNGY2

3. Select the new project type: PH-PSH

4. Enter the rank number of the project on your 102
CoC's Priority Listing:

5. Select the type of leverage: Healthcare

3B. New Projects With Rehabilitation/New Construction Costs

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
- 24 CFR part 578;
- FY 2024 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
- Section 3 Resources;
- PHA Crosswalk; and
- Frequently Asked Questions

3B-1.	Rehabilitation/New Construction Costs–New Projects.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.r.	

Is your CoC requesting funding for any new project application requesting \$200,000 or more in funding for housing rehabilitation or new construction?	No
--	----

3B-2.	Rehabilitation/New Construction Costs–New Projects.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.r.	

If you answered yes to question 3B-1, describe in the field below actions CoC Program-funded project applicants will take to comply with:

1.	Section 3 of the Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968 (12 U.S.C. 1701u); and
2.	HUD’s implementing rules at 24 CFR part 75 to provide employment and training opportunities for low- and very-low-income persons, as well as contracting and other economic opportunities for businesses that provide economic opportunities to low- and very-low-income persons.

(limit 2,500 characters)

Not Applicable

3C. Serving Persons Experiencing Homelessness as Defined by Other Federal Statutes

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
- 24 CFR part 578;
- FY 2024 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
- Section 3 Resources;
- PHA Crosswalk; and
- Frequently Asked Questions

3C-1.	Designating SSO/TH/Joint TH and PH-RRH Component Projects to Serve Persons Experiencing Homelessness as Defined by Other Federal Statutes.	
	NOFO Section V.F.	

	Is your CoC requesting to designate one or more of its SSO, TH, or Joint TH and PH-RRH component projects to serve families with children or youth experiencing homelessness as defined by other Federal statutes?	No
--	--	----

3C-2.	Cost Effectiveness of Serving Persons Experiencing Homelessness as Defined by Other Federal Statutes.	
	NOFO Section V.F.	

You must upload the Project List for Other Federal Statutes attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.

If you answered yes to question 3C-1, describe in the field below:

1.	how serving this population is of equal or greater priority, which means that it is equally or more cost effective in meeting the overall goals and objectives of the plan submitted under Section 427(b)(1)(B) of the Act, especially with respect to children and unaccompanied youth than serving the homeless as defined in paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of the definition of homeless in 24 CFR 578.3; and
2.	how your CoC will meet requirements described in Section 427(b)(1)(F) of the Act.

(limit 2,500 characters)

Not Applicable.

4A. DV Bonus Project Applicants for New DV Bonus Funding

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
- 24 CFR part 578;
- FY 2024 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
- Section 3 Resources;
- PHA Crosswalk; and
- Frequently Asked Questions

4A-1.	New DV Bonus Project Applicants.	
	NOFO Section I.B.3.j.	

	Did your CoC submit one or more new project applications for DV Bonus Funding?		Yes
--	--	--	-----

4A-1a.	DV Bonus Project Types.	
	NOFO Section I.B.3.j.	

Select yes or no in the chart below to indicate the type(s) of new DV Bonus project(s) your CoC included in its FY 2024 Priority Listing.

	Project Type	
1.	SSO Coordinated Entry	No
2.	PH-RRH or Joint TH and PH-RRH Component	Yes

You must click "Save" after selecting Yes for element 1 SSO Coordinated Entry to view questions 4A-2, 4A-2a. and 4A-2b.

4A-3.	Data Assessing Need for New DV Bonus Housing Projects in Your CoC's Geographic Area.	
	NOFO Section I.B.3.j.(1)(c) and I.B.3.j.(3)(c)	

1.	Enter the number of survivors that need housing or services:	13,373
2.	Enter the number of survivors your CoC is currently serving:	697
3.	Unmet Need:	12,676

4A-3a.	How Your CoC Calculated Local Need for New DV Bonus Housing Projects.	
	NOFO Section I.B.3.j.(1)(c)	
	Describe in the field below:	
1.	how your CoC calculated the number of DV survivors needing housing or services in question 4A-3 element 1 and element 2; and	
2.	the data source (e.g., comparable databases, other administrative data, external data source, HMIS for non-DV projects); or	
3.	if your CoC is unable to meet the needs of all survivors please explain in your response all barriers to meeting those needs.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1) Calculated # of survivors needing housing/services using data for the most recently completed fiscal year & all counties located in CTBOS as follows: A) # of DV, dating violence, sexual assault, stalking & human trafficking survivors who called the DV hotline & requested housing and/or other services (n=12,252); B) # of fleeing survivors being served by Victim Services Providers (VSPs; n=335); C) # of fleeing survivors awaiting services & being served by the CT BOS Coord Entry System & other HMIS participating projects (n=786). A+B+C= 13,373. To calculate the number survivors CT BOS is serving, we also used: data for the most recently completed fiscal year & all counties located in CT BOS: D) data from all projects participating in the comparable database on the number of fleeing survivors served (n=335) E) data from the projects participating in HMIS on the number of fleeing survivors served (n=362). D+E=697. All data within each data system was deduplicated. 2) Data sources used for each data element specified above: A) CCADV’s administrative data system used to track hotline calls; B & D) Statewide comparable database; C & E) HMIS 3) While there have been substantial additional resources deployed in recent years from HUD CoC DV Bonus Funds, the number of homeless DV survivors increased from the 2023 to the 2024 PIT count by 5%. In the two years CT BOS continued to see increases in DV prevalence: DV shelters ran at 156% of capacity, number of survivors receiving assistance by a CCADV member agency increased by 13% - the most requested service was housing. Barriers to meeting housing & service needs are numerous. Most significantly, there is a severe shortage of available housing units affordable to extremely low-income households (estimated at 92,500 in CT BOS). At 3.5%, the housing vacancy rate is among the worst in the nation. Inflation & increased energy & transportation costs consume higher portions of household budgets putting housing further out of reach. Due to labor market challenges, high staff turnover & delays in hiring among homeless services providers remain barriers to meeting the need. Despite CT’s model Lethality Assessment Program, through which trained police at DV calls assess risk for serious injury or death and can immediately link those at greatest risk to a local DV advocate, just 64% of high danger survivors speak with a counselor demonstrating that distrust of systems & fear of stigma remain barriers to engagement.

4A-3b.	Information About Unique Project Applicant Requesting New DV Bonus Housing Project(s).	
	NOFO Section I.B.3.j.(1)	

Use the list feature icon to enter information on each unique project applicant applying for New PH-RRH and Joint TH and PH-RRH Component DV Bonus projects—only enter project applicant information once, regardless of how many DV Bonus projects that applicant is applying for.

Applicant Name
Connecticut Depar...

Project Applicants Applying for New PH-RRH and Joint TH and PH-RRH DV Bonus Projects

4A-3b.	Information About Unique Project Applicant Requesting New DV Bonus Housing Project(s).	
	NOFO Section I.B.3.j.(1)	

Enter information in the chart below on the project applicant that applied for one or more New DV Bonus housing projects included on your CoC's FY 2024 Priority Listing for New Projects:

1.	Applicant Name	Connecticut Department of Housing
2.	Rate of Housing Placement of DV Survivors–Percentage	65%
3.	Rate of Housing Retention of DV Survivors–Percentage	99%

4A-3b.1.	Applicant's Housing Placement and Retention Data Explanation.	
	NOFO Section I.B.3.j.(1)(d)	

For the rate of housing placement and rate of housing retention of DV survivors reported in question 4B-3b., describe in the field below:

1.	how the project applicant calculated the rate of housing placement;
2.	whether the rate for housing placement accounts for exits to safe housing destinations;
3.	how the project applicant calculated the rate of housing retention; and
4.	the data source (e.g., comparable databases, other administrative data, external data source, HMIS for non-DV projects).

(limit 1,500 characters)

1)The rate of housing placement was calculated by taking the sum of DV Bonus PH-RRH participants who moved into housing (numerator) divided by the total number of DV Bonus PH-RRH participants who entered the programs (denominator) during the most recently completed program years. The households that were not placed did not enter for a variety of reasons including self-resolution, relocation, & other placements. 2) The rates account only for exits to safe housing destinations as the rates reflects only placements at member agencies of CT Coalition Against Domestic Violence (CCADV). Exits to unsafe destinations are excluded from the calculation for housing placement and housing retention. 3) Rate of housing retention was calculated by taking the number of participants who retained PH or exited to PH destinations divided by the total number of participants who were in the projects during the reporting period using the most recently completed APR data. The projects used in the calculation include DV-RRH, Joint TH/RRH and DV-PSH projects serving survivors exclusively with a completed operating year. 4) For housing placement and for housing retention, data were pulled from the most recently completed APRs, which are generated from the DV comparable database (ETO).

4A-3c.	Applicant's Experience Housing DV Survivors.	
	NOFO Section I.B.3.j.(1)(d)	
	Describe in the field below how the project applicant:	
1.	ensured DV survivors experiencing homelessness were quickly moved into safe affordable housing;	
2.	prioritized survivors—you must address the process the project applicant used, e.g., Coordinated Entry, prioritization list, CoC's emergency transfer plan;	
3.	determined survivors' supportive services needs;	
4.	connected survivors to supportive services; and	
5.	moved survivors from assisted housing to housing they could sustain—address housing stability after the housing subsidy ends.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1&2) CT Department of Housing (DOH) is the project applicant. Project subrecipients are CT Coalition Against DV (CCADV), Safe Futures (member agency of CCADV), Access Agency (AA) & Friendship Services Center (FSC). FY24 applicant experience: DOH managed the Coordinated Access Networks (CANs) & oversaw Rapid Rehousing (RRH) projects statewide, including DV Bonus RRH & Joint TH/RRH projects. DOH & CCADV ensured survivors' needs were assessed in accordance w/CAN protocols, applicants were prioritized on the by-name list, those w/high lethality scores received priority access & same day match occurred when survivor needed to flee quickly. DOH monitored CAN data & improved efficiency to ensure that survivors rapidly moved into safe housing & obtained emergency transfers per CoC policies. 3) In FY24, CCADV oversaw DV service providers' (including Safe Futures (SF)) assessments of survivors which determined supportive service (SS) needs. SF, AA and FSC performed SS need assessments for: safety & lethality, healthcare, income & employment, receipt of mainstream benefits, community supports & resources needed to access & maintain connections to supports. 4) In FY24, CCADV coordinated provision of all housing & SS in DV RRH projects & DOH oversaw the TH-RRH project. DV, human trafficking & housing providers, including SF, AA and FSC, provided the following: housing placement; assessing strengths, housing barriers, & safety; engaging & addressing landlord concerns, educating them about legal survivor protections; & more. All CCADV partner agency staff were trained to help survivors overcome barriers to housing, including safety threats, complex legal issues, low income, economic abuse, & the impacts of trauma. Staff used trauma-informed, motivation building, & person-centered planning to help survivors quickly access safe permanent housing. AA & FSC referred to CCADV partner agencies as needed for connection to specialized services (e.g., legal assistance) 5) In FY24, the housing & SS detailed here assisted clients in gaining skills & resources needed to sustain housing at subsidy's end. Providers used the evidence-based practice, Critical Time Intervention, to support housing stability, focused on increasing income & supports, monitored housing stability, & addressed stability risks. Clients were helped to increase income via employment &/or cash benefits & helped to access health insurance, & other mainstream benefits so they have resources to sustain housing.

4A-3d.	Applicant's Experience in Ensuring DV Survivors' Safety.	
	NOFO Section I.B.3.j.(1)(d)	
	Describe in the field below examples of how the project applicant ensured the safety and confidentiality of DV survivors experiencing homelessness by:	
	1. taking steps to ensure privacy/confidentiality during the intake and interview process to minimize potential coercion of survivors;	
	2. making determinations and placements into safe housing;	
	3. keeping survivors' information and locations confidential;	
	4. training staff on safety and confidentiality policies and practices; and	
	5. taking security measures for units (congregate or scattered site), that support survivors' physical safety and location confidentiality.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

Examples of how DOH worked in FY24 with its subrecipients, CT Coalition Against DV (CCADV) & Safe Futures, Access Agency (AA) & Friendship Services Center (FSC) to ensure the safety & confidentiality of DV survivors experiencing homelessness are: 1) Ensured staff adhere to CCADV membership standards for confidentiality; conducted intake & other discussions in private settings; provided choice, & maximized survivor comfort by conducting intake/interviews separately w/each member of a couple & coached staff on: normalizing that approach, reducing likelihood of conflict, & increasing the likelihood of completing the intake; 2) Assisted survivors to identify safety risks, understand housing options, & determine safest options safest for them - included understanding types of housing options & considerations such as proximity to abuser, & supportive friends/family/service providers; 3) Protected the confidentiality of all types of info & addresses via review of paperwork to ensure removal of addresses & ensured adherence to CCADV standards for confidentiality, &/or only releasing information with a signed release.; 4) CCADV member agencies adhered to standards that include protocols for ensuring safety; required all staff to complete a 20-hour certification & ensured adherence to CCADV standards via annual on-site evaluations; Statutorily required DV Advocates to get 20 hours of training to become certified (DV) counselors, plus. 6 hours of Training/year, including safety planning among other topics. CCADV member organizations: followed standards designed to ensure that they provided high quality services to clients & adopted best practices; provided quarterly cross-system safety planning training, including how to: assess survivors' unique long & short-term safety needs, maintain safety of self & children in ongoing relationships & if choosing to leave an abuser & more safely managing health, finances, employment, housing location, etc.; AA & FSC staff benefited from the cross-training on safety planning, trauma-informed care & services accessible via the CCADV network 5) Assisted survivors to identify unit safety risks & needs, understand options & determine which are safest for them, including building security features (e.g., security staff, lighting, window bars, cameras & alarms) & assisted in provision of the measures of the survivors' choosing. All congregate and scattered site DV project addresses are confidential.

4A-3d.1.	Applicant's Experience in Evaluating Its Ability to Ensure DV Survivors' Safety.	
	NOFO Section I.B.3.j.(1)(d)	

Describe in the field below how the project evaluated its ability to ensure the safety of DV survivors the project served in the project, including any areas identified for improvement throughout the project's operation.

(limit 2,500 characters)

CT Coalition Against DV (CCADV), Safe Futures, a CCADV member organization, Access Agency & Friendship Services Center are subrecipients to CT Dept. of Housing which is the applicant on the 3 DV Bonus projects. All subrecipients evaluated safety of DV survivors in projects by administering surveys to all participants asking if they feel safe or safer since being in the program w/the overall majority responding yes. In addition to regular surveys, clients can always provide feedback directly to staff &/or in an anonymous, confidential manner. Upon completion of surveys, staff reviewed results & determined any program adjustments that needed addressing. Safe Futures and other CCADV member sites are evaluated annually for compliance w/safety standards, which include the following: DV Advocates required to get 20 hours of training to become a certified DV Counselor, & 6 hours/year thereafter to maintain certification; Training includes the dynamics of DV, crisis intervention strategies & techniques, & safety planning; Organizations have been required to use safety planning with all clients, at the time of initial contact & throughout all continued contacts. AA & FSC agreed to enlist the help of CCADV to ensure the safety of DV survivors and evaluate that ability. As appropriate, concerns resulting from evaluations are addressed with providers through provision of TA, or brought to CCADV &/or the CoC Steering Committee for consideration of policy/procedure changes. Within the past 2 years, participants identified that financial concerns were undermining feelings of safety & potentially driving some back to their abusers. As a result, payment standards for DV RRH were adjusted to provide more financial assistance for a longer period. Economic security is a major factor in ensuring survivor safety & has been identified as an area for improvement during the course of the proposed projects. As such, CCADV will continue to partner with the National Network to End DV to enroll participants in a special DV credit repair program w/the intention of creating more and safer options for living situations. CCADV & Safe Futures value the voices of people with lived experience of DV (PLE) & prioritize getting input & feedback from current & former participants by having the VOICES committee, comprised of PLE including current & recently graduated clients across programs & by launching the Survivor Leadership Group in February 2024.

4A-3e.	Applicant's Experience in Placing and Stabilizing Survivors in Permanent Housing Using Trauma-Informed, Survivor-Centered Approaches.	
	NOFO Section I.B.3.j.(1)(d)	

Describe in the field below the project applicant's experience in:

- | | |
|----|--|
| 1. | prioritizing placement and stabilization of survivors; |
| 2. | placing survivors in permanent housing; |
| 3. | placing and stabilizing survivors consistent with their preferences; and |
| 4. | placing and stabilizing survivors consistent with their stated needs. |

(limit 2,500 characters)

The CT Department of Housing (DOH) has extensive experience utilizing trauma-informed, victim-centered approaches in the current programs it manages, which include RRH, PSH, & Joint TH/RRH & all proposed subrecipients. 1) DOH ensured that the statewide Coordinated Access Network (CAN) system recognized the prevalence of trauma and fully integrated knowledge about trauma into policies, procedures and practices. DOH oversaw a trauma-informed, survivor-centered model, recognized nationally as a best practice, for safely including survivors on a statewide By-Name List (BNL) & prioritizing those with the greatest needs for housing using criteria outlined in Statewide Coordinated Access Network (CAN) policies. 2) This model honored participant choice among available housing options and prioritized rapid placement in permanent housing (PH) centering client safety while factoring in client needs, preferences and options. Subrecipient CCADV managed placement of survivors into its member agency (victim service provider) PH programs. CCADV and its member agencies also facilitated safety planning and other specialized care as needed for survivors choosing that assistance. All subrecipients prioritized the placement and stabilization of survivors in PH, helping survivors locate suitable PH options and helping staff to: support survivors to recognize how trauma has impacted their lives and reduce that impact over time, build on strengths, respect boundaries and partner with survivors to develop a plan and access supports to quickly stabilize in safe permanent housing. 3 and 4) DOH oversaw the CAN level placement and stabilization of survivors in a manner consistent with their preferences and stated needs by training CAN staff in trauma-informed, survivor centered practices which informed: assessments to gather survivor preferences and stated needs, and a collaborative approach to survivors’ permanent housing solutions. Subrecipients continued the work of the CANs using evidence-based practices such as motivational interviewing and critical time intervention to further elicit and assess survivor preferences and stated needs and centering the survivor in housing placement and stabilization, taking into account safety, preferred location, proximity to needed supports, and provision of preferred/needed services.

4A-3f.	Applicant’s Experience in Trauma-Informed, Survivor-Centered Approaches.	
	NOFO Section I.B.3.j.(1)(d)	

Describe in the field below examples of the project applicant’s experience using trauma-informed, victim-centered approaches to meet needs of DV survivors by:

1.	establishing and maintaining an environment of agency and mutual respect, e.g., the project does not use punitive interventions, ensures survivors and staff interactions are based on equality, and minimize power differentials;
2.	providing survivors access to information on trauma, e.g., training staff on providing survivors with information on the effects of trauma;
3.	emphasizing survivors’ strengths, e.g., strength-based coaching, questionnaires and assessment tools include strength-based measures, case plans worked towards survivor-defined goals and aspirations;
4.	centering on cultural responsiveness and inclusivity, e.g., training on equal access, cultural competence, nondiscrimination, language access, improving services to be culturally responsive, accessible, and trauma-informed;
5.	providing a variety of opportunities for survivors’ connections, e.g., groups, mentorships, peer-to-peer, spiritual needs; and
6.	offering support for survivor parenting, e.g., trauma-informed parenting classes, childcare, connections to legal services.

(limit 5,000 characters)

The CT Department of Housing (DOH) has extensive experience using trauma-informed, victim-centered approaches in the programs it currently manages. Subrecipients, CCADV & member agency, Safe Futures (SF), - also a subrecipient – have extensive experience helping staff with the following: assessing needs and preferences of survivors and their families, honoring survivors’ expertise by providing options and respecting survivors’ decisions, supporting survivors to recognize how trauma has impacted their lives & reducing that impact over time, building on strengths, respecting boundaries & partnering with survivors to develop a plan to quickly access safe permanent housing. CCADV has provided training to staff aimed at strengthening CT’s response to victims of domestic violence. Subrecipients, Friendship Services Center (FSC) & Access Agency (AA) have extensive experience with the above practices with the homeless population, many of whom are survivors. They have referred out to CCADV and their member organizations for assistance as needed/appropriate. DOH and subrecipients’ experience in FY2024: 1). Subrecipients supported survivors to explore options, analyze pros and cons of each & make informed personal decisions, teaching staff to honor survivor expertise, interact as equals and minimize power differentials. Subrecipients ensured that survivors can choose if, how, when, where, and with whom to share information & that the information disclosed is documented in a manner that protects confidentiality & limits the number of times they are asked to tell their stories. 2) CCADV used ongoing training, staff support, and monitoring/oversight of member agencies, including SF, to ensure utilization of trauma informed, victim-centered approaches. AA & FSC staff utilized ongoing CoC-wide trainings on trauma-informed and person-centered approaches. Subrecipient staff established strong connections with clients to help them feel safe & supported, provide information about the impact of trauma, & refer to services available in the community. Staff were trained on use of a self-assessment & planning tool that evaluates the extent to which practices are trauma-informed, identifies & prioritizes key areas for change, & tracks progress towards a trauma-informed service system. 3) CCADV has: provided training to participating providers (including SF) to help staff implement strengths-based coaching; provided assessment & service planning tools that explore participants strengths & prompt for work towards personal goals & aspirations. All subrecipients have experience using a strengths-based assessment and case management approach, & use tools available from CCADV & the CoC to facilitate that approach. 4) CCADV ensured culturally competent services in its CT Safe Connect Program, providing access to certified DV counselors available via phone, chat, text, & email 24 hours a day. CT Safe Connect advocates are 85% bilingual in English and Spanish with several other languages spoken and their cultural backgrounds span over 10 different countries & 4 continents. The platform also auto-translated many spoken languages. Using training provided by CCADV and the CoC, subrecipient agencies have experience ensuring equal access, cultural responsiveness & nondiscrimination, and maintained strong community partnerships & connected survivors to agencies that offer culturally-specific services, as needed. 5) CCADV developed statewide resources, which other subrecipients utilized to promote connections & parenting supports among & for program participants (e.g., parenting classes, childcare, groups, mentorships, peer-to-peer support, and opportunities to address spiritual needs), with projects & partnerships that offer support like Coaching Boys into Men, Safe Families Safe Homes, & others. Subrecipients offered multiple opportunities for clients to foster natural connections & peer support, through groups, activities for children & social events. 6) Each CCADV member program had a specialized Child Advocate,

and other subrecipients had a McKinney-Vento educational liaison, roles specifically designed to address the needs of children and young adults. Child Advocates work with parents to help them understand the effects of the domestic violence & how it impacts the child, restore a healthy parent-child relationship & safety plan when the offending parent is involved. Member programs & subrecipients connected clients with Head Start & other educational opportunities as well as subsidized childcare. CCADV also funded each member organization to have a Family Violence Victim Advocate on staff who works in the courts & ensures access to legal services for program participants.

4A-3g.	Applicant's Experience Meeting Service Needs of DV Survivors.	
	NOFO Section I.B.3.j.(1)(d)	

Describe in the field below examples of supportive services the project provided to domestic violence survivors while quickly moving them into permanent housing and addressing their safety needs.

(limit 5,000 characters)

The proposed DV Bonus projects, share CT Dept. of Housing (DOH) as applicant. CT Coalition Against Domestic Violence (CCADV - the statewide DV organization) is subrecipient (sub) for 1 RRH Expansion, Friendship Service Center (FSC) & Access Agency (AA) are subs for the other RRH, & Safe Futures (CCADV member org) is sub for the Joint TH/RRH project. DOH, CCADV & SF have partnered for more than 5 years to provide DV survivors experiencing homelessness w/ supportive services (SS). This is an established & effective structure for 4 existing CoC projects: CCADV's CoC-wide RRH DV Bonus projects, & Safe Futures' (SF) PSH & TH projects. FSC & AA, while not VSPs, have significant experience working with survivors in SO, ES, RRH, & PSH settings. DOH and all subrecipients have demonstrated experience addressing safety needs while assisting survivors to quickly move into PH, connecting with specialized safety and survivor assistance as needed. Examples include – During FY24 these partners provided the following SS to DV survivors:

Housing search & counseling – Partners employed housing navigators & case managers to assist in identification of local landlords & units; conducted HQS inspections; determined rent reasonableness; & ensured prompt processing of all documents necessary to execute leases & initiate rental assistance. They also helped participants understand leases & tenancy responsibilities & assisted with credit repair. Subrecipient staff assisted with landlord mediation & other housing related issues as necessary post placement. Services were provided using a trauma-informed approach while maintaining confidentiality to address safety.

Long-term housing stability safety planning – Subrecipient agencies either directly provided or partnered with local agencies specializing in DV &/or human trafficking services to provide long-term housing safety plans, to help ensure participant safety during their program tenure and prepare for sustained safety once survivors exit programs. This included coaching in self-advocacy, guidance on knowledge/ability to acquire resources to provide additional advocacy, info on & ability to implement physical household safety measures in housing as necessary (e.g. deadbolts, window/door bars & locks, lighting, security cameras, etc.), legal support including child custody, & counseling on safety related to housing location & community engagement. Housing stability w/out continued supports was achieved for nearly half of VSP participants within 6 months as evidenced by 0% of participants exiting to shelters (except as necessary to ensure safety), streets or unknown locations,

Financial Planning and Credit Counseling– Staff in subrecipients projects assisted survivors w/ matters related to financial independence to navigate systems & exit to & sustain permanent housing without reliance on abusers for support. This involved: connection to mainstream public benefits; advocacy to obtain benefits; advocacy w/utility companies; linkages to educational & employment opportunities, job training & community resources, & connection to financial literacy, childcare & credit repair services. Outcomes for VSPs show that 52% of adult participants had earned income at exit/annual assessment.

Crisis DV Services – Subrecipient programs utilized certified DV counselors that staff Safe Connect, a state-wide DV crisis service available via phone, chat, text and email 24 hours a day, & a SF's regional DV crisis hotline. These provided trauma-informed care specializing in intimate partner violence including risk assessment, triage, advocacy, the provision of information, & emotional support.

Access to Healthcare – Staff in subrecipients' projects assisted survivors in obtaining health insurance for themselves & their children & provided advocacy in accessing physical, mental & behavioral healthcare, including substance use

treatment. They also provided &/or helped coordinate transportation to appointments & counseled survivors regarding potential safety issues in obtaining healthcare.

Legal Services – Staff in subrecipients’ projects provided legal advocacy & education & linked, as appropriate, to additional legal services such as the Family Violence Victim Advocate. All agencies have a Family Violence Advocate who worked in the courts and ensured access to legal services in cases of child custody disputes, orders of protection, divorce proceedings, etc. Through existing projects, DOH, CCADV, SF, AA and FSC provided strengths-based, client-centered, & trauma-informed services to empower survivors to overcome the impacts of abuse, secure housing, increase income, & determine their own futures.

4A-3h.	Applicant’s Plan for Placing and Stabilizing Survivors in Permanent Housing Using Trauma-Informed, Survivor-Centered Approaches in the New DV Bonus Housing Project(s).	
NOFO Section I.B.3.j.(1)(e)		

Describe in the field below how the project(s) will:

1.	prioritize placement and stabilization of program participants;
2.	place program participants in permanent housing;
3.	place and stabilize program participants consistent with their preferences; and
4.	place and stabilize program participants consistent with their stated needs.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1) DOH will ensure that the statewide Coordinated Access Network (CAN) system recognizes the prevalence of trauma and fully integrates knowledge about trauma into policies, procedures and practices. DOH will continue to oversee a trauma-informed, survivor-centered model, that has been recognized nationally as a best practice, to safely include survivors on a statewide By-Name List (BNL) & prioritize those with the greatest needs for housing using criteria outlined in Statewide Coordinated Access Network (CAN) policies. 2) This model will honor participant choice among available housing options and prioritize rapid placement in permanent housing (PH) centering client safety while factoring in client needs, preferences and options. Subrecipient, CCADV, will manage placement of survivors into its member agency (victim service provider) PH programs. CCADV and its member agencies will also facilitate safety planning and other specialized care as needed for survivors choosing that assistance. All subrecipients will prioritize the placement and stabilization of survivors in PH, helping survivors locate suitable PH options and helping staff to: support survivors to recognize how trauma has impacted their lives and reduce that impact over time, build on strengths, respect boundaries and partner with survivors to develop a plan and access supports to quickly stabilize in safe permanent housing. 3 and 4) DOH will oversee the CAN level placement and stabilization of survivors in a manner consistent with their preferences and stated needs by training CAN staff in trauma-informed, survivor centered practices which will inform: assessments to gather survivor preferences and stated needs, and a collaborative approach to survivors’ permanent housing solutions. Subrecipients will continue the work of the CANs, using evidence-based practices such as Motivational Interviewing and Critical Time Intervention to further elicit and assess survivor preferences and stated needs and to center the survivor in housing placement and stabilization efforts, taking into account safety, preferred location, proximity to needed supports, and provision of preferred/needed services.

4A-3i.	Applicant’s Plan for Administering Trauma-Informed, Survivor-Centered Practices in the New DV Bonus Housing Project(s).	
	NOFO Section I.B.3.j.(1)(e)	

Describe in the field below examples of how the new project(s) will:	
1.	establish and maintain an environment of agency and mutual respect, e.g., the project does not use punitive interventions, ensures program participant and staff interactions are based on equality, and minimize power differentials;
2.	provide program participants access to information on trauma, e.g., training staff on providing program participants with information on the effects of trauma;
3.	emphasize program participants’ strengths—for example, strength-based coaching, questionnaires and assessment tools include strength-based measures, case plans work towards survivor-defined goals and aspirations;
4.	center on cultural responsiveness and inclusivity, e.g., training on equal access, cultural competence, nondiscrimination, language access, improving services to be culturally responsive, accessible, and trauma-informed;
5.	provide a variety of opportunities for program participants’ connections, e.g., groups, mentorships, peer-to-peer, spiritual needs; and
6.	offer support for survivor parenting, e.g., trauma-informed parenting classes, childcare, connections to legal services.

(limit 5,000 characters)

1)The CT Department of Housing (DOH) and all subrecipients will assess needs and preferences of survivors and their families, honor survivors’ expertise by providing options and respecting survivors’ decisions, support survivors to recognize how trauma has impacted their lives & to reduce that impact over time, build on strengths, respect boundaries & partner with survivors to develop a plan to quickly access safe permanent housing. DOH will prohibit punitive interventions. Subrecipients will support survivors to explore options, analyze pros and cons of each & make informed personal decisions, teaching staff to honor survivor expertise, interact as equals and minimize power differentials. Subrecipients will ensure that survivors can choose if, how, when, where, and with whom to share information & that the information disclosed is documented in a manner that protects confidentiality & limits the number of times survivors are asked to tell their stories. 2) CCADV will use ongoing training, staff support, and monitoring/oversight of member agencies, including SF, to ensure utilization of trauma informed, victim-centered approaches. AA & FSC staff will utilize ongoing CoC-wide trainings on trauma-informed and person-centered approaches. Subrecipient staff will establish strong connections with clients to help them feel safe & supported, provide information about the impact of trauma, & refer to services available in the community. Staff will be trained on use of a self-assessment & planning tool that evaluates the extent to which practices are trauma-informed, identifies & prioritizes key areas for change, & tracks progress towards a trauma-informed service system. 3) CCADV will: provide training to participating providers to help staff implement strengths-based coaching; provide assessment & service planning tools that explore participants strengths & prompt for work towards personal goals & aspirations. All subrecipients will use a strengths-based assessment and case management approach, & tools available from CCADV & the CoC to facilitate that approach. 4) CCADV will ensure culturally competent services in its CT Safe Connect Program, providing access to certified DV counselors available via phone, chat, text, & email 24 hours a day. CT Safe Connect advocates are 85% bilingual in English and Spanish with several other languages spoken and their cultural backgrounds span over 10 different countries & 4 continents. The platform will also auto-translate many spoken languages. Using training provided by CCADV and the CoC, subrecipient agencies will ensure equal access, cultural responsiveness & nondiscrimination, maintain strong community partnerships & connect survivors to agencies that offer culturally-specific services, as needed. 5) CCADV will develop statewide resources, which other subrecipients utilize to promote connections & parenting supports among & for program participants (e.g., parenting classes, childcare, groups, mentorships, peer-to-peer support, and opportunities to address spiritual needs), with projects & partnerships that offer support like Coaching Boys into Men, Safe Families Safe Homes, & others. Subrecipients will offer multiple opportunities for clients to foster natural connections & peer support, through groups, activities for children & social events. 6) Each CCADV member program will have a designated Child Advocate, and other subrecipients will have a McKinney-Vento educational liaison, roles specifically designed to address the needs of children and young adults. Child Advocates will work with parents to help them understand the effects of the domestic violence & how it impacts the child, restore a healthy parent-child relationship & safety plan when the offending parent is involved. Subrecipients will connect clients with Head Start & other educational opportunities as well as subsidized childcare options. CCADV will also fund each member organization to have a Family Violence Victim Advocate on staff who works in the courts & ensures access to legal services to provide representation regarding orders of protection, child custody and divorce

proceedings.

4A-3j.	Applicant's Plan for Involving Survivors in Policy and Program Development, Operations, and Evaluation in the New DV Bonus Housing Project(s).	
NOFO Section I.B.3.j.(1)(f)		

Describe in the field below how the new project will involve survivors:

1.	with a range of lived expertise; and
2.	in policy and program development throughout the project's operation.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1) For the 3 DV Bonus projects, CT Coalition Against DV (CCADV), Safe Futures, Access Agency & Friendship Services Center are subrecipients. Safe Futures will continue to employ its VOICES committee, to address poly-victimization in trauma-informed, hope-centered ways, prioritizing selfcare, wellness & victim-defined coordinated responses. In January 2024 CCADV launched the Survivor Leadership Group (SLG). The VOICES committee & SLG are comprised of persons with a range of lived expertise (e.g. homelessness, DV, economic insecurity, disability, racism, sexism, & homo/transphobia). Satisfaction surveys will be completed by survivors with a range of expertise at each DV project annually, and opportunities for survivors to provide feedback will be available through one-one-one meetings, groups, suggestion boxes and formal meetings. 2) The SLG and VOICES will be engaged in policy & program development for the new projects as well as other important initiatives. Both groups will engage & empower DV survivors to share their experiences & effectuate meaningful change to programs, services, & public policy. The groups will also embrace members' knowledge & experience to guide the work of strengthening survivor rights and protections, including both internal response and service provision, and external systems advocacy. Surveys will obtain feedback from survivors on the projects, DV emergency services available & coordinated entry system. All surveys will be reviewed by individual agencies as well as CCADV and information will inform service provision. Staff will also create frequent opportunities for participants to candidly share what is going well and what can be done better to make meaningful, client-driven changes to programming. Based on client feedback, the projects will implement new initiatives, such as flexible funds and partnerships to enable access to specific services identified as gaps & needs. In addition, the CoC Consumer Leadership Involvement Project (CLIP), (a group comprised of and run by persons with lived experience of homelessness and survivors of violence) is engaged in ongoing policy & program development work. CLIP will identify ways that systems & services are effective and ineffective in meeting the needs of survivors from diverse backgrounds. CLIP will provide input & recommendations to the CoC Steering Committee, including identifying problems in the current systems of housing & services that require program and policy changes.

4B. Attachments Screen For All Application Questions

We have provided the following guidance to help you successfully upload attachments and get maximum points:

1. You must include a Document Description for each attachment you upload; if you do not, the Submission Summary screen will display a red X indicating the submission is incomplete.
2. You must upload an attachment for each document listed where 'Required?' is 'Yes'.
3. We prefer that you use PDF files, though other file types are supported—please only use zip files if necessary. Converting electronic files to PDF, rather than printing documents and scanning them, often produces higher quality images. Many systems allow you to create PDF files as a Print option. If you are unfamiliar with this process, you should consult your IT Support or search for information on Google or YouTube.
4. Attachments must match the questions they are associated with.
5. Only upload documents responsive to the questions posed—including other material slows down the review process, which ultimately slows down the funding process.
6. If you cannot read the attachment, it is likely we cannot read it either.
 - . We must be able to read the date and time on attachments requiring system-generated dates and times, (e.g., a screenshot displaying the time and date of the public posting using your desktop calendar; screenshot of a webpage that indicates date and time).
 - . We must be able to read everything you want us to consider in any attachment.
7. After you upload each attachment, use the Download feature to access and check the attachment to ensure it matches the required Document Type and to ensure it contains all pages you intend to include.
8. Only use the "Other" attachment option to meet an attachment requirement that is not otherwise listed in these detailed instructions.

Document Type	Required?	Document Description	Date Attached
1C-7. PHA Homeless Preference	No	PHA Homeless Pref...	10/16/2024
1C-7. PHA Moving On Preference	No	PHA Moving On Pre...	10/16/2024
1D-10a. Lived Experience Support Letter	Yes	Lived Experience ...	10/21/2024
1D-2a. Housing First Evaluation	Yes	Housing First Eva...	10/16/2024
1E-2. Local Competition Scoring Tool	Yes	Local Competition...	10/16/2024
1E-2a. Scored Forms for One Project	Yes	Scored Forms for ...	10/16/2024
1E-5. Notification of Projects Rejected-Reduced	Yes	Notification of P...	10/21/2024
1E-5a. Notification of Projects Accepted	Yes	Notification of P...	10/21/2024
1E-5b. Local Competition Selection Results	Yes	Local Competition...	10/21/2024
1E-5c. Web Posting—CoC-Approved Consolidated Application	Yes		
1E-5d. Notification of CoC-Approved Consolidated Application	Yes		

2A-6. HUD's Homeless Data Exchange (HDX) Competition Report	Yes	HUD's Homeless Da...	10/16/2024
3A-1a. Housing Leveraging Commitments	No	Housing Leveragin...	10/16/2024
3A-2a. Healthcare Formal Agreements	No	Healthcare Formal...	10/16/2024
3C-2. Project List for Other Federal Statutes	No		
Other	No		

Attachment Details

Document Description: PHA Homeless Preference

Attachment Details

Document Description: PHA Moving On Preference

Attachment Details

Document Description: Lived Experience Support Letter

Attachment Details

Document Description: Housing First Evaluation

Attachment Details

Document Description: Local Competition Scoring Tool

Attachment Details

Document Description: Scored Forms for One Project

Attachment Details

Document Description: Notification of Projects Rejected-Reduced

Attachment Details

Document Description: Notification of Projects Accepted

Attachment Details

Document Description: Local Competition Selection Results

Attachment Details

Document Description:

Attachment Details

Document Description:

Attachment Details

Document Description: HUD's Homeless Data Exchange (HDX)
Competition Report

Attachment Details

Document Description: Housing Leveraging Commitments

Attachment Details

Document Description: Healthcare Formal Agreements

Attachment Details

Document Description:

Attachment Details

Document Description:

Submission Summary

Ensure that the Project Priority List is complete prior to submitting.

Page	Last Updated
1A. CoC Identification	09/11/2024
1B. Inclusive Structure	10/15/2024
1C. Coordination and Engagement	10/15/2024
1D. Coordination and Engagement Cont'd	10/10/2024
1E. Project Review/Ranking	Please Complete
2A. HMIS Implementation	10/15/2024
2B. Point-in-Time (PIT) Count	10/15/2024
2C. System Performance	10/15/2024
3A. Coordination with Housing and Healthcare	10/03/2024
3B. Rehabilitation/New Construction Costs	09/11/2024
3C. Serving Homeless Under Other Federal Statutes	09/11/2024

4A. DV Bonus Project Applicants	10/15/2024
4B. Attachments Screen	Please Complete
Submission Summary	No Input Required

PHA Homeless Preference

THE PLAN

for Administration of the U. S.
Department of Housing and
Urban Development (HUD)
SECTION 8 Housing
Choice Voucher Program
July 2024



Office of Individual and Family Program

A tool for administering and managing the federal Section 8 voucher programs of the Connecticut Department of Housing. These programs include the Housing Choice Voucher, both tenant-based and project-based, Family Unification, Mainstream Housing Opportunities Program for Persons with Disabilities, The Foster Youth to Independence, and the Veterans Affairs Supportive Housing Programs

STATE OF CONNECTICUT

Department of Housing

505 Hudson Street

Hartford, CT 06106-7107

1st highlighted text below outlines HCV homeless preference and eligibility criteria

4. To attain and maintain a high level of standards and professionalism in our day-to-day management of all program components.
5. To administer an efficient, high-performing agency through continuous improvement of the PHA's support systems and commitment to our employees and their development

PURPOSE OF THE PLAN [§ 24 CFR 982.54]

The purpose of the administrative plan is to establish policies for carrying out the programs in a manner consistent with HUD requirements and local goals and objectives contained in the Agency Plan. The Housing Choice Voucher Program was implemented as of October 1, 1999. The PHA is responsible for complying with all changes in HUD regulations pertaining to these programs. If such changes conflict with this plan, HUD regulations will have precedence. The original plan and any changes must be approved by the Commissioner of DOH, with the pertinent sections included in the Agency Plan and a copy provided to HUD.

Applicable regulations include:

1. § 24 CFR Part 5, General Program Requirements
2. § 24 CFR Part 8, Nondiscrimination Based on Handicap in Federally Assisted Programs
3. § 24 CFR Part 982, Section 8 Tenant-Based Assistance

ADMINISTRATIVE FEE RESERVE [§ 24 CFR 982.54(d)(21)]

All expenditures from the administrative fee reserve will be approved by the Commissioner and made in accordance with the approved budget.

RULES AND REGULATIONS [§ 24 CFR 982.52]

This administrative plan is set forth to define the PHA's local policies for operation of the housing programs in the context of federal laws and regulations. All issues related to Section 8 not addressed in this document are governed by such federal regulations, HUD memos, notices and guidelines or other applicable law. The policies in this Administrative Plan have been designed to ensure compliance with the consolidated annual contributions contract (ACC) and all HUD-approved applications for program funding.

LOCAL PREFERENCE FOR ADMISSION

Homeless Preference for Admission

The PHA will give preference to applicant households meeting all of the following criteria:

1. Are referred to PHA by either a statewide homeless service provider with whom PHA has executed a Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) outlining the provider's

responsibilities with respect to the provision of supportive housing and supportive services for the referred household, or through a DOH-funded homeless service program;

2. Have received a written commitment from the homeless service provider for supportive services to help the household's transition from supportive to permanent housing; and
3. Have received a written commitment from the homeless service provider for supportive services to help the household comply with Housing Choice Voucher program rules.

Persons transitioning out of the Department of Housing and Urban Development Continuum of Care housing programs (formerly Shelter Plus Care/Supportive Housing Programs) and/or any state funded Permanent Supportive Housing programs into permanent housing will be included as a priority group as part of this preference.

This preference shall be limited to applicants who have been certified as meeting the criteria for this preference by the homeless service provider noted above.

This preference shall be limited to two vouchers in three issued by the PHA.

TERMINOLOGY

The State of Connecticut Department of Housing and its contract administrator are referred to as the "PHA" or "public housing agency" throughout this document.

1. "Family" is used interchangeably with the words "applicant" or "participant" and can refer to a single person family.
2. "Tenant" is used to refer to participants in terms of their relation to owners.
3. "Disability" is used where "handicap" was formerly used.
4. "Non-citizens rule" refers to the regulation effective June 19, 1995 restricting assistance to U. S. citizens and eligible immigrants.
5. The Section 8 program also is known as the Housing Choice Voucher (HCV) Program.
6. "HQS" means the housing quality standards required by regulations and enhanced by the PHA.
7. "Failure to provide" refers to all requirements in the first Family Obligation. See Chapter 15, "Denial or Termination of Assistance."
8. "Merger date" refers to October 1, 1999 that is the effective date of the merging of the Section 8 Certificate and Voucher program into the Housing Choice Voucher Program.

Chapter 4 **MAINTAINING THE WAITING LIST [§ 24 CFR Part 5, Subpart D; 982.54(d)(1); 982.204, 982.205, 982.206, 983.203, 66FR 3609]**

INTRODUCTION

It is the PHA's objective to ensure that families are placed on the waiting list in the proper order and are selected from the waiting list for admissions in accordance with the policies in this Administrative Plan.

By maintaining an accurate waiting list, the PHA will be able to perform the activities that will ensure that an adequate pool of qualified applicants will be available so that program funds are used in a timely manner.

WAITING LIST [§ 24 CFR 982.204]

The PHA uses one waiting list for admission to its Section 8 tenant-based assistance program. Persons eligible for the Housing Choice Voucher Program, the Mainstream Housing Opportunities Program, families or youth who have been referred to the Family Unification Program (FUP) by the State of Connecticut Department of Children and Families (DCF) and youth who have been referred to the Foster Youth to Independence (FYI) initiative by the the State of Connecticut Department of Children and Families (DCF) are identified as such on the waiting list. The DCF referral form serves the same purpose in the FUP and FYI programs as the pre-application form serves in the other tenant-based programs.

The PHA maintains separate site-specific waiting lists for admission to its Section 8 project-based assistance program.

Except for special admissions, applicants will be selected from the appropriate PHA waiting list in accordance with policies defined in this Administrative Plan.

The PHA will maintain information that permits proper selection from the waiting list.

The waiting list contains the following information for each applicant listed:

1. Applicant name
2. Racial or ethnic designation of the head of household
3. Annual (*gross*) family income
4. Number of persons in family

The waiting list will be maintained in accordance with the following guidelines:

1. The application will be a permanent file.
2. All applicants must meet very low-income eligibility requirements as established by HUD. Any exceptions to these requirements, other than those outlined in chapter 2 of this

4-2 through 4-8 outline special admission waiting list preferences for HCVs.

Administrative Plan, "Eligibility for Admission," must have been approved previously by the HUD field office.

Special Admissions [§ 24 CFR 982.54(d)(e), 982.203]

Applicants, who are admitted under special admissions, rather than from the waiting list, are identified by codes in the automated system and are not maintained on separate lists.

WAITING LIST PREFERENCES [§ 24 CFR 982.207]

Tenant-based program preferences:

Pre-applications for admission to the tenant-based program will be selected in lottery-determined order, with the following exceptions:

Chronically homeless preference:

After placement on the tenant-based waiting list as noted above, applicants will be screened for eligibility as chronically homeless. A secondary waiting list will be generated based on this screening, and one of every three vouchers issued will be made available to a chronically homeless applicant.

When this secondary list has been exhausted, families who qualify as chronically homeless will be selected from the waiting list generated by the CAN.

Head Start to Housing preference:

One of every three vouchers issued will be made available to participating families enrolled in the Office of Early Childhood (EOC) Head Start to Housing who are homeless or at risk of homelessness as defined in the Glossary.

The family unification preference:

Families who qualify for the family unification preference are families for whom the lack of adequate housing is a primary factor in the imminent placement of the family's child or children in out-of-home care or in the delay of discharge of a child or children to the family from out-of-home care, will have their referral/pre-application forms from the Department of Children and Families (DCF) to the PHA. The PHA will determine if the families are eligible for Section 8 rental assistance.

If a family for whom the lack of adequate housing is a primary factor in the imminent placement of the family's child or children in out-of-home care or in the delay of discharge of a child or children to the family from out-of-home care is determined eligible by the PHA to receive a HCV, the family will be invited to participate in the program in accordance with HUD regulations and other requirements and with policies stated in the DOH administrative plan.

All persons interested in utilizing this preference must be referred to the PHA by DCF. The PHA will accept referrals/pre-applications only from DCF staff. DCF will designate gatekeepers to identify and refer eligible families to the PHA. The PHA will determine if the families are eligible for Section 8 rental assistance.

This preference will enhance the State of Connecticut's continuing efforts to maintain intact families and to provide decent, safe and sanitary housing for families. Referrals to Section 8 FUP will remain open for this preference and the PHA will accept referrals/pre-applications until further notice.

Project-based program preferences:

Applicants for admission to the project-based program will be selected in chronological order, subject to the availability of assisted dwelling units that are appropriate to the size of the family. Priority will be given to families who qualify for the following preferences:

Project-based supportive housing preferences:

1. **The supportive housing preference.** This preference is given to an applicant on the PBV-based voucher project waiting list who qualifies for the targeted CT Department of Mental Health and Addiction (DMHAS) funded supportive services linked through the Supportive Housing RFP process to the PBV-funded supportive housing units.
2. **The homeless family preference.** A preference will be given to families who are homeless for project-based units designated for homeless families. A homeless family is one that meets the HUD definition of homeless at 24 CFR 91.5.

The PHA may place a family referred by an owner of assisted dwelling units on its waiting list, subject to the provisions in Chapter 3 of this Administrative Plan, "Applicant Status While on the Project-Based Waiting List."

DENIAL OF PREFERENCE [§ 24 CFR 5.410(C)(3)]

No preference of any kind will be given to an applicant if a member of the applicant's family was evicted during the past three years from housing assisted under the 1937 Housing Act Program because of drug-related criminal activity, except that the PHA may give an admissions preference if the PHA determines that the evicted person:

1. has successfully completed a rehabilitation program approved by the PHA or
2. clearly did not participate in or know about the drug-related criminal activity or
3. no longer participates in any drug-related criminal activity.

One sixty-day extension to participants will be granted upon written request. No further extensions will be approved. The total search time will be for a maximum of one-hundred eighty calendar days.

Case Management Requirements

As a condition of rental assistance, the HUD-VASH family must receive case management services from the VAMC. Failure to participate, without good cause will result in termination of HUD-VASH voucher assistance as verified by the VAMC. However, if the VAMC determines the family no longer requires case management services, the family will be offered continued HCV assistance and transferred to a Housing Choice Voucher pending funding availability so the HUD-VASH voucher may be used by another family referred by the VAMC.

With the exception of the above statements, all other provisions of the Housing Choice Voucher Program apply.

Foster Youth to Independence (FYI)

Program Description and Referrals

The Foster Youth to Independence (FYI) initiative combines the Section 8 HCV Program with supportive services provided by the Department of Children and Families (DCF) and its contractor(s). DCF will refer youth for whom they certify to be eligible. Youth eligible for assistance are certified by DCF as meeting the following conditions:

1. Has attained at least 18 years and not more than 24 years of age;
2. Left foster care, or will leave foster care within 90 days, in accordance with a transition plan described in section 475(5)(H) of the Social Security Act; and
3. **Is homeless or is at risk of becoming homeless as these terms are defined at 24 CFR 578.3 and 24 CFR 576.2, at age 16 or older.**

Eligibility is not limited to single persons. For example, pregnant and/or parenting youth are eligible to receive assistance under this notice assuming they otherwise meet eligibility requirements. The PHA will determine if youth referred by DCF are eligible for Section 8 rental assistance.

Tenant Selection Process

When a FYI voucher becomes available, a youth will be referred from DCF. The PHA will compare the name(s) with youth already on the PHA's HCV waiting list. Any youth on the PHA's HCV waiting list that matches with DCF's referral must be assisted in order of their position on the waiting list in accordance with PHA admission policies. Any youth certified by DCF as eligible and not on the HCV waiting list must be placed on the waiting list (pending HCV eligibility determination). After the youth family is determined eligible to receive a Section 8 subsidy, the youth family will be admitted to participate in the program in accordance with HUD regulations and other

HOUSING AUTHORITY OF THE CITY OF HARTFORD



ADMINISTRATIVE PLAN for the HOUSING CHOICE VOUCHER (a.k.a., HCV or SECTION 8) PROGRAM

2024

PRE-HOTMA COMPLIANCE DATE

Approved by -

Board of Commissioners (Policy): October 10, 2023

HUD: November 28, 2023

Executive Director (Procedures): October 10, 2023 (by Board)

Effective Date: January 1, 2024

Local Preferences

[24 CFR 982.207; HCV p. 4-16]

PHAs are permitted to establish local preferences, and to give priority to serving families that meet those criteria. HUD specifically authorizes and places restrictions on certain types of local preferences. HUD also permits the PHA to establish other local preferences, at its discretion. Any local preferences established must be consistent with the PHA plan and the consolidated plan and must be based on local housing needs and priorities that can be documented by generally accepted data sources.

PHA Policy

For local preferences, the PHA uses a point system to determine the preferred next selection for the PHA's tenant-based HCV waiting list. The PHA will grant points to families who are otherwise qualified for the program and who, at the time of the PHA's offer (immediately prior to issuance of a subsidy) are verified by the PHA to meet one or more preference category, except when the PHA determines that another PHA housing program (such as public housing) with the same or a similar preference will make a suitable unit available for occupancy by the family, and it's in the PHA's interest to offer that unit, instead.

The PHA evaluates an exception in its best interest and its consideration may include available resources, costs, financial factors, occupancy goals, redevelopment goals, program consistency, HUD requirements, PHA policy, and any other relevant circumstances.

The PHA grants preference points according to the following table:

Points	Preference Category
10	<i>Funding Insufficiency</i> – A family terminated from the PHA's HCV program due to insufficient funding.
7	<i>Expiring Family Unification Program (FUP) Voucher</i> – A FUP voucher holder whose youth assistance is expiring soon and who will have a lack of adequate housing because of his or her impending termination from the FUP program.
5	<i>Involuntary Displacements from PHA-Owned Development</i> – When in the PHA's best interest, the PHA may select families that are displaced from a PHA-owned development where: <ul style="list-style-type: none">• four or more units in the development are uninhabitable due to a single event such as a fire, flooding, demolition, disposition, etc., <i>and</i>• the PHA's other housing developments have too few available units (currently or projected) to provide the

family alternate permanent housing and the family will end up in temporary housing for an extended period.

Unless the PHA provides a plan for the order of selection, of the families that qualify for this preference, the families will be selected as follows:

- a family in need of an accessible unit; *then*
- a family with lowest income (based on last examination of income prior to the displacement event).

If a family is responsible for making one or more of the units uninhabitable, it does not qualify for this preference.

3 **Homeless Student –**

A family with a homeless child or youth (as defined by the *McKinney-Vento Homeless Assistance Act*),²⁶ enrolled with an educational agency partner, and identified and referred by that partner to HACH.

A local educational agency partner is one with whom the PHA has a referral agreement.

The PHA limits referrals and this preference up to 30% of its average annual voucher issuance from its tenant-based HCV waiting list in any calendar year, with no minimum in any year.

3 **Mainstream Voucher Program (MVP) –**

A family with a non-elderly person with disabilities that is:

- transitioning out of institutional and other segregated settings;
- at serious risk of institutionalization;
- homeless; or
- at risk of becoming homeless.

The PHA limits this preference to the number of available MVP vouchers.

3 **Domestic Violence Survivor –**

A family with one or more individuals that are survivors of domestic violence as identified by a local domestic violence support agency partner, and referred by that partner.

²⁶ See Glossary.

A local domestic violence support agency partner is one with whom the PHA has a referral agreement.

The PHA limits referrals from all local domestic violence support agency partner using this preference to ten (10) assisted families at any one time. After the initial admission of ten families, the PHA will only admit new families with this preference when one of the existing referred families is no longer assisted by the PHA (e.g., the family is absorbed by another PHA, the family's assistance is terminated, etc.).

PHA Procedure

The PHA computes the family's score by summing the points of all local preference categories the PHA grants to the family.

If the PHA does not grant point for any preference category, the PHA assigns the family no score and treats that family as a standard family, subject to placement in accordance with HUD requirements and PHA policy.

Open Waiting List Categories

PHA Policy

The PHA keeps its waiting list open to those families in the following preference categories:

- *Funding Insufficiency;*
- *Expiring Family Unification Program (FUP) Vouchers;*
- *Involuntary Displacement from PHA-Owned Development;*
- *Homeless Student, partner referrals only; and*
- *Domestic Violence Survivor, partner referrals only.*

The PHA also keeps its waiting list open to those families in the following special purpose voucher categories:

- *Veteran's Assistance with Supportive Housing (VASH), partner referrals only;*
- *Family Unification Program (FUP) Vouchers, partner referrals only; and*
- *Mainstream Voucher Program (MVP) Vouchers, partner referrals only.*

Income Targeting Requirement

[24 CFR 982.201(b)(2)]

HUD requires that extremely low-income (ELI) families make up at least 75 percent of the families admitted to the HCV program during the PHA's fiscal year. ELI families are

PHA Moving On Preference

THE PLAN

for Administration of the U. S.
Department of Housing and
Urban Development (HUD)
SECTION 8 Housing
Choice Voucher Program
July 2024



Office of Individual and Family Program

A tool for administering and managing the federal Section 8 voucher programs of the Connecticut Department of Housing. These programs include the Housing Choice Voucher, both tenant-based and project-based, Family Unification, Mainstream Housing Opportunities Program for Persons with Disabilities, The Foster Youth to Independence, and the Veterans Affairs Supportive Housing Programs

STATE OF CONNECTICUT

Department of Housing

505 Hudson Street

Hartford, CT 06106-7107

1-3 Highlighted section below Outlines Moving On Preference and Eligibility Criteria

4. To attain and maintain a high level of standards and professionalism in our day-to-day management of all program components.
5. To administer an efficient, high-performing agency through continuous improvement of the PHA's support systems and commitment to our employees and their development

PURPOSE OF THE PLAN [§ 24 CFR 982.54]

The purpose of the administrative plan is to establish policies for carrying out the programs in a manner consistent with HUD requirements and local goals and objectives contained in the Agency Plan. The Housing Choice Voucher Program was implemented as of October 1, 1999. The PHA is responsible for complying with all changes in HUD regulations pertaining to these programs. If such changes conflict with this plan, HUD regulations will have precedence. The original plan and any changes must be approved by the Commissioner of DOH, with the pertinent sections included in the Agency Plan and a copy provided to HUD.

Applicable regulations include:

1. § 24 CFR Part 5, General Program Requirements
2. § 24 CFR Part 8, Nondiscrimination Based on Handicap in Federally Assisted Programs
3. § 24 CFR Part 982, Section 8 Tenant-Based Assistance

ADMINISTRATIVE FEE RESERVE [§ 24 CFR 982.54(d)(21)]

All expenditures from the administrative fee reserve will be approved by the Commissioner and made in accordance with the approved budget.

RULES AND REGULATIONS [§ 24 CFR 982.52]

This administrative plan is set forth to define the PHA's local policies for operation of the housing programs in the context of federal laws and regulations. All issues related to Section 8 not addressed in this document are governed by such federal regulations, HUD memos, notices and guidelines or other applicable law. The policies in this Administrative Plan have been designed to ensure compliance with the consolidated annual contributions contract (ACC) and all HUD-approved applications for program funding.

LOCAL PREFERENCE FOR ADMISSION

Homeless Preference for Admission

The PHA will give preference to applicant households meeting all of the following criteria:

1. Are referred to PHA by either a statewide homeless service provider with whom PHA has executed a Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) outlining the provider's

responsibilities with respect to the provision of supportive housing and supportive services for the referred household, or through a DOH-funded homeless service program;

2. Have received a written commitment from the homeless service provider for supportive services to help the household's transition from supportive to permanent housing; and
3. Have received a written commitment from the homeless service provider for supportive services to help the household comply with Housing Choice Voucher program rules.

[Move-on preference for those exiting CoC Supportive Housing programs or other PSH](#)

Persons transitioning out of the Department of Housing and Urban Development Continuum of Care housing programs (formerly Shelter Plus Care/Supportive Housing Programs) and/or any state funded Permanent Supportive Housing programs into permanent housing will be included as a priority group as part of this preference.

This preference shall be limited to applicants who have been certified as meeting the criteria for this preference by the homeless service provider noted above.

This preference shall be limited to two vouchers in three issued by the PHA.

TERMINOLOGY

The State of Connecticut Department of Housing and its contract administrator are referred to as the "PHA" or "public housing agency" throughout this document.

1. "Family" is used interchangeably with the words "applicant" or "participant" and can refer to a single person family.
2. "Tenant" is used to refer to participants in terms of their relation to owners.
3. "Disability" is used where "handicap" was formerly used.
4. "Non-citizens rule" refers to the regulation effective June 19, 1995 restricting assistance to U. S. citizens and eligible immigrants.
5. The Section 8 program also is known as the Housing Choice Voucher (HCV) Program.
6. "HQS" means the housing quality standards required by regulations and enhanced by the PHA.
7. "Failure to provide" refers to all requirements in the first Family Obligation. See Chapter 15, "Denial or Termination of Assistance."
8. "Merger date" refers to October 1, 1999 that is the effective date of the merging of the Section 8 Certificate and Voucher program into the Housing Choice Voucher Program.

**CITY OF HARTFORD
DEPARTMENT OF DEVELOPMENT
SERVICES
HOUSING DIVISION**

**HOUSING CHOICE
VOUCHER PROGRAM
ADMINISTRATIVE PLAN**

2023

Most recent version - confirmed with the Housing Authority that it remains current.

3. inform the applicant that ten (10) days of the date of the notice, the applicant may request, in writing, that an informal hearing be held to present objections and review the decision

3.11 CONDUCT OF INFORMAL REVIEW

If the applicant requests an informal review within the time frame required, the HA shall conduct an informal review in accordance with the following procedures:

1. The informal review will be conducted by a HA person designated by the HA. The designated HA person cannot be the same person who made or approved the decision under review or a subordinate of this person.
2. The applicant will be given an opportunity to present written or oral objections to the HA decision.
3. The HA will conduct the informal review by telephone, remotely via webinar such as Zoom or Skype or other digital video calling.
4. If the applicant does not have proper technology access that allows the individual to fully participate, then the remote review will be either postponed allowing for necessary accommodations or can otherwise be held in-person as appropriate.
5. Any and all materials being presented will be made available prior to the review either via mail, electronic mail, or text. Materials made available to the individual or family will meet the requirements of accessibility for persons with disabilities and persons with Limited English Proficiency (LEP).
6. The HA will notify the applicant of the HA final decision after the informal review, including a brief statement of the reasons for the final decision.

See pp. 29 - 31 (bottom/
center numbering, or 9 - 11,
PDF file page numbers) for
Move-on Preference

4.0 SELECTING FAMILIES FROM THE WAITING LIST

4.1 MAINTENANCE OF THE WAITING LIST AND SELECTION OF FAMILIES

The HA will maintain a single waiting list for its Housing Choice Voucher Program (HCV) regardless of the bedroom size the applicant may need. Each applicant shall be assigned an appropriate place on the waiting list in sequence based upon lottery assigned number (lower digit numbers have priority over higher digit numbers), as well as the following identified preference factors.

The HA must select participants from a HA waiting list, unless they are Special Admissions.

Special Admissions

The HA may admit an applicant for participation in the program either as a special admission or as a waiting list admission. If HUD awards special allocations funding that is targeted for families with specific characteristics or families living in specific units, the HA will use the assistance for those families with specific characteristics or living in those units. The HA will maintain records showing that the family was issued a HUD-targeted Voucher and is authorized for priority admission. This priority will not exceed the special allocation of housing vouchers made available by HUD for the HUD stated purposes.

Moving On Waiting List

The HA will also maintain a separate waiting list for the Project-Based Voucher Program for the Moving On pilot initiative (see Project-Based Voucher Program Administrative Plan).

Family Unification Program

The Family Unification Program (FUP) is a targeted program making Housing Choice Vouchers (HCVs) available to FUP-eligible families and or FUP-eligible youths as defined below to provide adequate housing as a means to promote family unification through the FUP.

A FUP-eligible family is a family that the Public Child Welfare Agency (PCWA) has certified as a family for whom the lack of adequate housing is a primary factor in the imminent placement of the family's child, or children, in out-of-home care, or in the delay of discharge of a child, or children, to the family from out-of-home care, and that the HA has determined is eligible for a Housing Choice Voucher (HCV). **A FUP-eligible youth** is a youth that the PCWA has certified to be at least 18 years old and not more than 24 years of age (has not reached their 25th birthday) who left foster care, or will leave foster care within 90 days, in accordance with a transition plan described in section 475(5)(H) of the Social Security Act, and is homeless or is At Risk of Becoming Homeless at age 16 or older.

All FUP-eligible families and FUP-eligible youths must be referred by the State of Connecticut Department of Children and Families (DCF) and have an open case with DCF at the time of referral, selection and when the FUP voucher is issued.

The HA will accept families or youths certified by the DCF as eligible for the FUP.

The HA first reviews its waiting list for any DCF referred FUP-eligible families or youths; these families will be among the first served through FUP assistance in order of their position on the waiting list in accordance with HA admission policies, once they are determined to meet Section 8 criteria.

The HA will determine if any family or youth on the HCV waiting list are living in temporary shelters or on the street and may qualify for the FUP and refer such applicants to DCF.

A separate waiting list will be maintained for the Family Unification Program. Referrals/pre-applications will be placed on the FUP waiting list by the date and time of receipt until further notice. Any new FUP eligible applicants will be placed at the bottom of the FUP waiting list. If found ineligible for the FUP, they will be removed from the FUP wait list, however if they are on the HCV wait list, they will retain their position on the HCV wait list.

If there are not enough FUP eligible applicants either on the HCV wait list or the FUP wait list, the HA will advertise the announcement of opening the FUP wait list for FUP eligible applicants only.

When an FUP voucher becomes available a family will be selected from the list. After the family is determined eligible to receive a Section 8 subsidy, the family will be admitted to participate in the program in accordance with HUD regulations and other requirements, and with policies stated in the HA's administrative plan.

All FUP vouchers will be issued to other FUP-eligible applicants upon turnover.

The HA will adhere to the following requirements regarding the FUP program:

- Once a FUP-eligible family or FUP-eligible youth is admitted to the program, the HA will track the family/youth via the HUD-50058, Family Report with the program code "FUPF" or "FUPY" on line "2n" of the Family Report. The HA must maintain this code on the form HUD-50058 for the duration of the FUP family/youth's participation in the HCV program.
- A FUP voucher issued to a FUP-eligible youth may only be used to provide housing assistance for the youth for a maximum of 36 months.
- Documentation of a family or youth's eligibility for a FUP voucher must supply a clear audit trail to show the families were admitted to the program according to the applicable program rules and requirements.
- The HA shall administer the funding awarded for the FUP in accordance with the Notice of Funding Availability (NOFA) specifications, program requirements and regulations and the executed Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) between the HA and Department of Children and Families (DCF).

Homeless Wait List

Information from all pre-application forms will be entered into a secure Access Database. Journey Home will refer applicants to the HA as vouchers become available. A physical copy of the waitlist will be timestamped and saved when referrals are made. Physical copies of all pre-application forms will be securely retained for seven

years. Copies of set-aside verification methods and forms will be submitted at time of referral and made a permanent part of each client's case file.

A printed version of the set-aside waiting list report will be maintained and shared with the HA when referrals are made.

Referrals from the Greater Hartford Coordinated Access Network

The Greater Hartford Coordinated Access Network (GH CAN) is a network of agencies established to create a standardized process for individuals and families to access services from the point that they experience a housing crisis to the time that they are again stably housed. Everyone entering the system is assessed to determine what resources, strengths and support networks they have to help resolve their homeless situation. Limited housing assistance funding is used in a prioritized manner based on a household's length of homeless history, their vulnerability/ disability status, what their service needs are, and program eligibility. A continuum of housing resources has been developed to make it less likely that households end up in emergency shelter again after they have found a housing solution. The GH CAN consists of a wide range of more than 25 agencies who operate shelter and housing programs and also include partners from healthcare, workforce development, academia, philanthropy, and municipalities, people with lived experience of homelessness, and others who work collaboratively to assist those sleeping outside or in shelter with finding safe homes to reside in using the limited resources that are available.

The CAN will conduct meetings and assess the households that will be referred for the available set-aside vouchers based on the collective assessment. The CAN will provide information on who was in attendance, date of meeting, and names of who are being referred.

Set Aside Homeless Wait List Vouchers

The HA has implemented a set-aside for previously homeless households as defined by HUD in 24 CFR Part 578 who are enrolled in Rapid Rehousing Programs and are unable to sustain their rent without ongoing assistance and for households who are currently enrolled in a Permanent Supportive Housing Program who no longer require intensive case management services but require ongoing financial assistance to maintain their rent. Referrals for this set-aside will be restricted to those referred by Journey Home on behalf of the Greater Hartford Coordinated Access Network.

The amount of vouchers in the set aside pool will be benchmarked at 10% cap of the HA funded budget (approximately 4,181,000) and 2 of every 5 attrition vouchers will go towards this set-aside until the 10% capped voucher are completely utilized.

The HA will continue to conduct HCV program admission standards and issue the vouchers.

Moving-on
preference

The set aside homeless wait list vouchers will be reissued to other homeless eligible applicants referred by Journey Home on behalf of the Greater Hartford Coordinated Access Network upon turnover.

When a voucher becomes available in the HCV program and the 10% set aside vouchers are all completely utilized, the voucher will be issued to the non-homeless wait list preferences.

4.2 IDENTIFICATION OF PREFERENCES

The following categories represent preferences on the waiting list:

As with any waiting list and any published added preference, the HA will first review its existing waiting list for any eligible family that would meet the preference criteria in order to give that family, by order of their wait list position, the opportunity to see if they qualify for the specific preference and be able to be among the first served in accordance with HA admission policies, once they are determined to meet Section 8 criteria.

Previously Homeless Households – households who were previously homeless as defined by HUD in 24 CFR Part 578 who are currently enrolled in Rapid Rehousing Programs and are unable to sustain their rent without ongoing assistance and for households who are currently enrolled in a Permanent Supportive Housing Program who no longer require intensive case management services but require ongoing financial assistance to maintain their rent.

Elderly family - A family whose head or spouse (or sole member) is 62 years or older and a family that includes an elderly person(s).

Disabled/Handicapped family - A family whose member(s) include a person(s) who is under a disability as defined in Section 223 of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 423) or has a developmental disability as defined in section 102(7) of the Developmental Disabilities Assistance and Bill of Rights Act (42 U.S.C. 6001(7)). *Handicapped family* - A family whose member(s) include a person(s) having a physical or mental impairment that (a) is expected to be of a long-continued and indefinite duration, (b) substantially impedes his or her ability to live independently, and (c) is of such nature that such ability could be improved by more suitable housing.

4.3 RANKING OF THE PREFERENCES

Ranking preferences are identified below by the numeric value next to the preference category (example: a “1” in the space that represents the first priority, a “2” in the box

representing the second priority, and so on.) If equal weight is given to one or more of these choices the same number will be next to both.

Preferences

1. **Previously Homeless Households** – households who were previously homeless as defined by HUD in 24 CFR Part 578 who are currently enrolled in Rapid Rehousing Programs and are unable to sustain their rent without ongoing assistance and for households who are currently enrolled in a Permanent Supportive Housing Program who no longer require intensive case management services but require ongoing financial assistance to maintain their rent.

This preference category is subject to the set-aside restriction previously outlined.

2. **Elderly family** - A family whose head or spouse (or sole member) is 62 years or older.
3. **Disabled/Handicapped family** - A family whose member/s include a person/s who is under a disability as defined in Section 223 of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 423) or has a developmental; disability as defined in section 102(7) of the Developmental Disabilities Assistance and Bill of Rights Act (42 U.S.C. 6001(7)). **Handicapped family** - A family whose member/s include a person/s having a physical or mental impairment that (a) is expected to be of a long-continued and indefinite duration, (b) substantially impedes his or her ability to live.
4. **No Preference** - All other qualified applicants with no preference.

Set Aside Homeless Wait List Vouchers

The HA has allocated an amount of vouchers in a set-side pool that is benchmarked at a 10% cap of the HA funded budget (approximately 4,181,000) and 2 of every 5 attrition vouchers will go toward the set-aside until the 10% capped vouchers are completely utilized.

The set aside homeless wait list vouchers provide rental assistance to homeless eligible individuals through a stated collaborative referral process identified in this administrative plan. Journey Home will continue to forward referrals to the HA from the GH CAN once they have concluded their eligibility assessments. The HA will continue to conduct HCV program admission standards and issue the vouchers.

Lived Experience Support Letter



September 19, 2024

To Whom It May Concern at the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development:

We are submitting this letter to show our support for the Connecticut Balance of State Continuum of Care's (CT BOS CoC) priorities for serving people experiencing homelessness with severe service needs in the CT BOS geographic area. That area includes the counties of Hartford, Litchfield, New Haven, New London, Windham, Tolland, and Middlesex.

Through this letter of support we are confirming that:

- we are people with lived experience of homelessness;
- based on our personal and/or professional experience we have current knowledge of the needs of people experiencing homelessness and of the system to serve them in one or more areas of the CT BOS region of the state;
- we have participated in a workgroup made up of people with lived experience of homelessness, participated in the CT BOS Steering Committee & collaborated with homeless service providers and other key stakeholders;
- the CT BOS priorities reflected below include the views and perspectives of people with lived experience of homelessness; and
- we support the CT BOS priorities for serving people experiencing homelessness with severe service needs, which are detailed below.

To meet the needs of people experiencing homelessness with severe service needs CT BOS prioritizes funding for the following types of projects:

- **Street Outreach Services** to: identify people experiencing unsheltered homelessness; assess their housing and service needs and preferences; assist them to quickly locate and move into a housing unit; and link them to ongoing supports to help them stabilize in housing.
- **Permanent Housing, including both Permanent Supportive Housing (PSH) and Rapid Rehousing (RRH)**, to: provide help with rent and flexible, person-centered supportive services to help participants to find a place to live, stabilize in housing and identify and achieve personal goals.
- **Coordinated Access Network (CAN) Hubs** to: quickly connect people with severe service needs to housing and ongoing services; and support people who might otherwise struggle to navigate the 211 and CAN systems.
- **CoC Planning** to provide technical assistance and support to provider agencies to ensure that CoC projects: quickly house participants and help them to stabilize in housing & increase their income; treat participants with dignity and help them to achieve their

personal goals; train staff appropriately; and achieve outcome standards established by the CT BOS Steering Committee.

The new and renewal projects included in the CT BOS 2024 Continuum of Care Consolidated Application are critically needed to help end homelessness among people with severe service needs. We urge HUD to award the funding requested by CT BOS for these important projects.

Sincerely,

Melissa Dzierlatka
Raven Johnson
Ebony Beall
Cami Hawkins
Theresa Miles
Nick Galella
Aleena Durant
Jayse Frost

Attachment: Signature page and zoom poll

Cc: Stephanie Lazarus, Alice Minervino, Steve DiLella, John Merz (CT Balance of State CoC Co-Chairs)


By signing below, I hereby support the Connecticut Balance of State Continuum of Care’s (CT BOS) priorities for serving individuals and families experiencing homelessness with severe needs.



Melissa Dzierlatka



Raven Johnson



Ebony Beall



Cami Hawkins



Theresa Miles



Nick Galella



Aleena Durant



Jayse Frost

Overview

Report Generated	Meeting Topic	Meeting/Webinar ID	Actual Start Time
10/3/2024 14:58	CSH Connecticut's Zoom Meeting	85899193914	9/26/2024 16:46

Launched Polls

#	Poll Name	Questions	Responses
1	Motivational Interviewing		2 0
2	Letter of Support	1	8

Motivational Interviewing

#	User Name	Email Address	Submitted Date and Time	Where in your practice do you see motivation most lacking?	Where in your practice do you see motivation at it's highest?
---	-----------	---------------	-------------------------	--	---

Letter of Support

#	User Name	Email Address	Submitted Date and Time	Response
				<ul style="list-style-type: none"> I am a person with lived experience of homelessness; Based on my personal and/or professional experience I have current knowledge of the needs of people experiencing homelessness and of the system to serve them in one or more areas of the CT BOS region of the state; I have participated in a workgroup made up of people with lived experience of homelessness (PLEH); and CT BOS priorities for serving people experiencing homelessness with severe service needs include the views and perspectives of PLEH, and I support the priorities. I agree to have my signature added to CT BOS 2024 HUD CoC Competition Lived Experience Support Letter dated 9/19/24.

1	Cami Hawkins		9/26/2024 17:47	YES
2	raven johnson		9/26/2024 17:46	YES
3	Theresa Miles		9/26/2024 17:46	YES
4	Jayse		9/26/2024 17:46	YES
5	Ebony Beall		9/26/2024 17:46	YES
6	melissa dz		9/26/2024 17:46	YES
7	Nick (iPhone)		9/26/2024 17:46	YES
8	"Aleena Durant (she,her)"		9/26/2024 17:46	YES

Housing First Evaluation

**CT Balance of State Continuum of Care and Department of Mental Health & Addiction Services
CoC Project Monitoring Final Report**

Date Report Issued: 7/22/24

Recipient: [REDACTED]

Service Provider/Subrecipient: [REDACTED]

Project Monitored: [REDACTED] Rental Assistance and [REDACTED]

Type of Review: On-Site

Grant Number: CT0 [REDACTED] & CT0 [REDACTED]

Monitoring Date: 05/21/2024

Funder: [REDACTED]

Current Operating Year:

CT0 [REDACTED] - 11/01/2023-10/31/2024

CT0 [REDACTED] - 8/01/23-7/31/24

Total Grant Amount:

CT0 [REDACTED] - \$103,886

CT0 [REDACTED] - \$125,007

Subrecipient Award Amount:

CT0 [REDACTED] - \$8,997 (\$7,591 RA, \$1,406 Admin)

CT0 [REDACTED] - \$11,140 (9,109 RA, \$2,031 Admin)

Program Type: PSH

Type of CoC Funds: (include amounts):

CT0 [REDACTED] 102,480 (RA) & \$1,406 (Admin)

CT0 [REDACTED] - \$122,976 (RA) & \$2,031 (Admin)

Type of RA Funds:

CT0 [REDACTED] -TRA

CT0 [REDACTED] -PRA

Number of Households Served PIT: (include # of families & target pops)

CT0 [REDACTED] - 10 Individual adults (substance abuse and mental illness)

CT0 [REDACTED] - 12 Individual adults (substance abuse and mental illness)

[REDACTED] [REDACTED]

Project Staff Participating in Visit: [REDACTED] Division Director [REDACTED]
[REDACTED], Program Manager; and [REDACTED], Chief Operating Officer

Housing Innovations Staff Participating in Visit: Howard Burchman and Shannon Quinn-Sheeran

Report Key	
FINDINGS HIGHLIGHTED IN PINK	Signify significant area of non-compliance with federal, state and/or CoC requirements and/or risk of funding recapture. Submission of follow up plan required. You will receive a template and instructions for submission of a follow up plan with a final report.
FINDINGS HIGHLIGHTED IN YELLOW	Signify area of non-compliance with federal, state and/or CoC requirements. Findings should be corrected. Submission of follow up

**CT Balance of State Continuum of Care and Department of Mental Health & Addiction Services
CoC Project Monitoring Final Report**

	plan is not required but agencies should note these are contractual obligations of the funding source(s).
CONTENT HIGHLIGHTED IN GREEN	Signifies best practice recommendations for consideration. Action is not required.

Reviewer Impressions:

The [REDACTED] is the recipient of CoC funds for this project. [REDACTED] serves as the Local Mental Health Authority (LMHA) and provides participant eligibility review, rental assistance administration, housing coordination and supportive services for project participants in both projects. For CTO [REDACTED], a tenant based rental program, housing location is also provided. Participants for both projects often receive clinical services through [REDACTED], and sometimes through other providers in the community.

Both programs were monitored in 2022, and [REDACTED], was also monitored in 2021. This monitoring was conducted on-site per [REDACTED]'s request. Housing Innovations (HI) reviewed [REDACTED]'s policies, fiscal records, housing coordination documentation, rental assistance administration records, participant charts and a site-review of [REDACTED] offices. HI also interviewed [REDACTED] staff including 2 case managers as well as 2 participants of CTO [REDACTED]. Any findings brought forward were from the programs' monitoring in 2022 and related only to policies. Some findings related to the separation of costs from previous visits were corrected as of this visit and updates were made related to the resident handbook, improving adherence to Housing First. However, findings in several areas persist.

Discussion with the Program Manager and Division Director demonstrated awareness of the need to consider the safety of all residents within the program at admissions and throughout tenants' residency in the program. CT BOS Housing First principles enable projects to consider safety of tenants and staff on a case-by-case basis when making admissions decisions.

The 2 case managers have caseloads of 19 to 23 clients at a given time. They expressed an eagerness to learn and a knowledge of housing first and evidence-based practices. Staff described and case note review showed progressive engagement, person-centered and non-judgmental approaches to case management as well as frequent contacts with tenants. They also described close coordination among the tenants, themselves, and property management. The participants interviewed expressed enthusiasm for the current case managers, indicating that they are happy with the activity level and with how "hands on" they are. The interview concluded with both participants stating "[REDACTED] and [REDACTED] (the current case managers) are both great!"

Page numbers are showing as errors due to trimming & PDFing the document for Housing First relevant items.

Sections

Visual Observation **Error! Bookmark not defined.**

Match Documentation **Error! Bookmark not defined.**

**CT Balance of State Continuum of Care and Department of Mental Health & Addiction Services
CoC Project Monitoring Final Report**

Project Administration & Indirect Costs and Requirements Error! Bookmark not defined.

Other Financial Management Requirements..... Error! Bookmark not defined.

Administrative Requirements..... Error! Bookmark not defined.

Reporting and Contract Requirements..... Error! Bookmark not defined.

Housing Assistance..... 7

Coordinated Entry & Participant Eligibility 9

Services..... 10

Emergency Preparedness & Response & Infection Control Error! Bookmark not defined.

Grantee Monitoring Tool & Guide Overview

IMPORTANT NOTE: This guide was compiled as a resource for Connecticut Balance of State Continuum of Care (CT BOS) & statewide Connecticut Department of Mental Health and Addiction Services (DMHAS) CoC Rental Assistance projects. It is based on the HUD CPD Monitoring Handbook 6509.2 REV7, & DMHAS & CT BOS Policies. This guide contains the monitoring standards determined to be the most significant and relevant for the types of CoC projects monitored by CTBOS and DMHAS. Additional areas may be reviewed by HUD during monitoring. For a complete listing of all HUD monitoring standards see:

https://www.hud.gov/program_offices/administration/hudclips/handbooks/cpd/6509.2

This guide includes requirements established by DMHAS, the Connecticut Department of Housing (DOH) and CT BOS that apply to Permanent Supportive Housing and Rapid Rehousing as specified in the CT PSH and RRH Requirements and Operations Guides. Both Guides are available on the [Resources Page](#) of the CT BOS website.

This guide also includes requirements established by CT BOS. These requirements only apply to CT BOS projects. For CT BOS Policies, CT CAN Policies, and a range of resources and tools to help support compliance with HUD, DOH, DMHAS, & CT BOS requirements see the [Policies Page](#) and the [Resources Page](#) of the CT BOS website.

Indicate Charts Selected and, if applicable, discharge/admission status				
#1 CTO [redacted]: 8 [redacted]	#2 CTO [redacted]: 1 [redacted]	#3 CTO [redacted]: [redacted]	#4 CTO [redacted]: 3 [redacted]	
X Admission	X Discharge	X Discharge	X Admission	

X Applications, disposition records, and all related documents from at least one applicant referred by the CAN and not admitted to the program

54) Race Equity & Consumer Involvement. Has each recipient and subrecipient agency taken these actions during the past 12 months to ensure that homeless services programs are meeting the unique needs of

**CT Balance of State Continuum of Care and Department of Mental Health & Addiction Services
CoC Project Monitoring Final Report**

marginalized communities, including people with lived experience of homelessness; people who identify as Black, Indigenous, and People of Color – BIPOC, Latinx, and Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual, Transgender, Queer/Questioning, Intersex, Asexual + - LGBTQIA+?

(Best Practice Recommendations)

- A. Creating and maintaining an inclusive organizational culture that promotes equity.
- B. Reviewing consumer survey results and taking action accordingly
- C. Developing partnerships with local organizations that focus on work with marginalized populations
- D. Analyzing who gets access to your agency’s homeless services programs and program outcomes by race/ethnicity/sexual orientation/gender identity to determine if access and/or outcomes are disparate
- E. Planning and or implementation of steps to address any disparate access and/or outcomes

Information and resources: [Race Equity Impact Assessment Tool](#), [Restorative Justice Practices in Housing Programs](#); [Race Equity Framework for the Connecticut Homeless and Housing System](#).

Agency has taken specific actions in the past 12 months to advance:

- All items listed above
- At least 6 items listed above
- 3-5 items listed above
- Fewer than 3 items listed above

F. If agency has not taken specific actions in the past 12 months to advance at least 3 of the above items, specific actions the agency plans to take over the next 12 months to advance additional items.

Reviewer Comments:

59) Nondiscrimination requirements. Does the project comply with the nondiscrimination requirements set forth in 24 CFR 5.105(a)? [578.93 (a)], including guidance on the application of Fair Housing Act Standards to the Use of Criminal Records by Providers of Housing

Yes No

Guidance: Recipients, subrecipients and partner agencies are subject to federal civil rights laws, including Fair Housing Act, Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, the Age Discrimination Act of 1975, and the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990. For more information about these laws, : [HUD Guidance on Affirmatively Furthering Fair Housing; Memo on implementation of Executive Order 13988; Implementation of the OGC’s Guidance on Application of Fair Housing Act Standards to the Use of Criminal Records;](#)

Reviewer Comments:

Fair Housing Act Standards to the Use of Criminal Records by Providers of Housing states: “Because arrest records do not constitute proof of past unlawful conduct and are often incomplete (e.g., by

CT Balance of State Continuum of Care and Department of Mental Health & Addiction Services
CoC Project Monitoring Final Report

failing to indicate whether the individual was prosecuted, convicted, or acquitted), the fact of an arrest is not a reliable basis upon which to assess the potential risk to resident safety or property posed by a particular individual. For that reason, a housing provider who denies housing to persons on the basis of arrests not resulting in conviction cannot prove that the exclusion actually assists in protecting resident safety and/or property.”

FINDING: While interviews with staff indicated that arrest and conviction records are considered on a case-by-case basis, leases for CTO [REDACTED] included phrasing indicating that tenants could be evicted based on arrests (of themselves or guests) related to criminal activity. Violations of the Fair Housing Act occur when policy or practice has an unjustified discriminatory effect, even when the provider had no intent to discriminate. Where a policy or practice that restricts access to housing on the basis of criminal history has a disparate impact on individuals of a particular race, national origin, or other protected class, such policy or practice is unlawful.

RECOMMENDATION: Amend practices and the leases for CTO [REDACTED] to comply with Fair Housing Act Standards regarding the Use of Criminal Records by Providers of Housing. To ensure a low barrier approach that is consistent with Housing First and to ensure compliance with federal fair housing requirements, clients may not be rejected or evicted for impermissible reasons.

60) Reasonable accommodations. Do the recipient and subrecipient make reasonable accommodations in rules, policies, practices and/or services when such an accommodation may be necessary to afford persons with disabilities equal opportunity to use and enjoy a CoC assisted housing unit or other CoC project service or activity? Do the recipient and subrecipient refrain from refusing residency and/or services to persons with disabilities or placing conditions on their residency or services because the persons may require reasonable accommodations? (Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1972 Joint Statement of HUD and DOJ – Reasonable Accommodations Under the Fair Housing Act – 5/17/04) Yes No

Guidance: For more information see: [HUD Notice on Assistance Animals and Reasonable Accommodation Requests](#); [ADA Compliance Guide for Nonprofits](#)

Reviewer Comments:

Unable to assess. [REDACTED] reported that there were no requests for reasonable accommodations received within the last 12 months.

62) Accessibility for Persons with Disabilities. Do the recipient and subrecipient: 1) Ensure that all meetings are held, and services provided in facilities that are physically accessible to persons with disabilities; 2) Take appropriate steps to ensure effective communication with applicants, beneficiaries, and members of the public, including furnishing appropriate auxiliary aids where necessary to afford equal opportunity to participate in, and enjoy the benefits of a CoC program or activity? Or if an action would result in a fundamental alteration in the nature of a program or in undue financial and administrative burdens, do the recipient and subrecipient take any other action that would ensure that, to the maximum extent possible, individuals with disabilities receive the benefits and services of the CoC program or activity? (FY19 HUD Administrative Requirements and Terms)

CT Balance of State Continuum of Care and Department of Mental Health & Addiction Services
CoC Project Monitoring Final Report

Yes No

Guidance: For more information see [ADA Compliance Guide for Nonprofits](#)

Reviewer Comments:

Did not assess – previously met standard

63) Accessible technology. Do the recipient and subrecipient ensure comparable access for and effective communication with people with disabilities, including whenever electronic and information technology is used? (FY19 HUD Administrative Requirements and Terms: Compliance with Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act and the applicable sections of the Americans with Disabilities Act is required.)

X Yes No

Guidance: For more information see: Webinar slides - [HI Accessibility Compliance Training \(Section 508\)](#); [Webinar Recording](#); ; Handout: [Accessibility \(Section 508\) Guide with screenshots](#)

Reviewer Comments:

64) Access for people with Limited English Proficiency (LEP). Do the recipient and subrecipient take reasonable steps to ensure meaningful access to CoC projects by LEP persons. For example, by conducting an assessment that balances the following four factors: (1) The number or proportion of LEP persons eligible to be served or likely to be encountered by the program or grantee; (2) the frequency with which LEP persons come in contact with the program; (3) the nature and importance of the program, activity, or service provided by the program to people's lives; and (4) the resources available to the grantee and costs.? Do the recipient and subrecipient determine what language needs exist, what assistance measures are sufficient for the CoC funded project, and what reasonable steps they will take to ensure meaningful access for LEP persons? Do the recipient and subrecipient develop and periodically update a written implementation plan to address the identified needs of the LEP populations they serve? (FY19 HUD Administrative Requirements and Terms)

Yes X No

Guidance: [Sample LEP Policy](#); [HUD's Final Guidance Regarding Title VI Prohibition Against National Origin Discrimination Affecting Limited English Proficient Persons](#)

Reviewer Comments:

FINDING: Resident Selection and Occupancy Policy includes a brief LEP policy, but does not address: determining what language needs exist, what assistance measures are sufficient for the CoC funded project, and periodic update of a written implementation plan to address the identified needs of the LEP populations they serve.

RECOMMENDATION: Determine what language needs exist, and what assistance measures are sufficient for the CoC funded projects. Develop a schedule to periodically update a written implementation plan to assess and address the identified needs of the LEP populations served. The

CT Balance of State Continuum of Care and Department of Mental Health & Addiction Services
CoC Project Monitoring Final Report

assessment and implementation plan should address both property management and supportive services functions.

66) Religious discrimination. In providing services and outreach activities related to such services supported in whole or part with CoC Program funds, do records document that the recipient did not discriminate against a program participant or prospective program participant on the basis of religion, a religious belief, a refusal to hold a religious belief, or a refusal to attend or participate in a religious practice? [24 CFR 578.87(b)(1); 24 CFR 578.87(b)(ii); 24 CFR 578.103(a)(13)] Yes No

Reviewer Comments:

Did not assess – agency previously met standard.

67) Religious activities. For recipients that engage in explicitly religious activities, do records document that the recipient performed such activities and offered such services outside of programs that are supported with federal financial assistance separately, in time or location, AND indicated that participation in such religiously explicit activities was voluntary for program participants AND did not use CoC Program funds to support or engage in explicitly religious activities AND if a program participant objected to the religious character of a recipient that provided services, do records document that the recipient undertook reasonable efforts to identify and refer the program participant to an alternative provider within a reasonably prompt time?[24 CFR 578.87(b)(2); 24 CFR 578.103(a)(13)] Yes No

Reviewer Comments:

Not Applicable – agency does not engage in explicitly religious activities.

Housing Assistance

88) Leases (PSH & RRH). Do participants have a signed lease with an initial term of at least one year, renewable for a minimum term of one month and terminable only for cause? Rental assistance projects must have leases between the program participant and the landowner or sublessor. Leasing projects must have a lease between the recipient or subrecipient and the landowner. Agreement/lease should provide formal due process rights. [578.77(a); 578.51(l)]
X Yes No

Reviewer Comments:

For CTO [REDACTED], the lease states that tenants may be evicted if arrested or if a guest is arrested for criminal activity. See notes and recommendation related to Fair Housing (item #59) as relates to use of criminal record.

115) Accommodating family composition changes. Does the project comply with the CT BOS requirement to allow participants to alter their family composition at any time during the admission process or post admission except when a unit is not large enough by HUD standards, the services required to meet the needs of the new family member are not available, and/or housing the family together would present an imminent health and/or safety risk? When circumstances prevent accommodating changes to family composition, does the project assist the family in accessing a different unit or work with their

**CT Balance of State Continuum of Care and Department of Mental Health & Addiction Services
CoC Project Monitoring Final Report**

CAN and assist the family in accessing a different project that meets their needs and can accommodate them together as a family? (CT BOS Policies) Yes No

Guidance: CT BOS Policies available at: www.ctbos.org/policies

Reviewer Comments:

116) Equal Access. Does program comply with HUD Final Rule on Equal Access and HUD’s Final Rule on Equal Access in Accordance with Gender Identity? (HUD Equal Access Rules)

Yes No

Guidance: [HUD Final Rules on Equal Access](#)

Reviewer Comments:

117) LGBTQIA+ policy compliance. Do the recipient/subrecipient provide a safe, healthy, inclusive, affirming and discrimination-free environment for persons identifying as LGBTQIA+ as defined in CT BOS Policies? This includes for example: operating in compliance with applicable human rights and anti-discrimination laws and regulations; using participants’ chosen titles, names and language to describe their identities; modeling appropriate and affirming behavior; prohibiting disclosure of information regarding LGBTQIA+ identities without participants’ authorization; making single access restrooms available to people of all genders; when gender specific programs, activities and facilities are allowable, enabling access consistent with gender identity regardless of gender expression or sex assigned at birth; establishing and publicly displaying anti-discrimination policies; promptly documenting and addressing all incidents of harassment, bullying, discrimination, and/or violence against LGBTQIA+ persons; connecting clients to gender affirming healthcare providers; and ensuring that any dress codes apply equally to all genders and do not include gender-specific elements. (CT BOS Policies)

Yes No

Guidance: Policies available at: <https://www.ctbos.org/policies>; [2023 Equal Access Training](#)

Reviewer Comments:

118) Immigration status. Does the project comply with the CT BOS requirement that agencies that are not federally required to verify immigration status will not do so for CT BOS projects (CT BOS Policies). Yes No

Guidance: Policies available at: <https://www.ctbos.org/policies/> [HUD PRWORA Fact Sheet](#)

Reviewer Comments:

119) VAWA prohibitions against discrimination. Has the project complied HUD’s Final Rule Regarding the Implementation of Housing Protections Authorized in the Violence Against Women Act of 2013

**CT Balance of State Continuum of Care and Department of Mental Health & Addiction Services
CoC Project Monitoring Final Report**

(VAWA), which prohibits discrimination against individuals applying for or living in federally subsidized housing because of acts of domestic violence, sexual assault, dating violence, and stalking committed against them? This includes prohibitions against survivors being denied assistance as an applicant or evicted or having assistance terminated as a participant because of abuse and effects of abuse such as unit damages. VAWA protections are not only available to women, but are available equally to all individuals regardless of sex, gender identity, or sexual orientation. Yes No

Guidance: [HUD VAWA 2013 Reauthorization Final Rule](#); [HUD VAWA 2022 Letter to CoC/ESG Recipients](#); [HUD VAWA 2022 Webinar Series](#);

Reviewer Comments:

Unable to assess – no evidence of need in charts reviewed.

Coordinated Entry & Participant Eligibility

127) Use of CAN. Did the recipient/subrecipient use the centralized or coordinated assessment system established by the CoC? Did the recipient/subrecipient comply with the written policies and procedures established by the CoC for determining and prioritizing which families and individuals would receive assistance? 24 CFR 578.(a)(8)? Yes No

Guidance: CT CAN Policies are available at: www.ctbos.org/policies

Reviewer Comments:

128) Statewide By-Name List. Did the project accept referrals only from the Statewide By-Name List that is maintained by each CAN with the exception of participants transferred from a different PSH project? For veterans and youth did the PSH project accept only referrals from the separate prioritization processes established for these subpopulations? For transfers, was the placement coordinated through the local CAN? Is the CAN housing referral form in the participant's file? (*CT CAN Policies and Procedures*)

Yes No

Guidance: CT CAN Policies are available at: www.ctbos.org/policies

Reviewer Comments:

133) Provider/applicant declinations. Does the project only decline CAN referrals for the following approved reasons: applicant has determined that the project does not meet his/her needs/preferences, there is no actual vacancy available in the project, the applicant missed two intake appointments, the applicant household presented with more people than referred by the CAN, or the project has determined that the applicant household cannot be safely accommodated or cannot meet tenancy obligations with the supports provided by the project? Does the project accept at least two-thirds of all referrals? When declining a referral, does the project provide a written notification to the applicant and CAN specifying: the reason the provider or applicant declined; an alternative recommendation regarding housing model/exit option for the client with justification; and instructions for appealing the decision, including the contact information for the person to whom and time frame under which the appeal should be submitted? (*CT CAN Policies and Procedures*) Yes No

Reviewer Comments:

Services

153) Service Plans (PSH & TH). x

- A) Service plans are completed within 60 days of project entry? Yes No
- B) Service plans are updated at least every 6 months? Yes No
- C) Services plans are signed by the direct service staff person, the participant and a supervisor? Yes No
- D) Plans include specific and measurable action steps and indicate who is responsible for each action and when those actions will occur? Yes No
- E) Goals are individualized and person-centered? Yes No
- F) Case notes document that assistance with advancing goals/objectives is regularly provided to participants? Yes No
- G) When working with a participant who is reluctant to engage, attempts to encourage service planning are documented? Yes No

[Required for PSH – PSH Requirements & Operations Guide; CT BOS Best Practice recommendation for TH] Guidance: Sample service plan available on the CSH [Quality Initiative Page](#)..

Reviewer Comments:

FINDING: A) In one chart reviewed for CTO [REDACTED], the service plan was completed after 60 days of participant entering the project.

C) The service plans reviewed for CTO [REDACTED] or CT [REDACTED] were not signed by participants.

D) Service plans reviewed for CTO [REDACTED] and CTO [REDACTED] did not consistently include specific and measurable action steps, indicating who is responsible for them and when they will occur. For instance, a goal reviewed for a client in CTO [REDACTED] was: "Client will complete all of her CM goals", without indicating what those goals were. Another CTO [REDACTED] client's service plan included the same objective since 4/8/21 of "Cl will learn and follow rules around housing voucher so as to maintain housing for 90." For CTO [REDACTED], the goal of finding therapeutic help or self-help programs to aid with substance use issues does not indicate who will do what by when.

E) The goals indicated above for CTO [REDACTED] participants are more generic and not person-centered, and the goal of learning and following the rules seems to have been achieved according to notes in 2021. There was a finding related to service plans in 2022.

RECOMMENDATION: A & C) Ensure that service plans are completed for each participant within 60 days of project entry and that each service plan is signed by the participant. D & E) Ensure that the service plans contain goals that are individualized and person-centered, including specific and measurable action steps, indicating who is responsible for each step and when each will occur.

158) Disability-related services. Grantees may not require project residents to participate in

**CT Balance of State Continuum of Care and Department of Mental Health & Addiction Services
CoC Project Monitoring Final Report**

disability-related services (this does not include substance abuse treatment services) [578.75(h)]. Has the program complied with this requirement? X Yes No

Guidance: Examples of disability-related services include, but are not limited to, mental health services, outpatient health services, and provision of medication, which are provided to a person with a disability to address a condition caused by the disability. (Note: CT BOS PSH projects are required to follow Housing First Principles – see below)

Reviewer Comments:

159) Housing First. All projects must follow Housing First principles, including: housing is not contingent on compliance with services; tenants may only be terminated for lease violations or failure to carry out obligations under Connecticut’s Landlord and Tenant Act and many only be evicted from their units based on a valid court order; and services are provided post-housing placement to promote housing stability.]. Does project follow Housing First principles? (*CT BOS Policies; Does not apply to ODFC*) Yes X No

Reviewer Comments:

FINDING: Although discussions with staff and clients indicate that case management services are not a requirement in practice, the participant handbook indicates that participants are required to engage in case management services. Although this is not a recapture risk, this item is coded pink due to repeated findings in 2021 and 2022.

RECOMMENDATION: Revise the participant handbook to indicate that participation in case management is optional.

166) Termination of Assistance. Based on review files of participants who have been terminated from the CoC program, has the recipient/subrecipient demonstrated a formal termination process that includes at least the following: a) provides formal due process before a person other than the person (or subordinate of that person) who made or approved the termination decision, b) provides the participant a written copies of rules, written notice of termination with clear statement of reasons for terminating, opportunity to appeal decision c) provides the participant written notification of final decision? For DMHAS projects, prior to commencing the termination process does the Housing Provider notify the participant in writing that the subsidy is in jeopardy, specifying the reasons, instructing the participant to contact the Housing Provider immediately, and copying the case manager? If an agreement is not reached within 60 working days about the issues raised in the warning letter, does the Housing Provider notify the participant in writing that the rental assistance will be terminated and the participant has the right to appeal the decision?[578.91 and *PSH Requirements and Operations Guide*]

Yes X No

Reviewer Comments:

CT Balance of State Continuum of Care and Department of Mental Health & Addiction Services
CoC Project Monitoring Final Report

FINDING: In one chart reviewed for CTO [REDACTED], the client was discharged under agreeable circumstances to a permanent housing destination, but there was no evidence that the steps outlined above for termination of assistance were taken.

RECOMMENDATION: Even when a discharge/termination of assistance is under agreeable circumstances, follow the process outlined above in order to ensure clear communication of the termination of assistance and provide participants the opportunity to appeal and/or communicate with program staff in regards to any potential problems or miscommunications.

167)At-risk discharges If an individual or family residing at a permanent housing project is at risk of returning to homelessness or an individual or family is being discharged from a transitional housing project without a stable placement, the service provider is required to notify the local CAN at the earliest possible point in the process. The CAN will convene a case conference to evaluate the situation, determine intervention(s) that might help to preserve housing or secure an alternative placement, plan for the best possible outcome and try to prevent a return to homelessness. This requirement does not apply in situations of imminent risk to self or others. Has the project complied with this requirement? *(CT BOS Policies)*

Yes No

Reviewer Comments:

Did not assess – not applicable to charts reviewed

1. CT BOS Housing First Principles

Housing First is a programmatic and systems approach that centers on providing homeless people with housing quickly and *then* providing services as needed using a low barrier approach that emphasizes community integration, stable tenancy, recovery and individual choice.

Low barrier approach to entry:

- Housing First offers individuals and families experiencing homelessness immediate access to permanent supportive housing without unnecessary prerequisites. For example:
 - a. Admission/tenant screening and selection practices do not require abstinence from substances, completion of or compliance with treatment, or participation in services.
 - b. Applicants are not rejected on the basis of poor or lack of credit or income, poor or lack of rental history, minor criminal convictions, or other factors that might indicate a lack of “housing readiness.”
 - c. Blanket exclusionary criteria based on more serious criminal convictions are not applied, though programs may consider such convictions on a case by case basis as necessary to ensure the safety of other residents and staff.
 - d. Generally, only those admission criteria that are required by funders are applied, though programs may also consider additional criteria on a case by case basis as necessary to ensure the safety of tenants and staff. Application of such additional criteria should be rare, and may include, for example, denial of an applicant who is a high risk registered sex offender by a project serving children, or denial of an applicant who has a history of domestic violence involving a current participant.

Community integration and recovery:

- Housing is integrated into the community and tenants have ample opportunity and are supported to form connections outside of the project.
 - a. Housing is located in neighborhoods that are accessible to community resources and services such as schools, libraries, houses of worship, grocery stores, laundromats, doctors, dentists, parks, and other recreation facilities.
 - b. Efforts are made to make the housing look and feel similar to other types of housing in the community and to avoid distinguishing the housing as a program that serves people with special needs.
 - c. Services are designed to help tenants build supportive relationships, engage in personally meaningful activities, and regain or develop new roles in their families and communities.
 - d. Services are recovery-based and designed to help tenants gain control of their own lives, define their personal values, preferences, and visions for the future, establish meaningful individual short and long-term goals, and build hope that the things they want out of life are attainable. Services are focused on helping tenants achieve the things that are important to them and goals are not driven by staff priorities or selected from a pre-determined menu of options.

Lease compliance and housing retention

- Tenants are expected to comply with a standard lease agreement and are provided with services and supports to help maintain housing and prevent eviction. Visitors are expected to comply with requirements in the lease agreement.
 - a. Leases do not include stipulations beyond those that are customary, legal, and enforceable under Connecticut law.
 - b. No program rules beyond those that are customary, legal, and enforceable through a lease are applied (e.g., visitor policies should be equivalent to those in other types of permanent, lease-based housing in the community). Housing providers may ask for identification from visitors.
 - c. Services are designed to identify and reduce risks to stable tenancy and to overall health and well-being.
- Retention in housing is contingent only on lease compliance and is not contingent on abstinence from substances or compliance with services, treatment or other clinical requirements. For example:
 - a. Tenants are not terminated involuntarily from housing for refusal to participate in services or for violating program rules that are not stipulated in the lease.
 - b. Transitional housing programs offer participants due process to resolve issues that may result in involuntary discharge (unless immediate risk to health and safety)
 - c. PH providers only terminate occupancy of housing in cases of noncompliance with the lease or failure of a tenant to carry out obligations under Connecticut's Landlord and Tenant Act (Chapter 830 of the Connecticut General Statute <http://www.cga.ct.gov/2011/pub/chap830.htm>).
 - d. In order to terminate housing, PH providers are required to use the legal court eviction process.

Separation of housing and services

- Projects are designed in such a manner that the roles of property management (e.g., housing application, rent collection, repairs, and eviction) and supportive services staff are clearly defined and distinct.
 - a. Property management and support service functions are provided either by separate legal entities or by staff members whose roles do not overlap.
 - b. There are defined processes for communication and coordination across the two functions to support stable tenancy.
 - c. Those processes are designed to protect client confidentiality and share confidential information on a need to know basis only.

Tenant Choice

- Efforts are made to maximize tenant choice, including type, frequency, timing, location and intensity of services and whenever possible choice of neighborhoods, apartments, furniture, and décor.
- Staff accepts tenant choices as a matter of fact without judgment and provides services that are non-coercive to help people achieve their personal goals.
- Staff accepts that risk is part of the human experience and helps tenants to understand risks and reduce harm caused to themselves and others by risky behavior.

- Staff understands the clinical and legal limits to choice and intervenes as necessary when someone presents a danger to self or others.
- Staff helps tenants to understand the legal obligations of tenancy and to reduce risk of eviction.
- Projects provide meaningful opportunities for tenant input and involvement when designing programs, planning activities and determining policies.

Local Competition Scoring Tool

**Connecticut Balance of State (BOS) CoC
2024 Renewal Evaluation - Adult Programs**

Scored Criteria Only

Evaluation Criteria	2024 Benchmark / Standard & Data Source	2024 Scores	2nd 2024 Standard	2024 Scores 2nd Tier	3rd 2024 Standard	2024 Scores 3rd Tier
PERFORMANCE						
Spending on last year's HUD grant ¹ . * Projects over \$2M spend: leave <\$75k unspent. Projects between \$250 & \$2M: Spend 90% & leave <\$50k unspent; Projects under \$250K: spend 90%.	See box to the left Zengine	15	All projects spend at least 80%.	10		
Occupancy (based on quarterly unit utilization) * ²	90% / HMIS & Zengine	25	80%	15		
All adult participants with NON-CASH benefits excluding health insurance ³ * + (allowance for DV)	95% DV only - 76% HMIS	20	85% DV only - 71%	15	75% DV only - 66%	5
TH Only (DV Projects): LOS is 2 years or less *##(Special for DV)	100% / HMIS	10	90%	6		
PSH Only: Percentage of participants who remain in PSH or exited to permanent housing ⁴ *##	95% / HMIS	25	90%	15	85%	5
RRH and TH Only: Percentage of leavers who exited to Permanent Housing ⁴ *##	95% / HMIS	25	85%	15	80%	5
Consumer Surveys - Response Rate ⁵ *	35% / Survey Monkey & DMHAS	15	25%	5		
Lateness Penalty: 5 points deducted for each document submitted late *	Submitted on-time/ RED	-5				
Contacts Penalty: 2 points deducted for not updating/confirming Zengine Contacts *	Update/Confirm contacts in past quarter / Zengine	-2				

Total Points Available = 100 each for PSH & RRH, 110 for TH

¹ Excludes new projects, SRO projects, sub-projects, and projects transferred to a new provider

² Excludes new projects and projects transferred to a new provider

³ Excludes Participants who are not yet required to have an annual assessment. Non-Cash Benefits in HMIS include SNAP, WIC, TANF childcare services, TANF transportation services, other TANF-Funded Services, Other Source

⁴ Excludes deceased participants or programs with only 1 exit with a bad outcome and exits from housing to seek safety, & exits to foster care/group home, hospital, long-term care/nursing home, & substance abuse treatment

⁵ Projects that do not submit surveys get 0 points for response rate. Both projects that do not submit surveys and those that submit late get a lateness penalty.

Maximum Points Available : 100

Objective Points Available: 100

System Performance Points Available: 25

Severe Barrier Points Available: 20

*** Notes Objective Criteria**

Notes System Performance Criteria

+ Notes Severe Barriers Criteria

Note: Where data source column indicates HMIS, for Victim Service providers the data are from the comparable database

**2024 Balance of State Continuum of Care
Scoring Guide for
NEW Project Applications (including DV)**

THRESHOLD REVIEW

Proposed projects must meet the following requirements in order to be scored. Housing Innovations will conduct this review.

The project: All threshold criteria are Objective *

1. Application is complete
2. Is in an eligible location
3. Applicant is in good standing with HUD (applicable to those with current HUD-funded projects)
4. Is an allowable project type (not allowable type if is not described in the instructions)
5. Will be administered by an eligible organization
6. Meets the match requirements, including amount plus allowable source
7. Proposes to serve an eligible population
8. Agrees to participate in HMIS or HMIS comparable database
9. Agrees to participate in Coordinated Access Networks (CANs)
10. Meets the allowable criteria to apply for additional Supportive Services funds (Expansion projects)
11. Is a Housing First model and meets Housing First Standards
12. Will start in the required timeframe
13. Is devoid of any deficiencies which would prevent submission to HUD

SCORING

Scoring Factor #1 - Organizational Experience and Capacity	20
Scoring Factor # 1.5 – Rental Administration (RA) Plan (only for PSH projects for which LMHA is not administering RA)	10
Scoring Factor #2 – Timeliness	10
Scoring Factor #3 – Supportive Services and Housing First	30
Scoring Factor #4 – ONLY DV Projects - DV Experience, Plan and Outcomes	25
Scoring Factor #5 - Application/Budget Quality	20

	DV	Non-DV
Sum Total of Points Available	105	90
Total Objective Criteria Points Available	88.2	74
Total System Performance Points Available	30.9	22
Total Severe Barrier Points Available	56	27

* **Notes Objective Criteria # Notes System Performance Criteria + Notes Severe Barrier Criteria**

Scoring Factor # 1 – Organizational Experience and Capacity - 20 points

See Applicant Profile – Experience of Applicant

- **7A** - Do the applicant, subrecipient and key partner organization(s) appear to have the experience to successfully operate a HUD funded permanent housing program for persons experiencing homelessness? Specifically, do the relevant organization(s) demonstrate significant and long-standing experience: (2nd through 4th bullet below are objective *, SPM-related# & severe barrier-related+)
 - + ▪ operating successful Housing First programs?
 - * # + ▪ linking participants to mainstream services including health insurance, employment and mainstream affordable housing?
 - * # + ▪ increasing participant income through employment and access to public benefits?
 - * # + ▪ helping participants to stabilize in housing?
 - assessing interest in/assisting with moving on from PSH (if applicable)?
 - locating units and administering rental assistance (if applicable)?
- **7B** - Is there a clear organizational structure for managing operations, coordinating among departments within the agency and with partner organizations, including how this project will fit within that structure?
- **7C** – Do the applicant and subrecipient appear to have the experience to successfully utilize federal funds and perform activities proposed in the application? (All below are objective *)
 - working with and addressing the target population(s) identified housing and supportive service needs;
 - developing and implementing relevant program systems, services, and/or residential property construction and rehabilitation;
 - identifying and securing matching funds from a variety of sources; and
 - managing basic organization operations including financial accounting systems.
- **7D, and F through J** - Does the organization appear to have a well-established financial management structure, ensuring the capacity to effectively leverage and use federal funds, and ensuring timely project start up and full expenditure of new project funds? Specifically, has the organization demonstrated sufficient capacity related to: (All below are objective *)
 - Resolving monitoring/audit findings?
 - Fully spending grant funds?
 - Avoiding/resolving outstanding arrears?
 - Regularly drawing down funds?
 - Timely submission of reports?
- **7K** - Has the agency demonstrated efforts to ensure they are meeting the unique needs of marginalized communities, for example: (All below are objective * and last 3 address severe barriers +)
 - Ensuring diversity among staff and board
 - Creating opportunities for participants to shape programs
 - Hiring program participants
 - Developing partnerships with other local organizations that focus on marginalized communities
 - + ▪ Analyzing program access and outcomes by race/ethnicity
 - + ▪ Planning steps to address any disparate access or outcomes
 - + ▪ Any other unique qualifications that agency has to serve marginalized communities

Scoring Factor 1	Total Points Available	
	Non-DV	DV
Objective Criteria	16	15.2
System Performance	2	2.9
Severe Barrier	5	9

Scoring Factor # 1 (cont'd) – Organizational Experience and Capacity - 20 points (Additional factors to consider for DV projects within the 20 pt. scoring factor #1) **All factors in this section are Objective * and relate to Severe Barriers ***

- **DV Only – 7E** – How well has the organization evaluated its ability to ensure the safety of survivors in existing projects? Will the organization improve safety in the proposed project for the population served? Will they use objective criteria to measure this? For example, participants will:
 - #
 - Demonstrate increased income from project enrollment to annual assessment/project exit
 - Experience a decrease in DV/Intimate Partner Violence (IPV) incidences, and/or trauma symptoms
 - Demonstrate an increase in intentional safety planning
 - Have the ability to meet basic needs and/or social connectedness
- **DV Only – 7M** - Extent to which the applicant clearly describes how the coordinated entry process through which applicants will enter the program incorporates trauma-informed, victim-centered approaches and maximizes client choice for housing and services that:
 - #
 - Prioritize safety,
 - Use emergency transfer plans, and
 - Ensure confidentiality.
- **DV Only – 7N** - Extent to which the organization provided examples of how they ensured the safety of DV survivors experiencing homelessness by:
 - training staff on safety planning;
 - adjusting intake space to better ensure private conversations;
 - conducting separate interviews/intakes with each member of a couple;
 - working with survivors to have them identify what is safe for them as relates to units (e.g., maintaining bars on windows, fixing lights in hallways, etc. for living spaces operated by applicant); and
 - keeping the location of dedicated units and/or congregate living spaces set aside solely for survivors confidential.

Scoring Factor #1.5 - Rental Administration Plan – 10 points (only for PSH projects for which LMHA is not administering RA) **(All Points in this section are Objective *)**

- See Questions 2.F and 2.G** - This metric will be scored as follows:
- 10 Points will be given if: Rental Administration plan is sufficiently detailed, includes all required responses for all areas and is compliant with HUD rental assistance administration requirements
 - 0 Points will be given if: Rental Administration plan is not sufficiently detailed, and/or lacks required responses for any of the 9 areas and/or has significant compliance issues

Scoring Factor #2 - Timeliness – 10 points **(All Points in this section are Objective*)**

- See Section #2P Project Description**
- Extent to which the applicant demonstrated an adequate plan for rapid project start-up
 - The timeline of use for HUD funds will be defined in the CoC Program NOFO and is anticipated to be that the project starts by 9/30/26. Preference is given to projects that can begin operating sooner.

Scoring Factor 1.5	Total Points Available	Scoring Factor 2	Total Points Available
Objective Criteria	10	Objective Criteria	10
System Performance	0	System Performance	0
Severe Barrier	0	Severe Barrier	0

Scoring Factor #3 – Supportive Services and Housing First – Total of 30 points	
<u>Housing First Approach (10 points) - Supportive Services – Section 2R</u>	
Extent to which the applicant:	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Clearly describes a program design that is consistent with a Housing First approach (i.e., A model of housing assistance that is * + offered without preconditions, such as sobriety or a minimum income threshold, or service participation requirements; rapid placement and stabilization in permanent housing are primary goals)
Questions to consider:	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Does the applicant clearly demonstrate a model that offers initial access to housing without preconditions, such as sobriety, income requirements and service participation? Does the applicant clearly demonstrate that rapid placement and stabilization in permanent housing are primary goals of the project? Does the applicant clearly demonstrate an understanding of the services required for housing stabilization (i.e., helping tenants understand their rights and responsibilities, advocating with landlords/property management to address threats to housing stability, assertively engaging tenants in services to address barriers to housing stability) Does the applicant clearly describe a project design that is adequate to accomplish those goals?
<u>Assistance with obtaining and remaining in permanent housing (10 points) – See Section 2R</u>	
Questions to consider: All here are Objective * & System Performance-related	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Is there a clear description of how eligible participants obtain and maintain housing? Does the applicant have a plan to assess needs of participants and address those needs including but not limited to: health, behavioral health, education, employment, life skills and childcare services, if applicable
+	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Does the applicant have a plan to work with landlords and to assist participants with housing stabilization and eviction prevention?
+	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Does the project use the critical time intervention model to inform service delivery (recommended – information available at www.criticaltime.org)?
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> If applicable, is there a clear description of how appropriate units will be identified and rent reasonableness be determined?
<u>Assistance with obtaining mainstream health, social services and connection to employment programs for program participants (10 points) – See Section 2S</u>	
* # +	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Does the agency coordinate with mainstream employment organizations?
* # +	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Does the agency assist tenants to access SSI/SSDI and other mainstream benefits?
* # +	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Does the agency assist tenants to build independent living skills and move on from PSH (if applicable)?
* # +	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Does the agency explain how the unique needs of the proposed target populations will be addressed in a manner that assists them to increase income and build skills?
+#	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Does the project provide a robust description of activities that will assist participants to increase income and access services and benefits?

Scoring Factor 3	Total Points Available
Objective Criteria	28
System Performance	20
Severe Barrier	22

Scoring Factor #4 – DV Projects Only - DV Experience, Plan and Outcomes – 25 Points

For below, 2T relates to the provider’s experience and 2U relates to the plan for the proposed project.

(Objective* & Severe Barrier+ apply to whole section)

Experience with providing housing and supportive services to survivors – See Section 2T

Questions to consider: Does the project clearly describe experience with:

- # • Using low-demand, Housing First model to rapidly locate permanent housing for survivors?
- # • Designing and operating programs that help survivors to increase their income and achieve long-term housing stability?
- Designing and operating programs that are and focused on safety?
- Designing and operating programs that are strengths-based and survivor-driven, offering a range of options to support survivors to rebuild control over their lives and improve safety for themselves and their families?
- Designing and operating trauma-informed programs?
- Designing and operating programs that help survivors to navigate a range of systems?

Plan to meet the specific needs of survivors. – See Section 2U

Questions to consider: Does the applicant clearly describe how they will:

- # • Use a low-demand, Housing First model to rapidly locate permanent housing for survivors.
- # • Help survivors to increase their income and achieve long-term housing stability.
- Ensure a focus on safety. Provide a plan for improving the safety of program participants.
- Ensure that services are strengths-based and survivor-driven and offer a range of options to support survivors to rebuild control over their lives and improve safety for themselves and their families.
- Ensure that services are trauma-informed.
- Help survivors to navigate a range of systems.
- Advocate for survivors’ autonomy, safety, independence and housing stability.

Scoring Factor 4	Total Points Available
Objective Criteria	25
System Performance	8
Severe Barrier	25

Scoring Factor #5: Application/Budget Quality - 20 Points (Objective* applies to whole section)

Evaluate based on the entire application

Application Quality (10 points) - Extent to which the applicant:

- consistently followed instructions;
- included all required attachments; and
- fully answered questions?

See Section #3

Budget Quality (10 points) - Extent to which the project budget

- was completed in accordance with the instructions, including submission of descriptions for each budget item in sufficient detail?
- met the minimum matching requirement (if applicable)?
- included only eligible costs?
- provided sufficient detail and made sense given the project description and target population?
- Is cost effective and falls within established limit of maximum per household costs?

Scoring Factor 5	Total Points Available
Objective Criteria	10
System Performance	0
Severe Barrier	0

Scored Forms for One Project

Grant #: CT0261 (2024)

Project Name: Project Teach

Recipient: Community Renewal Team, Inc (CRT)

Service Provider: Community Renewal Team, Inc (CRT)

Project Type: COC / PSH

Actual Objective Criteria Points Awarded: 100

Actual System Performance Criteria Points Awarded: 25

Date Effective for Data: 2/26/2024

Status
Scoring Complete - Scored
STATUS
Scoring Complete - Scored
POINTS AVAILABLE
100.00
AWARDED POINTS
100.00
PENALTIES
Contacts: 0.00
Lateness: 0.00
GRANT SCORE
100.00

All criteria are objective and # indicates system performance criteria.

Performance

	Evaluation Criteria	Benchmark / Standard	Program Performance	Points Available	Awarded Points
	1 Spending on last year's HUD grant	Projects between \$250k and \$2m: spend 90% and leave < \$50k unspent	\$482,252	15	15
	2 Occupancy (based on quarterly unit utilization)	>= 90%	93%	25	25
	4 All adult participants with NON-CASH benefits excluding health insurance	Non-DV projects: >= 95%	100%	20	20
#	5 Percentage of adult participants who increased EARNED INCOME from entry to exit/follow-up	PSH & RRH projects: >= 25%	0%	(not scored)	
#	9 PSH Only: % of participants who remain in PSH or exited to PH	>= 95%	100%	25	25
	12 Consumer Surveys - Response Rate	>= 35%	70%	15	15
#	15 PSH & RRH Only: Percentage of participants housed within 30 days	PSH projects: >= 85%	100%	(not scored)	
#	17 Percentage of adult participants who increased ANY CASH INCOME from entry to exit/follow-up		55%	(not scored)	
	20 Rate of Return to Homelessness Among BIPOC / RoR for White/Non-Latinx - Exits to PH from 10/1/20 to 3/31/21 who returned between 10/1/20 and 9/30/21. Under 100% mean that BIPOC RoR is lower than White RoR. Over 100% mean that BIPOC RoR is greater than White RoR.	ROR BIPOC <= ROR White/Non-LatinX	N/A	(not scored)	
	Performance Totals	Actual Points Available and Awarded		100.00	100.00
	Penalties				
	Lateness Penalty (5 points per document)				0.00

Notification of Projects Rejected - Reduced



CT BOS CoC <ctboscoc@gmail.com>

2024 New Project Application Response - CHD

CT BOS CoC <ctboscoc@gmail.com>

Tue, Apr 23, 2024 at 4:46 PM

To: jhiggins@chd.org

Cc: Diane Albini <DALbini@chd.org>

Dear Jennifer,

Thank you for submitting your 3 **CHD New Project Applications**, Housing to Home PSH, Housing to Home + PSH, and Rapid Rehousing to Home, to the CT BOS CoC for submission in the FY2024 HUD CoC NOFO. We are writing to inform you that your applications **will not be included in the 2024 HUD bonus project application.**

These 3 applications did not meet the CT BOS Housing First threshold. Specifically, they said that CHD uses standard tools to assess the readiness of individuals to move from shelter housing to PSH in order to gauge transition readiness. Applications went on to say that when individuals are deemed ready, they are invited to enroll in the project. These descriptions are not consistent with Housing First practices. This was the same issue for which CHD's projects did not meet threshold in 2023.

Additional threshold issues are as follows: the PSH Housing to Home project included service provision in the ineligible location of Danbury, which is not within the CT BOS geographic area, and the RRH project did not meet the match requirement of 25% of total requested funds.

We very much appreciate the time and energy you put into the applications and thank you again for your submissions. We are grateful for CHD's efforts to end homelessness in CT. Please feel free to contact us with any questions.

Sincerely,

Steve DiLella, Stephanie Lazarus, John Merz, and Alice Minervino
CT BOS Co-Chairs



CT BOS CoC <ctboscoc@gmail.com>

2024 New Project Application Response - NOI

CT BOS CoC <ctboscoc@gmail.com>

Tue, Apr 23, 2024 at 5:09 PM

To: jtallman@newoppinc.org

Cc: ggrullon@newoppinc.org

Dear Judy,

Thank you for submitting your **2 New Opportunities, Inc. new project applications**, NOI Meriden PSH Project and NOI RRH Project - Waterbury, to the CT BOS CoC for submission in the FY2024 HUD CoC NOFO. We are writing to inform you that your applications **will not be included in the 2024 HUD bonus project application** as they did not meet the application threshold requirements based on these factors:

- NOI Meriden PSH Project:
 - Not an allowable project type: Application is for a PSH project, indicates no funding from any other source and no supportive services budget was submitted. PSH projects without services are not allowable project types.
 - Ineligible Population: All units are for 2-Bedrooms or larger and new PSH units for families with children is not allowable under this opportunity
- NOI RRH Project - Waterbury:
 - Not an allowable project type: Application is for an RRH project, indicates no funding from any other source and no supportive services budget was submitted. RRH projects without services are not allowable project types.
 - Not an allowable housing type: One type of housing proposed in this project is clustered housing, which is not an allowable housing type for RRH projects.

We very much appreciate the time and energy you put into the applications and thank you again for your submissions. We are grateful for New Opportunities' efforts to end homelessness in CT. Please feel free to contact us with any questions.

Sincerely,

Steve DiLella, Stephanie Lazarus, John Merz, and Alice Minervino
CT BOS Co-Chairs



CT BOS CoC <ctboscoc@gmail.com>

2024 New Project Feedback - Columbus House - reduced

CT BOS CoC <ctboscoc@gmail.com>

Thu, Oct 10, 2024 at 4:56 PM

To: Sarah Hoffman <swelinsky@columbushouse.org>, Alex Fox <afox@columbushouse.org>, Leigh Shields-Church <leigh.shields-church@ct.gov>

Cc: "shannon housinginnovations.us" <shannon@housinginnovations.us>

Hi Sarah and Alex,

We are sending this email to maintain compliance with HUD NOFO requirements. Thank you for submitting your 2 new project applications to CT BOS for the 2024 CoC Competition. The chart below shows the Applicant, the Project Names, Project Scores, Ranks, Accepted/Rejected/Reduced Status and the total funding amount which will be submitted to HUD.

Connecticut Department of Housing	CT0339 CT BOS RRH Bonus 2019 CHI NH Expansion	90.00	105	Accepted Reduced	\$ 468,156
Connecticut Department of Housing	CT0339 CT BOS RRH Bonus 2019 CHI MMW Expansion	90.00	106	Accepted Reduced	\$ 434,971

The **funding amounts for each were reduced**. The MMW original submission mistakenly used Hartford FMR, and correction to the correct FMR region resulted in a reduction in funding. Both projects submitted supportive services budgets that were above the CT BOS limit and both were reduced accordingly.

We appreciate your efforts in submitting the applications and are grateful for Columbus House's work to stably house the unhoused in CT. Please feel free to contact us with any questions.

Sincerely,

Shannon Quinn-Sheeran
CT BOS Team



Killingly New Project Reduced Feedback - 2024 NOFO

CT BOS CoC <ctboscoc@gmail.com>

Thu, Oct 10, 2024 at 4:53 PM

To: MARYANN PICCIARELLI <killingly.housing@gmail.com>, Emma Pietrantonio King <emma.king@accessagency.org>

Cc: "shannon housinginnovations.us" <shannon@housinginnovations.us>

Hi Mayann and Emma,

We are sending this email to maintain compliance with HUD NOFO requirements. Thank you for submitting your new project application to CT BOS for the 2024 CoC Competition. The chart below shows the project information as it will be submitted to HUD.

Applicant Name	Project Name	Score	Rank	Status	Funding Amount
Killingly Housing Authority	KILLINGLY CONSOLIDATED WRAP AROUND HOUSING PROGRAM EXPANSION	83	92	Accepted Reduced	\$ 66,502

The funding amount was reduced due to the original submission mistakenly including rental assistance funds, which we have clarified with you. The project total was reduced accordingly to the amount above..

We appreciate your efforts in submitting the applications and are grateful for Access Agency's work along with Killingly Housing Authority to stably house the unhoused in CT. Please feel free to contact us with any questions.

Sincerely,

Shannon Quinn-Sheeran
CT BOS Team



CT BOS CoC <ctboscoc@gmail.com>

New Reach - 2024 New Project Feedback - Project Reduced

CT BOS CoC <ctboscoc@gmail.com>

Thu, Oct 10, 2024 at 4:55 PM

To: Alice Minervino <alice.minervino@ct.gov>, Grants <grants@newreach.org>, Meredith Damboise <mdamboise@newreach.org>

Cc: "shannon housinginnovations.us" <shannon@housinginnovations.us>

Hi Meredith and Rana,

We are sending this email to maintain compliance with HUD NOFO requirements. Thank you for submitting your new project application to CT BOS for the 2024 CoC Competition. The chart below shows the project information as it will be submitted to HUD.

Applicant Name	Project Name	Score	Rank	Status	Funding Amount
CT Department of Mental Health and Addiction Services	New Reach Greater New Haven PSH	92	102	Accepted Reduced	\$ 841,764

The funding amount was reduced due to the original submission including PSH units and services for families (adults with children), which was not an allowable population within our CT BOS local competition. The FMR rates were also 2024 instead of 2023. The project total was reduced accordingly and includes only PSH units and services for adult only households.

We appreciate your efforts in submitting the applications and are grateful for New Reach's work to stably house the unhoused in CT. Please feel free to contact us with any questions.

Sincerely,

Shannon Quinn-Sheeran
CT BOS Team

Email to Holy Family Housing & Shelter with attached letter from Chairs indicating next steps for the mutually agreed upon reallocation of the Homes Plus Project



CT BOS CoC <ctboscoc@gmail.com>

Next Steps - HFHS Homes Plus

CT BOS CoC <ctboscoc@gmail.com>

Thu, Feb 15, 2024 at 9:27 AM

To: mothermdavid@gmail.com, aposthispano@gmail.com

Cc: Howard Burchman <hburchman@housinginnovations.us>, Suzanne Wagner <swagner@housinginnovations.us>, Alice Minervino <alice.minervino@ct.gov>, Steve DiLella <Steve.DiLella@ct.gov>, John Merz <jmerz@act-ct.org>, Lauren Pareti <lpareti@housinginnovations.us>

Hi Mother Mary Jude and Mother Mary David,

Thanks again for your time yesterday and for all you and the HFHS team have done for people experiencing homelessness in the Eastern CAN. As promised, attached is a letter from the CT BOS Steering Committee Co-Chairs outlining next steps for a transition plan for the Homes Plus project.

We don't have an email address for Mother Marie Julie. Please share this letter with her and the others from your Board who participated in yesterday's meeting. We very much appreciate your continued care for the people who rely on the Homes Plus project as we work together to ensure a smooth transition.

If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to reach out to any of us.

Best regards,
CT BOS Team



2024.02.15 HomesPlus Next Steps.docx
286K

P. 1 of letter from CT BOS Chairs to Holy Family regarding the mutually agreed upon reallocation of the Homes Plus Project. Dated and sent 2/15/24. URL is gmail attachment.

mail.google.com/mail/u/2/#search/in%3Asent+to%3A+mothermdavid%40gmail.com/GTVVlcSGKnMgzCImzfCKNLrptsRBpfJfVvKVgSkqrdgIWvTskhtntQPMPKZXpLJstMJGgNWzcnfh?projector=...

in to Microsoft... Grantium™ Microsoft Office Ho... New Tab Adobe Acrobat All Bookm

BOS CoC Connecticut Balance of State Continuum of Care
Ending Homelessness in Connecticut | Email: ctboscoc@gmail.com | Website: www.ctbos.org

February 15, 2024

Mother Marie Julie Saegaert
Mother Mary Jude Lazarus
Mother Mary David Riquier
Holy Family Home and Shelter
88 Jackson St.
Willimantic, CT 06225

Dear Mother Marie Julie, Mother Mary Jude, and Mother Mary David:

We want to thank you for the many years of dedicated and compassionate service that Holy Family Home and Shelter has provided to people experiencing homelessness in the Eastern Coordinated Access Network. The care that your team has provided through the Homes Plus project has helped vulnerable people facing significant challenges to build hope for a brighter future, find homes, develop supportive relationships and engage in meaningful ways with their families and communities.

We also appreciate the time you spent with us on 2/14/24, discussing the future of the program and how we can partner to ensure that the people who rely on the Homes Plus project continue to receive the assistance they need and deserve. We can only imagine how difficult it has been for a group as committed as yours, to make the decision to relinquish the Homes Plus CoC project grant (CT0067). As we discussed, the CoC program is complex and unusually difficult for agencies, particularly smaller agencies, to manage. We recognize the extraordinary efforts that your Board and staff have made under trying circumstances to understand and adhere to the CoC program requirements, while prioritizing delivery of quality services to project participants. We sincerely hope that your team is very proud of the important work that you have done.

1:23 PM 10/9/2024

Here states make decision to relinquish Homes Plus (CT0067)

mail.google.com/mail/u/2/#search/in%3Asent+to%3A+mothermdavid%40gmail.com/GTVVlcSGKnMgzCImzfCKNLrptsRBpfJfVvKVgSkqrdgIWvTskhtntQPMPKZXpLJstMJGgNWzcnfh?projector=...

in to Microsoft... Grantium™ Microsoft Office Ho... New Tab Adobe Acrobat All Bookm

prioritizing delivery of quality services to project participants. We sincerely hope that your team is very proud of the important work that you have done.

We are thankful for your gracious willingness to continue to serve the project's current participants while we work out the details of a transition plan. Below we have outlined some next steps for our work together to phase out Holy Family Home and Shelter's role in the project and to ensure a smooth transition for the people who rely on it:

- Please provide a list of the addresses currently under lease.
- For each address please indicate: the family size, the number of bedrooms, and the total monthly rent due to the landlord.
- Please also provide, if applicable, the number and type of households (adults or families) currently enrolled in the project who have not yet leased a unit.
- Please discontinue accepting new admissions.

BOS CoC Connecticut Balance of State Continuum of Care
Ending Homelessness in Connecticut | Email: ctboscoc@gmail.com | Website: www.ctbos.org


If feasible, please provide the information requested above by 3/1/24. It will help us to determine how best to transition the existing project participants to alternative Permanent Supportive Housing projects. Once a transition plan is in place, DMHAS will need additional information and documentation, such as homelessness and disability documentation for all participants, referral forms, and income documentation. As we work out additional details, we will be in touch with specific requests and other next steps. In the meantime, please do not hesitate contact us at ctboscoc@gmail.com with any questions.

1:23 PM 10/9/2024

P. 2 of letter from CT BOS Chairs to Holy Family Housing and Shelter. URL shows that this is the gmail attachment.


mail.google.com/mail/u/2/#search/in%3AAsent+to%3A+mothermdavid%40gmail.com/GTVWlcSGKnMgrzCImzfCKNLrptsRBpfjFvKvGskqrdglWvTSkhtntQPMPKZxpLJstMJGgNWzcnfh?projector=... ☆


in to Microsoft... Grantium™ Microsoft Office Ho... New Tab Adobe Acrobat All Bookm


**Connecticut Balance of State Continuum of Care**
Ending Homelessness in Connecticut | Email: ctboscoc@gmail.com | Website: www.ctbos.org

If feasible, please provide the information requested above by 3/1/24. It will help us to determine how best to transition the existing project participants to alternative Permanent Supportive Housing projects. Once a transition plan is in place, DMHAS will need additional information and documentation, such as homelessness and disability documentation for all participants, referral forms, and income documentation. As we work out additional details, we will be in touch with specific requests and other next steps. In the meantime, please do not hesitate contact us at ctboscoc@gmail.com with any questions.

Sincerely,
CT BOS Continuum of Care Steering Committee Co-Chairs


Alice Minervino, CT Department of Mental Health and Addiction Services


Steve DiLella, CT Department of Housing



Feb 15, 2024, 9:27 AM ☆ ↶ ↷

promised, attached is a letter from the CT BOS

ay's meeting. We very much appreciate your

1:24 PM 10/9/2024



Outlook

Now Available: 2024 CT BOS Local Competition Results

From CTBOS <ctboscoc-gmail.com@shared1.ccsend.com>**Date** Mon 10/7/2024 12:28 PM**To** Shannon Quinn-Sheeran <shannon@housinginnovations.us>

This and the following 3 pages show Constant Contact email to all project applicants that the CoC posted to our website a listing including: all projects, project ranks (if accepted), project scores (if met threshold), and funding amounts. Shows sent to 561 email addresses on the following lists: General, HUD Grantees, Steering Committee and YHDP on 10/7/24 at 12:28 p.m.



Now Available CT BOS Local Competition Selection Results 2024

Dear Colleagues,

Please find posted on the CT BOS Website, [CT BOS Local Competition Selection Results 2024](#), which includes for all new and renewal projects the CoC considered during CT BOS's local competition:

- Applicant Names;
- Project Names;
- Project Scores;
- Project status (accepted, rejected, etc.);
- Project rank - if accepted; and
- Funding Amounts; and

You are receiving this notice and the posting was made for the purposes of transparency and to meet HUD requirements. Projects were ranked in accordance the [Ranking Policy](#).

Thanks to all who have assisted and continue to assist in making this year's application for funding a successful one and, as always, for the important work you do in helping end homelessness in Connecticut!

Sincerely,

CT BOS Team



See what's happening on our website!

Contact the CT Balance of State

ctboscoc@gmail.com

CTBOS | c/o DMHAS, 410 Capitol Ave | Hartford, CT 06134 US

[Unsubscribe](#) | [Update Profile](#) | [Constant Contact Data Notice](#)



Try email marketing for free today!

Sent 2024 Ranked Project Listing

Copy

Share on Social

Details | Reporting | Heat Map

Email Performance

See how your emails are doing with your audience. [Compare your results to the industry average.](#)

Sent

561

Open Rate

15.8%

Click Rate

3.8%

Opens	7	Clicks	17
Sent	561	Did Not Open	379
Bounces	11	Unsubscribed	0
Successful Deliveries	450	Spam Reports	0
Desktop Open Percentage	98.8%	Mobile Open Percentage	1.2%

Recommendations

Here are some things we think would help this campaign even more.

Sent 2024 Ranked Project Listing

Copy Share on Social ...

Details Reporting Heat Map



Subject	Now Available: 2024 CT BOS Local Competition Results
Pre header	Public Notification
From name	CTBOS
Sent	October 7th 2024 at 12:28 pm EDT
From Address	ctboscoc@gmail.com
Reply to address	ctboscoc@gmail.com
Lists	General, HUD Grantees, Steering Committee, YHDP
Email link	https://conta.cc/4euKzda

Pp. 14 - 29: List of email addresses exported from Constant Contact to which the 2024 Project Listing was sent.

Row	Email address	First name	Last name	Email Lists	Sent At
1	lpareti@housinginnovations.us	Lauren	Pareti	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,SNOFO Providers,General,YHDI	10/7/2024 12:28pm
2	rlyas@immacare.org	Rebekah	Lyas	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm
3	swagner@housinginnovations.us	Suzanne	Wagner	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,General,YHDP	10/7/2024 12:28pm
4	kara.capobianco@ct.gov	Kara	Capobianco	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
5	leigh.shields-church@ct.gov	Leigh	Shields-Church	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,SNOFO Providers,Renewal Evali	10/7/2024 12:28pm
6	matt.morgan@journeyhomect.org	Matthew	Morgan	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,SNOFO Providers,Renewal Evali	10/7/2024 12:28pm
7	beau.anderson@ct.gov	Beau	Anderson	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
8	peter.debiasi@accessagency.org	Peter	Debiasi	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm
9	alice.minervino@ct.gov	Alice	Minervino	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,SNOFO Providers,Renewal Evali	10/7/2024 12:28pm
10	whodge@chrysaliscenterct.org	Wendy	Hodge	HUD Grantees,Renewal Evaluation Provider List	10/7/2024 12:28pm
11	jgreer@chrhealth.org	Jen	Greer	HUD Grantees,Renewal Evaluation Provider List,General,YHDP	10/7/2024 12:28pm
12	SCastelli@chrysaliscenterct.org	Sharon	Castelli	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm
13	nbarnofski@newreach.org	Nikki	Barnofski	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,SNOFO Providers,Renewal Evali	10/7/2024 12:28pm
14	episaf@comcast.net	Liz	Isaacs	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,SNOFO Providers,Renewal Evali	10/7/2024 12:28pm
15	lisa.quach@journeyhomect.org	Lisa	Quach	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm
16	edsvdp@comcast.net	Phillip	Lysiak	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee	10/7/2024 12:28pm
17	ericajayon23@gmail.com	erica	king	HUD Grantees,General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
18	srivera@fsc-ct.org	Suzy	Rivera	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm
19	silvia.moscariello@libertycs.org	Silvia	Moscariello	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,SNOFO Providers,Renewal Evali	10/7/2024 12:28pm
20	Jlm.pettinelli@libertycs.org	Jim	Pettinelli	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm
21	crane.cesario@ct.gov	Crane	Cesario	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm
22	sara.loffredo@libertycs.org	Sara	Loffredo	HUD Grantees,Renewal Evaluation Provider List,General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
23	Kristina.Dalao@va.gov	Kristina	Dalao	Steering Committee	10/7/2024 12:28pm
24	jlawlor@theconnectioninc.org	John	Lawlor	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm
25	eileen.krause@libertycs.org	Eileen	Krause	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm
26	cconway@womenfamilies.org	Carissa	Conway	YHDP	10/7/2024 12:28pm
27	msoussloff@safefuturesct.org	Margaret	Soussloff	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm
28	kshaw@sistersplacect.org	Kathy	Shaw	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm
29	lgilbert@immacare.org	Louis	Gilbert	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm
30	bevans@bhcare.org	Bobbi Jo	Evans	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,SNOFO Providers,Renewal Evali	10/7/2024 12:28pm
31	maureen.pasko@va.gov	Maureen	Pasko	Steering Committee,General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
32	kjarmoc@ctcadv.org	Karen	Jarmoc	Steering Committee	10/7/2024 12:28pm
33	iparker@tvcca.org	Ida	Parker	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm
34	kim.jakowski@wrccinc.org	Kim	Jakowski	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm
35	pkosowsky@youthcontinuum.org	Paul	Kosowsky	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm

36	ahakian@chrhealth.org	Andrea	hakian	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm
37	bcavanaugh@youthcontinuum.org	Brenda	Cavanaugh	HUD Grantees,Renewal Evaluation Provider List,General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
38	fsilva@allianceforliving.org	Frank	Silva	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm
39	blochw@crtct.org	Willa	Bloch	HUD Grantees,SNOFO Providers,Renewal Evaluation Provider List,C	10/7/2024 12:28pm
40	cathyz@ywcahartford.org	Catherine	Zeiner	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm
41	tmaguire@youthcontinuum.org	Tim	Maguire	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm
42	kgrega@youthcontinuum.org	Kathy	grega	HUD Grantees,Renewal Evaluation Provider List,General,YHDP	10/7/2024 12:28pm
43	dalbini@chd.org	Diane	Albini	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,SNOFO Providers,Renewal Evalu	10/7/2024 12:28pm
44	browns@crtct.org	Sonia	Brown	HUD Grantees,SNOFO Providers,Renewal Evaluation Provider List,C	10/7/2024 12:28pm
45	barcelopez@chd.org	Belinda	Arce	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm
46	floranne@holymfamilywillimantic.org	Floranne	Roswolle	HUD Grantees,Renewal Evaluation Provider List	10/7/2024 12:28pm
47	steve.dilella@ct.gov	Steve	DiLella	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm
48	czall@snet.net	Catherine	Zall	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm
49	tferraro@theconnectioninc.org	Teresa	Ferraro	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm
50	cmeaden@columbushouse.org	Cathleen	Meaden	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,SNOFO Providers,Renewal Evalu	10/7/2024 12:28pm
51	Tamika.Riley@JourneyHomeCT.org	Tamika	Riley	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm
52	killingly.housing@snet.net	Maryann	Picciarelli	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
53	Brittney.gibson@yale.edu	Brittney	Gibson	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm
54	melissadz@live.com	Melissa	Dzierlatka	Steering Committee,General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
55	scorbin@mercyhousingct.org	Stephanie	Corbin	HUD Grantees,SNOFO Providers,Renewal Evaluation Provider List,C	10/7/2024 12:28pm
56	Bshaw@handsonhartford.org	Barbara	Shaw	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
57	david@shworks.org	David	Rich	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
58	ematt@bhcare.org	Elaine	Matt	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm
59	jmandelburg@tvcca.org	Jon-Paul	Mandelburg	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm
60	mdamboise@newreach.org	Meredith	Damboise	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,SNOFO Providers,Renewal Evalu	10/7/2024 12:28pm
61	gpik@intercommunityct.org	Gregory	Pike	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
62	ortiz@crtct.org	Tina	Ortiz	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,SNOFO Providers,General,YHDI	10/7/2024 12:28pm
63	teddi.creel@ct.gov	Teddi	Creel	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm
64	erik.clevenger@ct.gov	Erik	Clevenger	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,SNOFO Providers,Renewal Evalu	10/7/2024 12:28pm
65	nzito@tvcca.org	Nicholas	Zito	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
66	lisa.callahan@ct.gov	Lisa	Callahan	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,SNOFO Providers,Renewal Evalu	10/7/2024 12:28pm
67	dcalabrese@theconnectioninc.org	Denice	Calabrese	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm
68	eileen.higgins@ct.gov	eileen	higgins	HUD Grantees,General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
69	jdasilva@hacdct.org	Jennifer	DaSilva	HUD Grantees,General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
70	bobcva4064@aol.com	Robert	Dorr	HUD Grantees,General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
71	nouteiro@immacare.org	Nancy	Outeiro	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm

72	kathya@trfp.org	Kathy	Allen	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm
73	ron@svdmiddletown.org	Ron	Krom	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm
74	nancy.cannavo@hhchealth.org	Nancy	Cannavo	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,General,YHDP	10/7/2024 12:28pm
75	csweeney@thact.org	claudia	sweeney	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
76	cjackson@theconnectioninc.org	Christina	Jackson	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm
77	dcarr@hacdct.org	Dionne	Carr	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
78	danielwalsh@veteransinc.org	Dan	Walsh	General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
79	alison.scharr@journeyhomect.org	Alison	Scharr	General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
80	mvazquez@southparkinn.org	Mary	Vazquez	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
81	jkatz@southparkinn.org	Jeff	Katz	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
82	kannelli@ctcadv.org	Kelly	Anelli	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm
83	bdamon@prudencecrandall.org	Barbara	Damon	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm
84	williamstdenis@veteransinc.org	William	St.Denis	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
85	mrossetti@theopenhearth.org	Marilyn	Rossetti	Steering Committee,General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
86	akelly@handsonhartford.org	Abbie	Kelly	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,General,YHDP	10/7/2024 12:28pm
87	kknaughty@theconnectioninc.org	Keyonna	Naughty	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm
88	kday@newreach.org	Kellyann	Day	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm
89	abocci@wtbyhosp.org	Tony	Bocci	Steering Committee,General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
90	wrybczyk@newoppinc.org	William	Rybczyk	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm
91	gbrisco@nwcty.org	Greg	Brisco	HUD Grantees,Renewal Evaluation Provider List,General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
92	wdonahue@nwcty.org	Willem	Donahue	HUD Grantees,General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
93	dreamhomes@arcforpeace.org	Manuella	Cabral	General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
94	rsoderberg@chrhealth.org	Robert	Soderberg	HUD Grantees,Renewal Evaluation Provider List,General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
95	liany.arroyo@hartford.gov	Liany	Arroyo	Steering Committee,General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
96	rebecca.rioux@use.salvationarmy.org	Rebecca	Rioux	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm
97	mculmo@mhconn.org	Michael	Culmo	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm
98	Ann@GrowStrongCT.org	Ann	Faust	General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
99	pwalsh@chd.org	Pam	Walsh	HUD Grantees,Renewal Evaluation Provider List,General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
100	munderwood@tvcca.org	Marylou	Underwood	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm
101	cassandra.norfleet-johnson@ct.gov	Cassandra	Norfleet-Johnson	Steering Committee	10/7/2024 12:28pm
102	terry.nash@chfa.org	Terry	Nash	Steering Committee	10/7/2024 12:28pm
103	chelsea@pschousing.org	Chelsea	Ross	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm
104	jelam@hacdct.org	Jacqueline	Elam	Steering Committee	10/7/2024 12:28pm
105	jmerz@aids-ct.org	John	Merz	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm
106	louis.tallarita@ct.gov	Louis	Tallarita	Steering Committee	10/7/2024 12:28pm
107	s.kim.somaroo@ct.gov	Kim	Somaroo-Rodrigu	Steering Committee	10/7/2024 12:28pm

108	st.vincent.de.paul@snet.net	Gary	Beaulieu	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm
109	syed.asghar@ct.gov	Syed Masood	Asghar	HUD Grantees	10/7/2024 12:28pm
110	jparadis@bethelmlford.org	Jennifer	Paradis	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,General,YHDP	10/7/2024 12:28pm
111	barcaro@sistersplacect.org	Beverly	Arcaro	HUD Grantees,Renewal Evaluation Provider List,General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
112	bryan.flint@cornerstone-cares.org	Bryan	Flint	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm
113	dana.serra@waterburyha.org	Dana	Serra	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
114	Elisha.Chornoby@ct.gov	Elisha	Chornoby	Steering Committee	10/7/2024 12:28pm
115	cpollifrone@theconnectioninc.org	Christine	Pollifrone	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm
116	kverano@safefuturesct.org	Katherine	Verano	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,SNOFO Providers,Renewal Evalu	10/7/2024 12:28pm
117	james.deloughery@beaconhealthoptions.com	James	DeLoughery	General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
118	sagamy@actspooner.org	Susan	Agamy	Steering Committee,General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
119	swelinsky@columbushouse.org	Sarah	Hoffman	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm
120	ldupontdiehl@cmhacc.org	Liz	Dupont-Diehl	General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
121	mvan@reliancehouse.org	Michael	Van Vlaenderen	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm
122	megan.brown@tvcca.org	Megan	Brown	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm
123	vmalley@fsc-ct.org	Vanessa	Malley	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm
124	Rmoller@noankcss.org	Regina	Moller	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm
125	Youthpond@gmail.com	Latoya	Stots	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
126	don.vincent@libertycs.org	Don	Vincent	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm
127	dgleason@continuumct.org	Dianna	Gleason	General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
128	kimberly.karanda@ct.gov	Kimberly	E Karanda	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,SNOFO Providers,General,YHDI	10/7/2024 12:28pm
129	shannon@housinginnovations.us	Shannon	Quinn-Sheeran	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,SNOFO Providers,ctbostest,Ger	10/7/2024 12:28pm
130	Sfox@cceh.org	Sarah	Fox	General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
131	cperez@columbushouse.org	Caroline	Perez	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,SNOFO Providers,Renewal Evalu	10/7/2024 12:28pm
132	manssour.hanne@ct.gov	Manssour	Hanne	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm
133	rgraham@cceh.org	Roy	Graham	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm
134	shooker@theconnectioninc.org	Stacy	Hooker	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,SNOFO Providers,Renewal Evalu	10/7/2024 12:28pm
135	kwytrykowska@cmhacc.org	Karolina	Wytrykowska	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm
136	liz.myers@wrccinc.org	Liz	Myers	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm
137	Shandae@trfp.org	Shanda	Easley	HUD Grantees,Renewal Evaluation Provider List,YHDP	10/7/2024 12:28pm
138	housingfirst@arcforpeace.org			HUD Grantees	10/7/2024 12:28pm
139	k.prunty@danbury-ct.gov			HUD Grantees	10/7/2024 12:28pm
140	emergeinc@optonline.net			HUD Grantees	10/7/2024 12:28pm
141	hlavin@theconnectioninc.org	Helen	McAlinden	HUD Grantees,Renewal Evaluation Provider List	10/7/2024 12:28pm
142	keith.brown@rnpinc.org			HUD Grantees	10/7/2024 12:28pm
143	Irodriguez@casaincct.org			HUD Grantees	10/7/2024 12:28pm

144	jessica@shworks.org	Jessica	Kubicki	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee	10/7/2024 12:28pm
145	mr Ramirez@cccymca.org			HUD Grantees	10/7/2024 12:28pm
146	jvargas@cccymca.org			HUD Grantees	10/7/2024 12:28pm
147	ccoreano@cccymca.org			HUD Grantees	10/7/2024 12:28pm
148	hsmith@cccymca.org			HUD Grantees	10/7/2024 12:28pm
149	kcwikla@centerforfamilyjustice.org			HUD Grantees	10/7/2024 12:28pm
150	jolivares@gbapp.org			HUD Grantees	10/7/2024 12:28pm
151	saffoldt@crtct.org			HUD Grantees,Renewal Evaluation Provider List	10/7/2024 12:28pm
152	john.sullivan2@va.gov	John	Sullivan	HUD Grantees	10/7/2024 12:28pm
153	mjarvis@handsonhartford.org			HUD Grantees	10/7/2024 12:28pm
154	kortiz@hartfordhousing.org	Katrina	Ortiz	HUD Grantees	10/7/2024 12:28pm
155	hobread@aol.com			HUD Grantees	10/7/2024 12:28pm
156	smachattie@immacare.org			HUD Grantees,Renewal Evaluation Provider List	10/7/2024 12:28pm
157	rcurrie@theconnectioninc.org	ROchelle	Currie	YHDP	10/7/2024 12:28pm
158	ncannavo@hungerford.org	Nancy	J. Cannavo	HUD Grantees	10/7/2024 12:28pm
159	glenn.ryan@mccallcenterct.org			HUD Grantees	10/7/2024 12:28pm
160	lfusco@mhconn.org	Lysa	Fusco	HUD Grantees	10/7/2024 12:28pm
161	lbeeman@sbaproject.org			HUD Grantees	10/7/2024 12:28pm
162	sharlene@mwchrysalis.org	Sharlene	Kereleja	HUD Grantees,Renewal Evaluation Provider List	10/7/2024 12:28pm
163	dditrio@newoppinc.org	Dona	Ditrio	HUD Grantees,Renewal Evaluation Provider List	10/7/2024 12:28pm
164	ddecilla@continuumct.org	Dominique	DeCilla	HUD Grantees	10/7/2024 12:28pm
165	ssimone@fellowshipplace.org			HUD Grantees	10/7/2024 12:28pm
166	btaylor@leeway.net			HUD Grantees	10/7/2024 12:28pm
167	kkblack@theconnectioninc.org	Keyonna	K. Black	HUD Grantees,Renewal Evaluation Provider List	10/7/2024 12:28pm
168	ddejarnette@theconnectioninc.org			HUD Grantees,Renewal Evaluation Provider List	10/7/2024 12:28pm
169	agopian@workplace.org			HUD Grantees	10/7/2024 12:28pm
170	cpatrick@bhcare.org			HUD Grantees,Renewal Evaluation Provider List	10/7/2024 12:28pm
171	stoure@ccahelping.org			HUD Grantees	10/7/2024 12:28pm
172	rpotluri@columbushouse.org	Radhika	Potluri	HUD Grantees,Renewal Evaluation Provider List	10/7/2024 12:28pm
173	lwesoly@leeway.net			HUD Grantees	10/7/2024 12:28pm
174	njoyner@actspooner.org			HUD Grantees	10/7/2024 12:28pm
175	david.shadbegian@accessagency.org	David	Shadbegian	HUD Grantees,Renewal Evaluation Provider List,YHDP	10/7/2024 12:28pm
176	dd@pacifichouse.org			HUD Grantees	10/7/2024 12:28pm
177	rrodriguez@mfap.com	Rosie	Rodriguez	HUD Grantees	10/7/2024 12:28pm
178	dcordovez@mfap.com			HUD Grantees	10/7/2024 12:28pm
179	spunzalan@reliancehealthinc.org			HUD Grantees,Renewal Evaluation Provider List	10/7/2024 12:28pm

180	nbatista@safefuturesct.org	Nazmie	Batista	HUD Grantees, Renewal Evaluation Provider List	10/7/2024 12:28pm
181	lpina@safefuturesct.org	Luanna	Pina	HUD Grantees, Renewal Evaluation Provider List	10/7/2024 12:28pm
182	sheilah@trfp.org	Sheila	Hayes	HUD Grantees	10/7/2024 12:28pm
183	anne.stockton@uwsect.org			HUD Grantees	10/7/2024 12:28pm
184	aestrella@inspiricact.org			HUD Grantees	10/7/2024 12:28pm
185	dkatz@kidsincrisis.org			HUD Grantees	10/7/2024 12:28pm
186	lschlesinger@safehavenofgw.org	Lee	Schlesinger	HUD Grantees	10/7/2024 12:28pm
187	kathleen.durand@ct.gov	Katie	Durand	HUD Grantees, Steering Committee, General, YHDP	10/7/2024 12:28pm
188	mary.mcgowan@journeyhomeCT.org	Mary	McGowan	HUD Grantees, Renewal Evaluation Provider List, General, YHDP	10/7/2024 12:28pm
189	alyssa.languth@csh.org	Alyssa	Languth	HUD Grantees, Steering Committee, General, YHDP	10/7/2024 12:28pm
190	clavoie@newreach.org	Cindy	Lavoie	General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
191	kfitzgerald@uwgnh.org	Kelly	Fitzgerald	HUD Grantees, Steering Committee, General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
192	mklawiter@clrp.org	Maren	Klawiter	General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
193	pam@thehousingcollective.org	pam	ralston	HUD Grantees, Steering Committee, SNOFO Providers, General, YHDI	10/7/2024 12:28pm
194	wilsony@crtct.org	Ymonne	Wilson	SNOFO Providers, YHDP	10/7/2024 12:28pm
195	rhiannon.mccabe@accessagency.org	Rhiannon	McCabe	HUD Grantees, Steering Committee, SNOFO Providers, Renewal Evalu	10/7/2024 12:28pm
196	lborkowski@theconnectioninc.org	Lee Anne	Borkowski	HUD Grantees, Steering Committee, Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm
197	jasmine.berry@ct.gov	Jasmine	Berry	YHDP	10/7/2024 12:28pm
198	lharrison@reliancehealthinc.org			YHDP	10/7/2024 12:28pm
199	kelly.gonzalez@use.salvationarmy.org			YHDP	10/7/2024 12:28pm
200	adelgado@theconnectioninc.org			YHDP	10/7/2024 12:28pm
201	hkudisch@columbushouse.org	Hebe	Kudisch	HUD Grantees, Steering Committee, SNOFO Providers, Renewal Evalu	10/7/2024 12:28pm
202	slazarus@reliancehealthinc.org			YHDP	10/7/2024 12:28pm
203	jbrayman@reliancehealthinc.org			YHDP	10/7/2024 12:28pm
204	fowlerc@crtct.org	CARMEN	FOWLER	YHDP	10/7/2024 12:28pm
205	cwalters@noankcss.org			YHDP	10/7/2024 12:28pm
206	jean.holcomb@ct.gov	Jean	Holcomb	YHDP	10/7/2024 12:28pm
207	rortiz@theconnectioninc.org	Renee	Ortiz	YHDP	10/7/2024 12:28pm
208	lilla@columbushouse.org	Lilla	Bryan-Hemmings	HUD Grantees, Renewal Evaluation Provider List	10/7/2024 12:28pm
209	Sofia.Swaby@USE.SalvationArmy.Org			YHDP	10/7/2024 12:28pm
210	dpascua@reliancehealthinc.org	David	Pascua	HUD Grantees, Renewal Evaluation Provider List, General, YHDP	10/7/2024 12:28pm
211	ablack@columbushouse.org	Andrew	Black	YHDP	10/7/2024 12:28pm
212	cfox@columbushouse.org	Cynthia	Fox	YHDP	10/7/2024 12:28pm
213	parker.stevens@accessagency.org	Parker	Stevens	YHDP	10/7/2024 12:28pm
214	ddorman@chrhealth.org	Douglas	Dorman	YHDP	10/7/2024 12:28pm
215	astrida@trfp.org	Astrid	Aalund	YHDP	10/7/2024 12:28pm

216	tbryant@cceh.org	Tashmia	Bryant	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm
217	Rcho@cceh.org	Richard	Cho	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm
218	brenda.earle@ct.gov	Brenda	Earle	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm
219	forimogunje@cceh.org	Folashade	Orimogunje	HUD Grantees,Renewal Evaluation Provider List	10/7/2024 12:28pm
220	kcallaghan@tvcca.org	Kerry	Callaghan	HUD Grantees,Renewal Evaluation Provider List,General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
221	michaelroberson@gmail.com	Michael	Roberson	General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
222	j.dimuzio@ywcagreenwich.org	Jessie	DiMuzio	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,General,YHDP	10/7/2024 12:28pm
223	kdaisey@chrysaliscenterct.org	Kelley	Daisey	General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
224	wvalaitis@womenfamilies.org	Wayne	Valaitis	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,SNOFO Providers,General,YHDI	10/7/2024 12:28pm
225	cdyer@reliancehealthinc.org	Carrie	Dyer	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm
226	bennettjoce@dss.nyc.gov	J	bennett	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,General,YHDP	10/7/2024 12:28pm
227	karen.futoma@chfa.org	Karen	Futoma	Steering Committee	10/7/2024 12:28pm
228	kstarks@southparkinn.org	Keysha	Starks	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,General,YHDP	10/7/2024 12:28pm
229	heather.flannery@intervalhousect.org	Heather	Flannery	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,General,YHDP	10/7/2024 12:28pm
230	willie.rodriquez@use.salvationarmy.org	Willie	Rodriguez	YHDP	10/7/2024 12:28pm
231	mblount@immacare.org	Melvya	Blount	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm
232	pookab731@outlook.com	Melvya	Blount	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,General,YHDP	10/7/2024 12:28pm
233	melissa.santiago@ct.gov	Melissa	Santiago	Steering Committee	10/7/2024 12:28pm
234	david.pascua@ct.gov	David	Pascua	HUD Grantees,Renewal Evaluation Provider List,General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
235	grantsadmin@ywc Hartford.org	Sarah	Ward	HUD Grantees,Renewal Evaluation Provider List,General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
236	asabrowski@ctcadv.org	Annie	Stockton Sabrows	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm
237	bsullivan@klpgovaffairs.com	Brian	Sullivan	General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
238	paul.casanova@perceptionprograms.org	Paul	Casanova	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,General,YHDP	10/7/2024 12:28pm
239	davidh@nlhhc.org	David	Horst	General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
240	lpawlik@mercyhousingct.org	Lisa	Pawlik	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,SNOFO Providers,Renewal Eval	10/7/2024 12:28pm
241	mylesw@housinginnovations.us	Myles	Wensek	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm
242	mmormile@cmhacc.org	Marie	Mormile Mehler	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm
243	gcavallo@cmhacc.org	Grace	Cavallo	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm
244	cporcher@cmhacc.org	Christopher	Porcher	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm
245	danielle@pschousing.org	Danielle	Hubley	Steering Committee,General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
246	monika.gunning@hhchealth.org	Monika	Gunning	HUD Grantees	10/7/2024 12:28pm
247	jrouleau@newoppinc.org	Jeffrey	Rouleau	HUD Grantees,Renewal Evaluation Provider List,General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
248	ybird@southparkinn.org	Yoshi	Bird	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm
249	jsendecka@chrysaliscenterct.org	Jadwiga	Sendecka	General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
250	alopez@mhconn.org	Agustin	Lopez	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm
251	lauren@shworks.org	Lauren	Zimmermann	Steering Committee,General	10/7/2024 12:28pm

252	deborah.lawrence@ct.gov	Deborah	Lawrence	HUD Grantees	10/7/2024 12:28pm
253	jparker@tvcca.org	Jaime	Parker	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,SNOFO Providers,Renewal Evalu	10/7/2024 12:28pm
254	jbanks@southparkinn.org	Jane	Banks	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,General,YHDP	10/7/2024 12:28pm
255	kcarmelich@chrysaliscenterct.org	Kimberly	Carmelich	HUD Grantees,Renewal Evaluation Provider List,General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
256	jason.hyatt@soundct.org	Jason	Hyatt	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,General,YHDP	10/7/2024 12:28pm
257	fstolz@columbushouse.org	felicia	stolz	General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
258	ccrane@midymca.org	Candace	Crane	General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
259	ahinman@chd.org	Ashley	Hinman	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,SNOFO Providers,Renewal Evalu	10/7/2024 12:28pm
260	joanne.comstock@ct.gov	Joanne	Comstock	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
261	aguerrera@svdpmmission.org	Anthony	Guerrera	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm
262	mcremers@svdpmmission.org	Megan	Cremers	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm
263	kcapone@mercyhousingct.org	Kara	Capone	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm
264	rbeach@cceh.org	Ryan	Beach	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm
265	sharon.redfern@cornerstone-cares.org	Sharon	Redfern	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
266	hardink@chc1.com	Kathleen	Harding	Steering Committee,General,YHDP	10/7/2024 12:28pm
267	kmillier@chrysaliscenterct.org	Kimberly	May-Miller	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm
268	ksholomicky@mhconn.org	Kaylynn	Sholomicky	HUD Grantees,Renewal Evaluation Provider List,General,YHDP	10/7/2024 12:28pm
269	jenn.kirchmeier@cornerstone-cares.org	Jennifer	Kirchmeier	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,General,YHDP	10/7/2024 12:28pm
270	lisa.cretella@use.salvationarmy.org	Lisa	Cretella	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,General,YHDP	10/7/2024 12:28pm
271	acaruso@chrysaliscenterct.org	Angie	Caruso	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm
272	rosemaryf@sistersplacect.org			Steering Committee,General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
273	cbiscette@mercyhousingct.org			Steering Committee,General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
274	awhite@housinginnovations.us	Andrea	White	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,General,YHDP	10/7/2024 12:28pm
275	andrea.white.ny@gmail.com	Andrea	White	HUD Grantees	10/7/2024 12:28pm
276	maryellen@svidmiddletown.org	MARYELLEN	M SHUCKEROW	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,SNOFO Providers,Renewal Evalu	10/7/2024 12:28pm
277	carline@pschousing.org	Carline	Charmelus	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm
278	grants@newreach.org	T-J	Ciocca	YHDP	10/7/2024 12:28pm
279	flee@safefuturesct.org	Fenty	Lee	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,SNOFO Providers,Renewal Evalu	10/7/2024 12:28pm
280	hfish@usmhs.org	Holly	Fish	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm
281	sandy.midura@use.salvationarmy.org	Sandy	Midura	HUD Grantees,Renewal Evaluation Provider List,General,YHDP	10/7/2024 12:28pm
282	mmiddleton@columbushouse.org	Margaret	Middleton	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm
283	tbruff@immacare.org	tahira	bruff	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
284	jcaraballosvdp@comcast.net	Jessica	Caraballo	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm
285	dmcbride@chrysaliscenterct.org	Denetra	McBride	General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
286	esoucy@chrhealth.org	Emily	Soucy	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm
287	lmarmolejos@chnct.org	Luz	Marmolejos	HUD Grantees	10/7/2024 12:28pm

288	nsmith@chrysaliscenterct.org	Nicole	Smith	HUD Grantees, Renewal Evaluation Provider List, General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
289	layotte@safehavenofgw.org	lori	ayotte	HUD Grantees, General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
290	teenah@trfp.org	TEENA	HAYES	General, YHDP	10/7/2024 12:28pm
291	afreeman@cceh.org	Amber	Freeman	HUD Grantees, Steering Committee, Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm
292	pzakarian@abhct.com	paul	zakarian	General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
293	alecours@reliancehealthinc.org	Alisa	Lecours	HUD Grantees, Renewal Evaluation Provider List, YHDP	10/7/2024 12:28pm
294	mlefever@uwgnh.org	Margaret	LeFever	HUD Grantees, Steering Committee, Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm
295	robert.bongiolatti@ct.gov	Robert	Bongiolatti	HUD Grantees, Steering Committee, General, YHDP	10/7/2024 12:28pm
296	cwc1646@gmail.com	Crane W	Cesario	HUD Grantees, Steering Committee, General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
297	julia.flores@accessagency.org	Julia	Flores	HUD Grantees, Steering Committee, Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm
298	aroldan@newoppinc.org	Anthony	Roldan	HUD Grantees, Steering Committee, Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm
299	obrown@newoppinc.org	Ola	Brown	General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
300	bshultz@bethelmilford.org	Bianca	Shultz	HUD Grantees, Steering Committee, General, YHDP	10/7/2024 12:28pm
301	rsaintvil@bethelmilford.org	Ruth	Menard	HUD Grantees, Steering Committee, General, YHDP	10/7/2024 12:28pm
302	kkeller@alwayshome.org	Kathryn	Keller	HUD Grantees, Steering Committee, General, YHDP	10/7/2024 12:28pm
303	sonya.jelks@csh.org	Sonya	Jelks	HUD Grantees, Steering Committee, Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm
304	kimberlynardone@gmail.com	Kimberly	Nardone	HUD Grantees, General, YHDP	10/7/2024 12:28pm
305	abbym@housinginnovations.us	Abby	Miller	HUD Grantees, Steering Committee, Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm
306	sofina.begum@journeyhomect.org	Sofina	Begum	General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
307	jremmey@mhconn.org	Jessica	Remmey	HUD Grantees, Steering Committee, Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm
308	chris.venable@journeyhomect.org	Chris	Venable	HUD Grantees, Steering Committee, Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm
309	bbonds@chrysaliscenterct.org	Brian	Bonds	HUD Grantees, Steering Committee, Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm
310	tabitha.wolchesky@soundct.org	Tabitha	Wolchesky	HUD Grantees, Steering Committee, General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
311	lisa.moon@soundct.org	Lisa	Moon	HUD Grantees, Steering Committee, Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm
312	agordon@mercyhousingct.org	Amanda	Gordon	HUD Grantees, Steering Committee, SNOFO Providers, Renewal Evalu	10/7/2024 12:28pm
313	emma.king@accessagency.org	Emma	King	HUD Grantees, Steering Committee, SNOFO Providers, Renewal Evalu	10/7/2024 12:28pm
314	lucianad@ywcahartford.org	Luciana	DeGray	HUD Grantees, General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
315	jmerz@act-ct.org	John	Merz	HUD Grantees, Renewal Evaluation Provider List	10/7/2024 12:28pm
316	emcfolley@theopenhearth.org	Elijah	McFolley III	HUD Grantees, Steering Committee, General, YHDP	10/7/2024 12:28pm
317	rlyas@southparkinn.org	Rebekah	Lyas	HUD Grantees, Steering Committee, SNOFO Providers, General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
318	jcorrea@immacare.org	Janie	Correa	HUD Grantees, Steering Committee, Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm
319	dhall@usmhs.org	Demetrice	Hall	HUD Grantees, Renewal Evaluation Provider List	10/7/2024 12:28pm
320	kiya.kennebrew@csh.org	Kiya	Kennebrew	Steering Committee, General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
321	stephanie.lazarus@csh.org	Stephanie	Lazarus	Steering Committee, General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
322	kyren.mccrorey@use.salvationarmy.org	Kyren	McCrorey	HUD Grantees, Steering Committee, Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm
323	crose@fsc-ct.org	Caitlin	Rose	HUD Grantees, Steering Committee, Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm

324	amitkevicius17@gmail.com	Anna	Smith	Steering Committee,General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
325	amaitland@fsc-ct.org	April	Maitland	General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
326	rlemanski@prudencecrandall.org	Rebecca	Lemanski	Steering Committee,General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
327	sarah.pavone@journeyhomect.org	Sarah	Pavone	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,SNOFO Providers,Renewal Evalu	10/7/2024 12:28pm
328	lhumbert@libertycs.org	Lydia	Humbert	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm
329	sviolante@cca-ct.org	Stacey	Violante Cote	Steering Committee,General,YHDP	10/7/2024 12:28pm
330	kellie@svidmiddletown.org	Kellie	Robbins	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm
331	lindsay@shworks.org	Lindsay	Fabrizio	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,SNOFO Providers,General,YHDI	10/7/2024 12:28pm
332	tina@nutmegit.com	Tina	Cormier	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,General,YHDP	10/7/2024 12:28pm
333	dbencivengo@chrysaliscenterct.org	Deanna	Bencivengo	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm
334	nhilton@newoppinc.org	Nichelle	hilton	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm
335	mrussell@fellowshipplace.org	Melissa	Russell	General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
336	dina.hill@ccfc-ct.org	Dina	Hill	General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
337	deborah.boulet@accessagency.org	Deborah	Boulet	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm
338	shurley@usmhs.org	Steve	Hurley	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm
339	lynette.sparkman@hhchealth.org	Lynnette	Sparkman-McLau	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm
340	ystephen@fsc-ct.org	Yeharar	Stephen	HUD Grantees,Renewal Evaluation Provider List,General,YHDP	10/7/2024 12:28pm
341	zhernandez@chrysaliscenterct.org	Zaida	Hernandez	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm
342	director@covenantshelternl.org	Peggy	Miceli	Steering Committee	10/7/2024 12:28pm
343	bcomerford@leeway.net	Brenda	Comerford	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,General,YHDP	10/7/2024 12:28pm
344	mcabanas@bhcare.org	Mayra	Cabanas	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm
345	kvaill@townofwinchester.org	Katie	Vaill	General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
346	kcrafft@newoppinc.org	Kelly	Craft	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm
347	fitzgibbons.ryan22@gmail.com	Ryan	Fitzgibbons	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,General,YHDP	10/7/2024 12:28pm
348	alebron@svidpmission.org	Amanda	Lebron	HUD Grantees,Renewal Evaluation Provider List,General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
349	felicity.eles@csh.org	Felicity	Eles	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
350	slambert@chrhealth.org	Sheryl	Lambert	HUD Grantees,Renewal Evaluation Provider List,General,YHDP	10/7/2024 12:28pm
351	brett.sandman@use.salvationarmy.org	Brett	Sandman	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm
352	mollie.machado@ct.gov	Mollie	Machado	HUD Grantees,SNOFO Providers,Renewal Evaluation Provider List,C	10/7/2024 12:28pm
353	rgrant@newreach.org	Randy	Grant	HUD Grantees,Renewal Evaluation Provider List,General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
354	tchirsky@newoppinc.org	Tanya	Chirsky	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,SNOFO Providers,Renewal Evalu	10/7/2024 12:28pm
355	afeeley@newreach.org	Allison	Feeley	HUD Grantees,Renewal Evaluation Provider List,General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
356	tachica@nutmegit.com	Tachica	Murray	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,General,YHDP	10/7/2024 12:28pm
357	vjones@libertycs.org	Victor	Jones	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm
358	diamond.lovette804@gmail.com	Diamomd	Lovette	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,General,YHDP	10/7/2024 12:28pm
359	dellavalle8173@gmail.com	Christine	DellaValle	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,General,YHDP	10/7/2024 12:28pm

360	pschmitz@cceh.org	Paul	Schmitz	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,General,YHDP	10/7/2024 12:28pm
361	donna@nlhhc.org	Donna	Russo	HUD Grantees,Renewal Evaluation Provider List,General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
362	kagosto@fsc-ct.org	Kassandra	Agosto	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm
363	kconforti@chrhealth.org	Katie	Conforti	HUD Grantees,Renewal Evaluation Provider List,General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
364	lghio@northwesthillscog.org	LEONARDO	GHIO	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,General,YHDP	10/7/2024 12:28pm
365	kathleen@kazanasstrategies.com	Kathy	Hunter	HUD Grantees	10/7/2024 12:28pm
366	mpaulemon@bhcare.org	Marie	Paulemon	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm
367	tracy.radden@use.salvationarmy.org	Tracy	Radden	YHDP	10/7/2024 12:28pm
368	jrivera@cccymca.org	Jadette	Rivera	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,General,YHDP	10/7/2024 12:28pm
369	jpierce@ctcadv.org	Leaha	Hall	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,General,YHDP	10/7/2024 12:28pm
370	sarahs@ywcahartford.org	Sarah	Szczebak	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm
371	anniestockton2@hotmail.com	Annie	Stockton	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,General,YHDP	10/7/2024 12:28pm
372	nadine.malone@journeyhomeect.org	Nadine	Malone	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm
373	orinkes@hotmail.com	liv	r	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm
374	czall@nlhhc.org	Catherine	Zall	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,SNOFO Providers,Renewal Evalu	10/7/2024 12:28pm
375	msantiago@svdpmmission.org	Megan	Santiago	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm
376	jscharnberg@northwestcf.org	Julie	Scharnberg	Steering Committee,General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
377	eserio@deskct.org	Evan	Serio	HUD Grantees,SNOFO Providers,General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
378	floranne@hfhscommunity.org	Floranne	Rawolle	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm
379	ryan@hfhscommunity.org	Ryan	Fitzgibbons	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,General,YHDP	10/7/2024 12:28pm
380	eoakes@cliffordbeers.org	Emily	Oakes	HUD Grantees,General,YHDP	10/7/2024 12:28pm
381	ggrollon@newoppinc.org	Gawdys	Grollon	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm
382	agarcia@cmhacc.org	Amanda	Garcia	HUD Grantees,Renewal Evaluation Provider List,General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
383	malvarez@fsc-ct.org	Melanie	Alvarez	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm
384	nsmith@prudencecrandall.org	Nicole	Smith	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm
385	crich@newreach.org	Cara	Rich	General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
386	eerussell@theconnectioninc.org	Erin	Russell	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm
387	chbishop@chd.org	Christina	Bishop	General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
388	creynolds@columbushouse.org	Carl	Reynolds	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm
389	alavoie@cmhacc.org	Ann	Lavoie	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm
390	sboyce@handsonhartford.org	Stephanie	Boyce	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,General,YHDP	10/7/2024 12:28pm
391	mabney@immcare.org	Mercedez	Abney	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm
392	ptang@chd.org	Paul	Tang	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,SNOFO Providers,Renewal Evalu	10/7/2024 12:28pm
393	tania.banks.icr@gmail.com	Tania	Banks	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,General,YHDP	10/7/2024 12:28pm
394	aleena.durant@gmail.com			Steering Committee,General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
395	rdenise1919@gmail.com			Steering Committee,General	10/7/2024 12:28pm

396	ngalella@gmail.com			Steering Committee,General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
397	ebonybeall@yahoo.com			Steering Committee,General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
398	tsamuel2569@gmail.com	Tracy	Samuel	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,General,YHDP	10/7/2024 12:28pm
399	sarruda@chd.org	Samantha	Arruda	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,SNOFO Providers,Renewal Evalu	10/7/2024 12:28pm
400	kgiurintano@usmhs.org	Kaitlyn	Giurintano	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm
401	maryannburns89@gmail.com	Maryann	Burns	General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
402	genea124@gmail.com	GENEA	STEPHENSON	Steering Committee	10/7/2024 12:28pm
403	leeshep424@gmail.com	LEE	SHEPERD	General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
404	jriver@nhlegal.org	Johanetsy	Rivera	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,General,YHDP	10/7/2024 12:28pm
405	uduake@trfp.org			HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm
406	tbooker@nlhhc.org	Tara	Booker	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm
407	jaleec1203@gmail.com	Jamie	Main	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,General,YHDP	10/7/2024 12:28pm
408	geri.harrison@journeyhomect.org	Gerilyn	Harrison	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm
409	nstone@chrhealth.org	Nordia	Stone	HUD Grantees,Renewal Evaluation Provider List,General,YHDP	10/7/2024 12:28pm
410	aguerrera@fsc-ct.org	Anthony	Guerrera	HUD Grantees,Renewal Evaluation Provider List,General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
411	mvassell@mercyhousingct.org	Marcus	Vassell	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm
412	angel.cotto@icrweb.org			Steering Committee,General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
413	llawsonscott33@gmail.com			Steering Committee,General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
414	richardcoleman158@gmail.com			HUD Grantees,General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
415	natmazzone@gmail.com			Steering Committee,General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
416	ahebert@chd.org	Anthony	Hebert	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm
417	modoi@wheelerclinic.org	Magdalin	Odoi	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,General,YHDP	10/7/2024 12:28pm
418	mabney@immacare.org	Mercedez	Abney	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm
419	tbruff@cceh.org	Tahira	Bruff	General,YHDP	10/7/2024 12:28pm
420	jvitarelli@ctcadv.org	Joanne	Vitarelli	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm
421	phylicia.adams@csh.org	Phylicia	Adams	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,General,YHDP	10/7/2024 12:28pm
422	lstarkes@columbushouse.org	Leslie	Starkes	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm
423	aevans@cmhacc.org	Angela	Evans	General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
424	cpollifrone@fellowshipplace.org	Christine	Pollifrone	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,General,YHDP	10/7/2024 12:28pm
425	patricia.gilbanks@nuvancehealth.org	Patricia	Gilbanks	General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
426	anastacia.woolcock@journeyhomect.org	Anastacia	Woolcock	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm
427	rgordils@newoppinc.org	Rosaly	Gordils	General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
428	kmitchell@sistersplacect.org	Kimberly	Mitchell	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm
429	yonique.hendricks@ct.gov	Yonique	Hendricks	HUD Grantees,General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
430	kimberly.beach@ct.gov	Kimberly	Beach	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,SNOFO Providers,General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
431	bcolbert@act-ct.org	Brennden	Colbert	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm

432	mdiaz@act-ct.org	Maria	Diaz	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm
433	jonm@martinhousect.org	Jon	Maderia	Steering Committee,General,YHDP	10/7/2024 12:28pm
434	1phoenixcb@gmail.com	Vaniel	Tate	General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
435	jhiggins@chd.org	Jennifer	Higgins	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,SNOFO Providers,Renewal Evalu	10/7/2024 12:28pm
436	tjohnson@ctcadv.org	Tonya	Johnson	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm
437	amietrz@gmail.com			Steering Committee	10/7/2024 12:28pm
438	tracie.douglas@ct.gov	Tracie	Douglas	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm
439	cogbf.ministries@gmail.com	Nelta	Glaudin	HUD Grantees,General,YHDP	10/7/2024 12:28pm
440	jdowner@youthcontinuum.org	Jasene	Downer	HUD Grantees,Renewal Evaluation Provider List,General,YHDP	10/7/2024 12:28pm
441	jscherb@uwgnh.org	Julia	Scherb	HUD Grantees,Renewal Evaluation Provider List,General,YHDP	10/7/2024 12:28pm
442	iheredia@usmhs.org	Ignacio	Heredia	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm
443	liztorres@housingsmarts.com	Elizabeth	Torres	General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
444	nichele.carver@usich.gov	Nichele	Carver	HUD Grantees,General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
445	lcretella@nbhact.org	Lisa	Cretella	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,General,YHDP	10/7/2024 12:28pm
446	sylvon@interfaitharc.org	Sylvon	Griffin	General,YHDP	10/7/2024 12:28pm
447	candice.capotorto@carelon.com	Candice	Capotorto	General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
448	mjohnston@newreach.org	Maggie	Johnston	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,SNOFO Providers,Renewal Evalu	10/7/2024 12:28pm
449	cindy.hernandez1@ct.gov	Cindy	Hernandez	HUD Grantees	10/7/2024 12:28pm
450	aferguson@chrhealth.org	Anne-Marie	Ferguson	HUD Grantees,Renewal Evaluation Provider List	10/7/2024 12:28pm
451	cbeltre@columbushouse.org	Caroline	Beltre	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm
452	isabela@interfaitharc.org	Isabela	Lamorte	General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
453	lfranceshi@bhcare.org	Lesette	Franceshi	General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
454	kingraham@columbushouse.org	Karen	Ingraham	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm
455	jcaraballo@svdpofbristol.com	Jessica	Caraballo	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm
456	lmaisonet@fsc-ct.org	Ladine	Maisonet	General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
457	kara.zichichi@ct.gov	Kara	Zichichi	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,SNOFO Providers,Renewal Evalu	10/7/2024 12:28pm
458	smoscariello@libertycs.org	Silvia	Moscariello	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,SNOFO Providers,Renewal Evalu	10/7/2024 12:28pm
459	mmiddleton@columbushouse.org	Margaret	Middleton	HUD Grantees,SNOFO Providers,Renewal Evaluation Provider List,Y	10/7/2024 12:28pm
460	abaker@youthcontinuum.org	Ann	Baker	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm
461	sarahj@nlhhc.org	Sarah	Jarrett	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,SNOFO Providers,Renewal Evalu	10/7/2024 12:28pm
462	brahamatullah@columbushouse.org	Bibi	Rahamatullah	HUD Grantees,SNOFO Providers,Renewal Evaluation Provider List,C	10/7/2024 12:28pm
463	tmendez@hwhct.org	Tykeisha	Mendez	YHDP	10/7/2024 12:28pm
464	crobalino@ctcadv.org	Carlos	Robalino	HUD Grantees,General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
465	ccasey@fsc-ct.org	Catellia	Casey	HUD Grantees,Renewal Evaluation Provider List,General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
466	krigarvey@ihcda.in.gov	Kristin	Garvey	Steering Committee,General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
467	leticiasepulveda@housingsmarts.com	Leticia	Sepulveda	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,SNOFO Providers,General,YHDI	10/7/2024 12:28pm

468	cwills@hfgp.org	Cynthia	Wills	General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
469	rosadoi@crtct.org	Imani	Rosado	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,SNOFO Providers,Renewal Eval	10/7/2024 12:28pm
470	michaelmoore2023@myyahoo.com			Steering Committee	10/7/2024 12:28pm
471	jaboa1@unh.newhaven.edu			Steering Committee	10/7/2024 12:28pm
472	tqimaribanks@gmail.com	Tania	Banks	Steering Committee	10/7/2024 12:28pm
473	jakenjennntwins14@gmail.com	Sonia	Soto	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,SNOFO Providers,General,YHDI	10/7/2024 12:28pm
474	hlindell1217@gmail.com			Steering Committee	10/7/2024 12:28pm
475	tayna.castillo@uconn.edu			Steering Committee	10/7/2024 12:28pm
476	ademusis@columbushouse.org	Aimee	DeMusis	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,SNOFO Providers,Renewal Eval	10/7/2024 12:28pm
477	wmoble@dungarvin.com	Willie	Moble	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,SNOFO Providers,General,YHDI	10/7/2024 12:28pm
478	phillip.e.mckeough@hud.gov	Phillip	McKeough	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,SNOFO Providers,General,YHDI	10/7/2024 12:28pm
479	rissa.c@outlook.com	Larissa	Cuevas	HUD Grantees,General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
480	hsmith@bethelmidford.org	Hannah	Smith	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,SNOFO Providers,General,YHDI	10/7/2024 12:28pm
481	jane@svdmiddletown.org	Jane	Johnson	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,SNOFO Providers,General,YHDI	10/7/2024 12:28pm
482	egrochowska@svdpofbristol.com	Ewa	Grochowska	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,SNOFO Providers,General,YHDI	10/7/2024 12:28pm
483	ypotter@ctcadv.org	Yolanda Potter	Potter	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,SNOFO Providers,General,YHDI	10/7/2024 12:28pm
484	john.e.thomas@ct.gov	John	Thomas	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,SNOFO Providers,General,YHDI	10/7/2024 12:28pm
485	beth@endsexualviolencect.org			Steering Committee	10/7/2024 12:28pm
486	kenisha@endsexualviolencect.org			Steering Committee	10/7/2024 12:28pm
487	mprobert@cmhacc.org	Michael	Probert	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,SNOFO Providers,General,YHDI	10/7/2024 12:28pm
488	pwagner@cceh.org	Paul	Wagner	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,SNOFO Providers,General,YHDI	10/7/2024 12:28pm
489	nparulekar@cceh.org	Nehali	Parulekar	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,SNOFO Providers,General,YHDI	10/7/2024 12:28pm
490	aking@allianceforliving.org	Abigail	King	HUD Grantees,SNOFO Providers,YHDP	10/7/2024 12:28pm
491	elder.chasity@yahoo.com	Chasity	Elder	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,SNOFO Providers,General,YHDI	10/7/2024 12:28pm
492	pschmitz@nutmegit.com	Paul	Schmitz	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,SNOFO Providers,General,YHDI	10/7/2024 12:28pm
493	cmartinez@ctcadv.org	Cindy	Martinez	HUD Grantees,General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
494	triepe@sistersplacect.org	Taylor	Riepe	HUD Grantees,General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
495	sbarbaro@nlhhc.org	Angela	Spinnato	HUD Grantees,SNOFO Providers	10/7/2024 12:28pm
496	dawn@dawnfish.com	Dawn	Fish	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,SNOFO Providers,General,YHDI	10/7/2024 12:28pm
497	trodriguez@newoppinc.org	Tabitha	Rodriguez	HUD Grantees,General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
498	janine.hewitt@use.salvationarmy.org	Janine	Hewitt	HUD Grantees,YHDP	10/7/2024 12:28pm
499	danielle.darigan@accessagency.org	Danielle	Darigan	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,SNOFO Providers,General,YHDI	10/7/2024 12:28pm
500	acerruto@sbaproject.org	Ashley	Cerruto	General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
501	jpierre@newreach.org	Jeff	Pierre	General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
502	theresa.rivera@ct.gov			Steering Committee	10/7/2024 12:28pm
503	joy.zavarella@ct.gov			Steering Committee	10/7/2024 12:28pm

504	heriberto.cajigas@ct.gov			Steering Committee	10/7/2024 12:28pm
505	rashayne@gmail.com	Rashayne	Brae	General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
506	kgonzalez@southparkinn.org	Kelly	Gonzalez	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,SNOFO Providers,General,YHDI	10/7/2024 12:28pm
507	dpadilla@ccfc-ct.org	Des	padilla	General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
508	lserrano@sistersplacect.org	Luz	Serrano	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,SNOFO Providers,General,YHDI	10/7/2024 12:28pm
509	jfletcher@chrysaliscenterct.org	Jill	Fletcher	General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
510	william.seals@ct.gov			Steering Committee	10/7/2024 12:28pm
511	jennifer.moriarty@va.gov			Steering Committee	10/7/2024 12:28pm
512	theresa.rivera@ct.go			Steering Committee	10/7/2024 12:28pm
513	rrioux@apexcc.org	Rebecca	Rioux	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
514	ggonzalez@cccymca.org	Gigi	Gonzalez	HUD Grantees,General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
515	mannstiffany52@gmail.com	Tiffany	Manns	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,SNOFO Providers,General,YHDI	10/7/2024 12:28pm
516	jeanette.flores@ct.gov	Jeanette	Flores	General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
517	patricia.mccreanor@ct.gov	Patricia J.	McCreanor	HUD Grantees,General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
518	barbara.greenflood@csh.org	Barbara	M Green-Flood	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,General,YHDP	10/7/2024 12:28pm
519	ggrullo@newoppinc.org	Gawdys	Grullon	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,SNOFO Providers,General,YHDI	10/7/2024 12:28pm
520	assistantndirectorhs@windhamct.com	Liz	Myers	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,SNOFO Providers,General,YHDI	10/7/2024 12:28pm
521	mradhay@casaincct.org	Melissa	Radhay	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,SNOFO Providers,General,YHDI	10/7/2024 12:28pm
522	mguerrera@fellowshipplace.org	Mary	Guerrera	General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
523	gfernandez@newneighborhoods.org	Gema	Fernandez	HUD Grantees,SNOFO Providers,General,YHDP	10/7/2024 12:28pm
524	smithj@crtct.org	Jeanisha	Smith	SNOFO Providers,General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
525	dominiqua.garry@journeyhomect.org	Dominiqua	Garry	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,SNOFO Providers,General,YHDI	10/7/2024 12:28pm
526	jennifer.burke@use.salvationarmy.org	Jennifer	Burke	HUD Grantees,General,YHDP	10/7/2024 12:28pm
527	asanchez@ccfc-ct.org	Amanda	Sanchez	General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
528	stacey.mirant@irnpinc.org	stacey	miranti	General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
529	tortolaza@cmhacc.org	Thais	Ortolaza	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,SNOFO Providers,General,YHDI	10/7/2024 12:28pm
530	plipp@ccfc-ct.org	Paul	Lipp	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
531	mvallo@ccfc-ct.org	Matt	Vallo	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,SNOFO Providers,General,YHDI	10/7/2024 12:28pm
532	michael.aiello@jud.ct.gov			Steering Committee	10/7/2024 12:28pm
533	annette.buckley@ctunitedway.org			Steering Committee	10/7/2024 12:28pm
534	zahinamorales@gmail.com	Zahina	Crespo-Morales	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,SNOFO Providers,General,YHDI	10/7/2024 12:28pm
535	dlangois@allianceforliving.org	Dorie	Langlois	General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
536	tool4everyneed@gmail.com	Kevin	Sabo	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,SNOFO Providers,General,YHDI	10/7/2024 12:28pm
537	netzabilie.jimenez@use.salvationarmy.org	Netzabilie	Jimenez	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,SNOFO Providers,General,YHDI	10/7/2024 12:28pm
538	sheryl.horowitz@hartford.gov	sheryl	horowitz	HUD Grantees,General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
539	swilliams@cceh.org	Samm	Williams	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,SNOFO Providers,General,YHDI	10/7/2024 12:28pm

540	kimberly.nardone@journeyhomect.org	Kimberly	Nardone	HUD Grantees,General,YHDP	10/7/2024 12:28pm
541	michael.labianca@jud.ct.gov			Steering Committee	10/7/2024 12:28pm
542	ronette.daniels@ctunitedway.org			Steering Committee	10/7/2024 12:28pm
543	afox@columbushouse.org	Alex	Fox	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,General,YHDP	10/7/2024 12:28pm
544	tcunningham@alwayshome.org	Tricia	Cunningham	General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
545	evez@housingsolutionstulsa.org	Erin	Velez	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,SNOFO Providers,General,YHDI	10/7/2024 12:28pm
546	michaelv@trfp.org	MICHAEL	VAZ	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,SNOFO Providers,General,YHDI	10/7/2024 12:28pm
547	jaysepayton2007@gmail.com			Steering Committee	10/7/2024 12:28pm
548	camimaelynn@gmail.com			Steering Committee	10/7/2024 12:28pm
549	adesrosiers@tvcca.org	Amanda	Desrosiers	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,SNOFO Providers,General,YHDI	10/7/2024 12:28pm
550	aogle@cmhacc.org	Amy	Ogle	General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
551	jsteranko@newreach.org	Jason	Steranko	HUD Grantees,SNOFO Providers,General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
552	bh@cewadvisors.com	Brian	Hull	HUD Grantees,General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
553	jperrin@apexcc.org	Judith	Perrin	HUD Grantees,SNOFO Providers,General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
554	maria.albino@tcbinc.org	MARIA	ALBINO	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,SNOFO Providers,General,YHDI	10/7/2024 12:28pm
555	ethibaudeau@operationpathways.org	Eva	Thibaudeau Gracz	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,SNOFO Providers,General,YHDI	10/7/2024 12:28pm
556	mdubay@chd.org			Steering Committee	10/7/2024 12:28pm
557	erin@love146.org			Steering Committee	10/7/2024 12:28pm
558	tamarra@love146.org			Steering Committee	10/7/2024 12:28pm
559	alexys.gaudette@accessagency.org	Alexys	Gaudette	HUD Grantees,General,YHDP	10/7/2024 12:28pm
560	saraz@housinginnovations.org	Sara	Zuiderveen	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,SNOFO Providers,General,YHDI	10/7/2024 12:28pm
561	dream@catherinecaban.com	Catherine	Cabana	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,SNOFO Providers,General,YHDI	10/7/2024 12:28pm

P. 1 of 2024 Local Competition Selection Results posted to CT BOS Website

chrome-extension://efaidnbmnnpbpcjpcglclefindmkaj/https://www.ctbos.org/wp-content/uploads/FINAL-RANKING-REPORT-2024-NOFO.pdf

Grantium™ Microsoft Office Ho... New Tab Adobe Acrobat

rt E-Sign

ctbos.org / FINAL-R...024-NOFO

Share

AI Assistant

Local Competition Selection Results

Applicant Name	Project Name	Score	Ranked	Status	Amount Requested from HUD	Reallocated Funds
Thames Valley Council for Community Action, Inc.	Homeless Collaborative Network	100.00	1	Accepted	\$ 851,085	
Liberty Community Services, Inc.	Liberty Consolidated Scattered Site Housing	100.00	2	Accepted	\$ 681,263	
Community Renewal Team, Inc.	2024 CRT Project Teach	100.00	3	Accepted	\$ 549,304	
Windham Regional Community Council	Project Home C	100.00	4	Accepted	\$ 511,248	
CT Department of Mental Health and Addiction Services	CT0073 Manchester CHR Rental Assistance	100.00	5	Accepted	\$ 382,054	
St. Vincent DePaul Mission of Waterbury, Inc.	Society of Support (SOS)	100.00	6	Accepted	\$ 376,089	
CT Department of Mental Health and Addiction Services	CT0061 CHR PSH	100.00	7	Accepted	\$ 336,091	
CT Department of Mental Health and Addiction Services	CT0011 New Haven Columbus House Sojourners	100.00	8	Accepted	\$ 257,651	
CT Department of Mental Health and Addiction Services	CT0135 Hartford CHR Soromundi Commons	100.00	9	Accepted	\$ 243,155	
Connecticut Department of Housing	CT0278 Youth Continuum Supportive Housing Project	100.00	10	Accepted	\$ 243,128	
Alliance for Living	Alliance for Living-Supportive Housing Program - Renewal Application FY 2024	100.00	11	Accepted	\$ 184,428	
YWCA Hartford Region, Inc.	Soromundi Commons Supportive Housing	100.00	12	Accepted	\$ 181,707	
CT Department of Mental Health and Addiction Services	CT0142 Torrington Mental Health CT Rental Assistance	100.00	13	Accepted	\$ 181,631	
Friendship Service Center, Inc.	Arch Street Housing	100.00	14	Accepted	\$ 159,839	
Youth Continuum, Inc.	CT0351 Youth Permanent Supportive Housing	100.00	15	Accepted	\$ 96,862	
CT Department of Mental Health and Addiction Services	CT0176 Norwich New London Rental Assistance Boswell	100.00	16	Accepted	\$ 45,500	
CT Department of Mental Health and Addiction Services	CT0164 New Haven Rental Assistance	95.00	17	Accepted	\$3,408,697	
Community Renewal Team, Inc.	2024 CRT H-PASS	95.00	18	Accepted	\$ 686,756	
CT Department of Mental Health and Addiction Services	CT0070 Meriden Wallingford Rushford Rental Assistance	95.00	19	Accepted	\$ 657,020	
CT Department of Mental Health and Addiction Services	CT0089 Norwich New London Rental Assistance	95.00	20	Accepted	\$ 624,160	
CT Department of Mental Health and Addiction Services	CT0062 BHCare Rental Assistance	95.00	21	Accepted	\$ 570,711	
CT Department of Mental Health and Addiction Services	CT0200 Torrington Rental Assistance WHO	95.00	22	Accepted	\$ 356,306	
Liberty Community Services, Inc.	Liberty Consolidated Rapid Rehousing	95.00	23	Accepted	\$ 344,060	
CT Department of Mental Health and Addiction Services	CT0054 Middletown Rental Assistance	95.00	24	Accepted	\$ 329,096	
My Sisters' Place, Inc.	Permanent Supportive Housing at MSP	95.00	25	Accepted	\$ 305,534	
St. Vincent DePaul Place, Middletown, Inc.	SVD Middletown SHP	95.00	26	Accepted	\$ 232,056	
CT Department of Mental Health and Addiction Services	CT0023 Hartford Mary Seymour Place	95.00	27	Accepted	\$ 227,957	
CT Department of Mental Health and Addiction Services	CT0053 Middletown The Connection	95.00	28	Accepted	\$ 204,845	
CT Department of Mental Health and Addiction Services	CT0013 New Haven Cedar Hill	95.00	29	Accepted	\$ 196,067	
Safe Futures, Inc.	Phoenix House Transitional Housing Program	95.00	30	Accepted	\$ 51,596	
Holy Family Home and Shelter, Inc.	Homes Plus	95.00		Fully Reallocated	\$ -	\$ (157,962)
Connecticut Department of Housing	CT0306 CT BOS DOH PSH 1	93.45	31	Accepted	\$ 944,151	
Friendship Service Center, Inc.	TLP	92.00	32	Accepted	\$ 210,007	
Thames River Community Service Inc.	Thames River Family Program	92.00	33	Accepted	\$ 195,983	
CT Department of Mental Health and Addiction Services	CT0297 Pendleton PSH	90.00	34	Accepted	\$ 211,712	

Search

1:41 PM
10/7/2024

P. 2 of 2024 Local Competition Selection Results posted to CT BOS Website

edit, convert, sign tools chrome-extension://efaidnbmninnbpcjpcglclefindmkaj/https://www.ctbos.org/wp-content/uploads/FINAL-RANKING-REPORT-2024-NOFO.pdf

Grantium™ Microsoft Office Ho... New Tab Adobe Acrobat

E-Sign

ctbos.org / FINAL-R...024-NOFO

Search, Print, Download, Share icons

Share

AI Assistant

Sig

CT Department of Mental Health and Addiction Services	CT0012 New Haven Lucht Hall	90.00	35	Accepted	\$ 164,603
Safe Futures, Inc.	Flora O'Neil Apartments	90.00	36	Accepted	\$ 104,167
New Opportunities Inc.	Meriden SHP	90.00	37	Accepted	\$ 50,644
CT Department of Mental Health and Addiction Services	CT0340 DMHAS BOS PSH 2019	87.83	38	Accepted	\$1,063,740
CT Department of Mental Health and Addiction Services	CT0265 BOS DMHAS 2014	85.92	39	Accepted	\$3,198,097
Connecticut Department of Housing	CT0330 CT BOS CCADV RRH	85.00	40	Accepted	\$3,849,308
Columbus House, Inc	CT0171 CHI Consolidated SHP FY2024	85.00	41	Accepted	\$1,058,836
Community Renewal Team, Inc.	2024 CRT PSH Condolitated	85.00	42	Accepted	\$ 988,243
Friendship Service Center, Inc.	PEAK	85.00	43	Accepted	\$ 641,164
CT Department of Mental Health and Addiction Services	CT0151 Waterbury Housing Plus	85.00	44	Accepted	\$ 450,163
Chrysalis Center, Inc.	CT0139 Hartford Chrysalis Supportive Housing for Veterans	85.00	45	Accepted	\$ 358,061
CT Department of Mental Health and Addiction Services	CT0077 Windham United Services Brick Row	85.00	46	Accepted	\$ 150,927
CT Department of Mental Health and Addiction Services	CT0172 Hartford Sue Ann Shay Place	85.00	47	Accepted	\$ 133,761
CT Department of Mental Health and Addiction Services	CT0286 BOS DMHAS 2015	83.17	48	Accepted	\$2,263,693
CT Department of Mental Health and Addiction Services	CT0161 New Britain CMHA Rental Assistance	80.00	49	Accepted	\$1,037,445
New Opportunities Inc.	Freedom Walk	80.00	50	Accepted	\$ 478,362
Prudence Crandall Center, Inc.	Permanent Supportive Housing for people with disabilities Rosehill	80.00	51	Accepted	\$ 434,977
Chrysalis Center, Inc.	Family Matters CT0064	80.00	52	Accepted	\$ 247,829
CT Department of Mental Health and Addiction Services	CT0154 Greater Hartford Mercy Rental Assistance	80.00	53	Accepted	\$ 134,881
Connecticut Department of Housing	CT0352 DOH YHDP Rapid Rehousing	77.32	Not Ranked	Accepted	\$2,373,653
Connecticut Department of Housing	CT0294 DOH CT BOS RRH	77.22	54	Accepted	\$3,247,950
Connecticut Department of Housing	CT0339 CT BOS RRH Bonus 2019	76.11	55	Accepted	\$ 772,740
ImmaCare Inc.	CDF Combo 1-4 FY2024	75.00	56	Accepted	\$ 956,755
Liberty Community Services, Inc.	Safe Haven	75.00	57	Accepted	\$ 874,200
Connecticut Coalition to End Homelessness	CCEH - YHDP Shelter Diversion/Rapid Exit 1	75.00	Not Ranked	Accepted	\$ 350,864
CT Department of Mental Health and Addiction Services	CT0204 Waterbury Rental Assistance	75.00	58	Accepted	\$ 272,094
CT Department of Mental Health and Addiction Services	CT0052 Middletown Liberty Commons	75.00	59	Accepted	\$ 243,153
CT Department of Mental Health and Addiction Services	CT0129 New Haven Safe Haven	75.00	60	Accepted	\$ 199,565
Prudence Crandall Center, Inc.	Rosehill transitional living program	73.00	61	Accepted	\$ 184,897
Chrysalis Center, Inc.	CT0240 Walking Into Wall Street	70.00	62	Accepted	\$ 604,531
CT Department of Mental Health and Addiction Services	CT0131 Hartford Hudson View Commons	70.00	63	Accepted	\$ 167,543
The Salvation Army, a New York Corporation	YHDP Crisis Housing Greater Hartford	70.00	Not Ranked	Accepted	\$ 63,495
CT Department of Mental Health and Addiction Services	CT0246 Greater Middletown Mercy Rental Assistance	65.00	64	Accepted	\$ 326,102
Chrysalis Center, Inc.	CT0066 Greater Hartford Chrysalis Rental Assistance	60.00	65	Accepted	\$1,321,411
CT Department of Mental Health and Addiction Services	CT0141 Torrington CHD Pilots	60.00	66	Accepted	\$ 285,420
CT Department of Mental Health and Addiction Services	CT0076 Windham United Services Rental Assistance	60.00	67	Accepted	\$ 125,486
CT Department of Mental Health and Addiction Services	CT0211 Waterbury Step-up	60.00	68	Accepted	\$ 107,808
CT Department of Mental Health and Addiction Services	CT0237 Waterbury East Main Street	60.00	69	Accepted	\$ 95,754
Center for Human Development Inc.	PILOTS (CT0121)	57.76	70	Accepted	\$ 629,118



Search



1:42 PM 10/7/2024

P. 3 of 2024 Local Competition Selection Results posted to CT BOS Website

chrome-extension://efaidnbmninnbpcjpcglclefindmkaj/https://www.ctbos.org/wp-content/uploads/FINAL-RANKING-REPORT-2024-NOFO.pdf

Grantium™ Microsoft Office Ho... New Tab Adobe Acrobat

All Bookm

E-Sign

ctbos.org / FINAL-R...024-NOFO

Search, Print, Download, Share icons

Share

AI Assistant

Sign Out

CT Department of Mental Health and Addiction Services	CT0022 Greater Hartford Rental Assistance Consolidated	55.31	71	Accepted	\$3,433,433	
Connecticut Department of Housing	CT0279 Central CAN RRH	50.00	72	Accepted	\$ 414,788	
Youth Continuum, Inc.	Youth Continuum Crisis Housing	48.00	Not Ranked	Accepted	\$ 106,788	
Connecticut Coalition to End Homelessness	CT HMIS - BOS 2024		73	Accepted	\$ 145,891	
Connecticut Coalition to End Homelessness	Greater Hartford HMIS 2024		74	Accepted	\$ 122,740	
Connecticut Department of Housing	CT0295 DOH CT BOS CAN SSO		75	Accepted	\$ 787,475	
Connecticut Department of Housing	CT0379 CT BOS CCADV CE		76	Accepted	\$ 523,381	
Connecticut Department of Housing	CT0338 CT BOS CCADV RRH	45.00	77	Accepted	\$2,991,094	
Chrysalis Center, Inc.	CT0191 St. Philip House	45.00	78	Accepted	\$ 193,412	
New London Homeless Hospitality Center, Inc.	Housing for Health FY2024 Application	45.00	79	Accepted	\$ 44,265	
The Connection, Inc.	CT0312 YHDP CAN 8 Application - Crisis Housing	44.00	Not Ranked	Accepted	\$ 50,000	
Killingly Housing Authority	KILLINGLY CONSOLIDATED WRAP AROUND HOUSING PROGRAM	35.00	80	Accepted	\$ 138,208	
Journey Home, Inc.	YHDP Greater Hartford and Central 2024 Renewal		Not Ranked	Accepted	\$ 180,381	
Youth Continuum, Inc.	Youth Continuum Youth Navigators		Not Ranked	Accepted	\$ 110,857	
Thames River Community Service Inc.	CT0307 TH YHDP		Not Ranked	Accepted	\$ 103,047	
Thames River Community Service Inc.	CT0308 YHDP Youth Navigator Eastern		Not Ranked	Accepted	\$ 73,670	
Women and Families Center	CT0309 YHDP CAN 8 Youth Navigator		Not Ranked	Accepted	\$ 51,100	
The Housing Collective	CT0318 Waterbury Litchfield CAN Youth Navigator		Not Ranked	Accepted	\$ 46,828	
The ACCESS Agency, Inc.	CT0316 YHDP Youth Navigator NE		Not Ranked	Accepted	\$ 34,472	
Connecticut Coalition to End Homelessness	CCEH - YHDP Shelter Diversion/Rapid Exit 2		Not Ranked	Accepted	\$ 26,893	
CT Department of Mental Health and Addiction Services	CT0395 New Reach New Haven PSH Bonus 2023		81	Accepted	\$ 692,490	
Connecticut Department of Housing	CT0356 Prudence Crandall Center's Enhanced Housing Options		82	Accepted	\$ 442,340	
CT Department of Mental Health and Addiction Services	CT0382 CHI The Tyler, Rockview II and Mather Street		83	Accepted	\$ 332,286	
Connecticut Department of Housing	CT0383 New Reach New Haven RRH 2022		84	Accepted	\$ 284,027	
Connecticut Department of Housing	CT0396 Mercy Homeless to Housing Rapid Re-Housing 2023		85	Accepted	\$ 230,540	
CT Department of Mental Health and Addiction Services	CT0376 CHI Village at Park River and The Jefferson		86	Accepted	\$ 225,050	
CT Department of Mental Health and Addiction Services	CT0378 Chrysalis Oxyboxo Lofts		87	Accepted	\$ 132,278	
CT Department of Mental Health and Addiction Services	CT0380 Hartford My Sisters' Place PSH 2022		88	Accepted	\$ 116,190	
St. Vincent DePaul Place, Middletown, Inc.	St Vincent's Commons		89	Accepted	\$ 84,714	
CT Department of Mental Health and Addiction Services	CT0355 St. Mary's Place		90	Accepted	\$ 40,125	
CT Department of Mental Health and Addiction Services	CT0022 Greater Hartford Rental Assistance Consolidated Expansion	87.00	91	Accepted	\$ 713,689	\$ 157,962
Killingly Housing Authority	KILLINGLY CONSOLIDATED WRAP AROUND HOUSING PROGRAM EXPANSION	83.00	92	Accepted Reduced	\$ 66,502	
CT Department of Mental Health and Addiction Services	Apex Northwest PSH	73.00	93	Accepted	\$ 695,412	
CT Department of Mental Health and Addiction Services	CT0054 Middletown Rental Assistance Expansion	68.00	94	Accepted	\$ 209,000	
Connecticut Department of Housing	CT0294 DOH CT BOS RRH NLHHC Expansion	88.00	95	Accepted	\$ 561,732	

Search

1:43 PM
10/7/2024

P. 4 of 2024 Local Competition Selection Results posted to CT BOS Website

Adobe Acrobat: PDF edit, convert, sign tools chrome-extension://efaidnbmnnnibpcajpcglclefindmkaj/https://www.ctbos.org/wp-content/uploads/FINAL-RANKING-REPORT-2024-NOFO.pdf

gn in to Microsoft... Grantium™ Microsoft Office Ho... New Tab Adobe Acrobat

Edit Convert E-Sign

ctbos.org / FINAL-R...024-NOFO

Search icons

Share

AI Assistant

Thames Valley Council for Community Action, Inc.	Homeless Collaborative Network Expansion	89.00	96	Accepted	\$ 390,577
Liberty Community Services, Inc.	Liberty Consolidated Scattered Site Housing Expansion	87.00	97	Accepted	\$ 281,510
CT Department of Mental Health and Addiction Services	CT0265 BOS DMHAS 2014 Expansion	83.00	98	Accepted	\$ 145,132
Community Renewal Team, Inc.	2024 CRT PSH Condoligated Expansion	76.00	99	Accepted	\$ 387,165
Connecticut Department of Housing	CT0295 DOH CT BOS CAN SSO Expansion		100	Accepted	\$ 781,774
CT Department of Mental Health and Addiction Services	Chrysalis Center HEARRT House	68.00	101	Accepted	\$ 211,059
CT Department of Mental Health and Addiction Services	New Reach Greater New Haven PSH	92.00	102	Accepted Reduced	\$ 841,764
CT Department of Mental Health and Addiction Services	Southeastern Mental Health Authority PSH	75.50	103	Accepted	\$ 259,864
CT Department of Mental Health and Addiction Services	Chrysalis Center Cedar Pointe	73.00	104	Accepted	\$ 62,700
Connecticut Department of Housing	CT0339 CT BOS RRH Bonus 2019 CHI NH Expansion	90.00	105	Accepted Reduced	\$ 468,156
Connecticut Department of Housing	CT0339 CT BOS RRH Bonus 2019 CHI MMW Expansion	90.00	106	Accepted Reduced	\$ 434,971
Connecticut Department of Housing	CT0294 DOH CT BOS RRH FSC Expansion	72.00	107	Accepted	\$ 522,984
Connecticut Department of Housing	Safe Futures Joint TH-RRH Program	102.00	108	Accepted	\$ 411,818
Connecticut Department of Housing	FSC and AA RRH 2024	80.00	109	Accepted	\$ 802,072
Connecticut Department of Housing	CT0330 CT BOS CCADV RRH Expansion		110	Accepted	\$2,160,423
CT Department of Mental Health and Addiction Services	BOS Planning Grant		Not Ranked	Accepted	\$1,500,000
New Opportunities Inc.	NOI Meriden PSH Project	*	Not Ranked	Rejected	\$ -
Center for Human Development Inc.	Rapid ReHousing to Home	*	Not Ranked	Rejected	\$ -
Center for Human Development Inc.	Housing to Home +	*	Not Ranked	Rejected	\$ -
New Opportunities Inc.	NOI RH Project - Waterbury	*	Not Ranked	Rejected	\$ -
Center for Human Development Inc.	Housing to Home	*	Not Ranked	Rejected	\$ -

* Project did not meet threshold, therefore was not scored or ranked.

Accepted Reduced new projects submitted original budgets which were corrected/reduced in order to comply with CT BOS CoC's & HUD's competition requirements

HMIS, Coordinated Entry & YHDP Youth Navigator projects are not scored. Also, projects not yet having a full year of operation (e.g. new, 1st year of recipient or change to

Windows taskbar with search bar and application icons

1:43 PM 10/7/2024

Notification of Projects Accepted



Outlook

Now Available: 2024 CT BOS Local Competition Results**From** CTBOS <ctboscoc-gmail.com@shared1.ccsend.com>**Date** Mon 10/7/2024 12:28 PM**To** Shannon Quinn-Sheeran <shannon@housinginnovations.us>

This and the following 3 pages show Constant Contact email to all project applicants that the CoC posted to our website a listing including: all projects, project ranks (if accepted), project scores (if met threshold), and funding amounts. Shows sent to 561 email addresses on the following lists: General, HUD Grantees, Steering Committee and YHDP on 10/7/24 at 12:28 p.m.



Now Available CT BOS Local Competition Selection Results 2024

Dear Colleagues,

Please find posted on the CT BOS Website, [CT BOS Local Competition Selection Results 2024](#), which includes for all new and renewal projects the CoC considered during CT BOS's local competition:

- Applicant Names;
- Project Names;
- Project Scores;
- Project status (accepted, rejected, etc.);
- Project rank - if accepted; and
- Funding Amounts; and

You are receiving this notice and the posting was made for the purposes of transparency and to meet HUD requirements. Projects were ranked in accordance the [Ranking Policy](#).

Thanks to all who have assisted and continue to assist in making this year's application for funding a successful one and, as always, for the important work you do in helping end homelessness in Connecticut!

Sincerely,

CT BOS Team



See what's happening on our website!

Contact the CT Balance of State

ctboscoc@gmail.com

CTBOS | c/o DMHAS, 410 Capitol Ave | Hartford, CT 06134 US

[Unsubscribe](#) | [Update Profile](#) | [Constant Contact Data Notice](#)



Try email marketing for free today!

Sent 2024 Ranked Project Listing

Copy

Share on Social



Details | Reporting | Heat Map

Email Performance

See how your emails are doing with your audience. [Compare your results to the industry average.](#)

Sent

561

Open Rate

15.8%

Click Rate

3.8%

Opens	7	Clicks	17
Sent	561	Did Not Open	379
Bounces	11	Unsubscribed	0
Successful Deliveries	450	Spam Reports	0
Desktop Open Percentage	98.8%	Mobile Open Percentage	1.2%

Recommendations

Here are some things we think would help this campaign even more.

Sent 2024 Ranked Project Listing

Copy Share on Social ...

Details Reporting Heat Map



Subject	Now Available: 2024 CT BOS Local Competition Results
Pre header	Public Notification
From name	CTBOS
Sent	October 7th 2024 at 12:28 pm EDT
From Address	ctboscoc@gmail.com
Reply to address	ctboscoc@gmail.com
Lists	General, HUD Grantees, Steering Committee, YHDP
Email link	https://conta.cc/4euKzda

Pp. 6 - 21 - List of email addresses exported from Constant Contact to which the 2024 Project Listing was sent.

Row	Email address	First name	Last name	Email Lists	Sent At
1	lpareti@housinginnovations.us	Lauren	Pareti	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,SNOFO Providers,General,YHDI	10/7/2024 12:28pm
2	rlyas@immacare.org	Rebekah	Lyas	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm
3	swagner@housinginnovations.us	Suzanne	Wagner	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,General,YHDP	10/7/2024 12:28pm
4	kara.capobianco@ct.gov	Kara	Capobianco	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
5	leigh.shields-church@ct.gov	Leigh	Shields-Church	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,SNOFO Providers,Renewal Evali	10/7/2024 12:28pm
6	matt.morgan@journeyhomect.org	Matthew	Morgan	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,SNOFO Providers,Renewal Evali	10/7/2024 12:28pm
7	beau.anderson@ct.gov	Beau	Anderson	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
8	peter.debiasi@accessagency.org	Peter	Debiasi	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm
9	alice.minervino@ct.gov	Alice	Minervino	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,SNOFO Providers,Renewal Evali	10/7/2024 12:28pm
10	whodge@chrysaliscenterct.org	Wendy	Hodge	HUD Grantees,Renewal Evaluation Provider List	10/7/2024 12:28pm
11	jgreer@chrhealth.org	Jen	Greer	HUD Grantees,Renewal Evaluation Provider List,General,YHDP	10/7/2024 12:28pm
12	SCastelli@chrysaliscenterct.org	Sharon	Castelli	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm
13	nbarnofski@newreach.org	Nikki	Barnofski	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,SNOFO Providers,Renewal Evali	10/7/2024 12:28pm
14	episaf@comcast.net	Liz	Isaacs	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,SNOFO Providers,Renewal Evali	10/7/2024 12:28pm
15	lisa.quach@journeyhomect.org	Lisa	Quach	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm
16	edsvdp@comcast.net	Phillip	Lysiak	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee	10/7/2024 12:28pm
17	ericajayon23@gmail.com	erica	king	HUD Grantees,General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
18	srivera@fsc-ct.org	Suzy	Rivera	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm
19	silvia.moscariello@libertycs.org	Silvia	Moscariello	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,SNOFO Providers,Renewal Evali	10/7/2024 12:28pm
20	Jlm.pettinelli@libertycs.org	Jim	Pettinelli	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm
21	crane.cesario@ct.gov	Crane	Cesario	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm
22	sara.loffredo@libertycs.org	Sara	Loffredo	HUD Grantees,Renewal Evaluation Provider List,General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
23	Kristina.Dalao@va.gov	Kristina	Dalao	Steering Committee	10/7/2024 12:28pm
24	jlawlor@theconnectioninc.org	John	Lawlor	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm
25	eileen.krause@libertycs.org	Eileen	Krause	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm
26	cconway@womenfamilies.org	Carissa	Conway	YHDP	10/7/2024 12:28pm
27	msoussloff@safefuturesct.org	Margaret	Soussloff	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm
28	kshaw@sistersplacect.org	Kathy	Shaw	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm
29	lgilbert@immacare.org	Louis	Gilbert	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm
30	bevans@bhcare.org	Bobbi Jo	Evans	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,SNOFO Providers,Renewal Evali	10/7/2024 12:28pm
31	maureen.pasko@va.gov	Maureen	Pasko	Steering Committee,General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
32	kjarmoc@ctcadv.org	Karen	Jarmoc	Steering Committee	10/7/2024 12:28pm
33	iparker@tvcca.org	Ida	Parker	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm
34	kim.jakowski@wrccinc.org	Kim	Jakowski	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm
35	pkosowsky@youthcontinuum.org	Paul	Kosowsky	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm

36	ahakian@chrhealth.org	Andrea	hakian	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm
37	bcavanaugh@youthcontinuum.org	Brenda	Cavanaugh	HUD Grantees,Renewal Evaluation Provider List,General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
38	fsilva@allianceforliving.org	Frank	Silva	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm
39	blochw@crtct.org	Willa	Bloch	HUD Grantees,SNOFO Providers,Renewal Evaluation Provider List,C	10/7/2024 12:28pm
40	cathyz@ywcahartford.org	Catherine	Zeiner	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm
41	tmaguire@youthcontinuum.org	Tim	Maguire	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm
42	kgrega@youthcontinuum.org	Kathy	grega	HUD Grantees,Renewal Evaluation Provider List,General,YHDP	10/7/2024 12:28pm
43	dalbini@chd.org	Diane	Albini	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,SNOFO Providers,Renewal Evalu	10/7/2024 12:28pm
44	browns@crtct.org	Sonia	Brown	HUD Grantees,SNOFO Providers,Renewal Evaluation Provider List,C	10/7/2024 12:28pm
45	barcelopez@chd.org	Belinda	Arce	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm
46	floranne@holymfamilywillimantic.org	Floranne	Roswolle	HUD Grantees,Renewal Evaluation Provider List	10/7/2024 12:28pm
47	steve.dilella@ct.gov	Steve	DiLella	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm
48	czall@snet.net	Catherine	Zall	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm
49	tferraro@theconnectioninc.org	Teresa	Ferraro	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm
50	cmeaden@columbushouse.org	Cathleen	Meaden	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,SNOFO Providers,Renewal Evalu	10/7/2024 12:28pm
51	Tamika.Riley@JourneyHomeCT.org	Tamika	Riley	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm
52	killingly.housing@snet.net	Maryann	Picciarelli	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
53	Brittney.gibson@yale.edu	Brittney	Gibson	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm
54	melissadz@live.com	Melissa	Dzierlatka	Steering Committee,General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
55	scorbin@mercyhousingct.org	Stephanie	Corbin	HUD Grantees,SNOFO Providers,Renewal Evaluation Provider List,C	10/7/2024 12:28pm
56	Bshaw@handsonhartford.org	Barbara	Shaw	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
57	david@shworks.org	David	Rich	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
58	ematt@bhcare.org	Elaine	Matt	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm
59	jmandelburg@tvcca.org	Jon-Paul	Mandelburg	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm
60	mdamboise@newreach.org	Meredith	Damboise	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,SNOFO Providers,Renewal Evalu	10/7/2024 12:28pm
61	gpik@intercommunityct.org	Gregory	Pike	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
62	ortiz@crtct.org	Tina	Ortiz	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,SNOFO Providers,General,YHDI	10/7/2024 12:28pm
63	teddi.creel@ct.gov	Teddi	Creel	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm
64	erik.clevenger@ct.gov	Erik	Clevenger	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,SNOFO Providers,Renewal Evalu	10/7/2024 12:28pm
65	nzito@tvcca.org	Nicholas	Zito	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
66	lisa.callahan@ct.gov	Lisa	Callahan	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,SNOFO Providers,Renewal Evalu	10/7/2024 12:28pm
67	dcalabrese@theconnectioninc.org	Denice	Calabrese	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm
68	eileen.higgins@ct.gov	eileen	higgins	HUD Grantees,General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
69	jdasilva@hacdct.org	Jennifer	DaSilva	HUD Grantees,General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
70	bobcva4064@aol.com	Robert	Dorr	HUD Grantees,General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
71	nouteiro@immacare.org	Nancy	Outeiro	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm

72	kathya@trfp.org	Kathy	Allen	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm
73	ron@svdmiddletown.org	Ron	Krom	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm
74	nancy.cannavo@hhchealth.org	Nancy	Cannavo	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,General,YHDP	10/7/2024 12:28pm
75	csweeney@thact.org	claudia	sweeney	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
76	cjackson@theconnectioninc.org	Christina	Jackson	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm
77	dcarr@hacdct.org	Dionne	Carr	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
78	danielwalsh@veteransinc.org	Dan	Walsh	General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
79	alison.scharr@journeyhomect.org	Alison	Scharr	General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
80	mvazquez@southparkinn.org	Mary	Vazquez	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
81	jkatz@southparkinn.org	Jeff	Katz	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
82	kannelli@ctcadv.org	Kelly	Anelli	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm
83	bdamon@prudencecrandall.org	Barbara	Damon	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm
84	williamstdenis@veteransinc.org	William	St.Denis	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
85	mrossetti@theopenhearth.org	Marilyn	Rossetti	Steering Committee,General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
86	akelly@handsonhartford.org	Abbie	Kelly	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,General,YHDP	10/7/2024 12:28pm
87	kknaughty@theconnectioninc.org	Keyonna	Naughty	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm
88	kday@newreach.org	Kellyann	Day	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm
89	abocci@wtbyhosp.org	Tony	Bocci	Steering Committee,General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
90	wrybczyk@newoppinc.org	William	Rybczyk	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm
91	gbrisco@nwcty.org	Greg	Brisco	HUD Grantees,Renewal Evaluation Provider List,General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
92	wdonahue@nwcty.org	Willem	Donahue	HUD Grantees,General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
93	dreamhomes@arcforpeace.org	Manuella	Cabral	General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
94	rsoderberg@chrhealth.org	Robert	Soderberg	HUD Grantees,Renewal Evaluation Provider List,General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
95	liany.arroyo@hartford.gov	Liany	Arroyo	Steering Committee,General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
96	rebecca.rioux@use.salvationarmy.org	Rebecca	Rioux	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm
97	mculmo@mhconn.org	Michael	Culmo	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm
98	Ann@GrowStrongCT.org	Ann	Faust	General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
99	pwalsh@chd.org	Pam	Walsh	HUD Grantees,Renewal Evaluation Provider List,General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
100	munderwood@tvcca.org	Marylou	Underwood	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm
101	cassandra.norfleet-johnson@ct.gov	Cassandra	Norfleet-Johnson	Steering Committee	10/7/2024 12:28pm
102	terry.nash@chfa.org	Terry	Nash	Steering Committee	10/7/2024 12:28pm
103	chelsea@pschousing.org	Chelsea	Ross	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm
104	jelam@hacdct.org	Jacqueline	Elam	Steering Committee	10/7/2024 12:28pm
105	jmerz@aids-ct.org	John	Merz	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm
106	louis.tallarita@ct.gov	Louis	Tallarita	Steering Committee	10/7/2024 12:28pm
107	s.kim.somaroo@ct.gov	Kim	Somaroo-Rodrigu	Steering Committee	10/7/2024 12:28pm

108	st.vincent.de.paul@snet.net	Gary	Beaulieu	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm
109	syed.asghar@ct.gov	Syed Masood	Asghar	HUD Grantees	10/7/2024 12:28pm
110	jparadis@bethelmlford.org	Jennifer	Paradis	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,General,YHDP	10/7/2024 12:28pm
111	barcaro@sistersplacect.org	Beverly	Arcaro	HUD Grantees,Renewal Evaluation Provider List,General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
112	bryan.flint@cornerstone-cares.org	Bryan	Flint	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm
113	dana.serra@waterburyha.org	Dana	Serra	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
114	Elisha.Chornoby@ct.gov	Elisha	Chornoby	Steering Committee	10/7/2024 12:28pm
115	cpollifrone@theconnectioninc.org	Christine	Pollifrone	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm
116	kverano@safefuturesct.org	Katherine	Verano	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,SNOFO Providers,Renewal Evalu	10/7/2024 12:28pm
117	james.deloughery@beaconhealthoptions.com	James	DeLoughery	General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
118	sagamy@actspooner.org	Susan	Agamy	Steering Committee,General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
119	swelinsky@columbushouse.org	Sarah	Hoffman	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm
120	ldupontdiehl@cmhacc.org	Liz	Dupont-Diehl	General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
121	mvan@reliancehouse.org	Michael	Van Vlaenderen	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm
122	megan.brown@tvcca.org	Megan	Brown	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm
123	vmalley@fsc-ct.org	Vanessa	Malley	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm
124	Rmoller@noankcss.org	Regina	Moller	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm
125	Youthpond@gmail.com	Latoya	Stots	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
126	don.vincent@libertycs.org	Don	Vincent	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm
127	dgleason@continuumct.org	Dianna	Gleason	General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
128	kimberly.karanda@ct.gov	Kimberly	E Karanda	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,SNOFO Providers,General,YHDI	10/7/2024 12:28pm
129	shannon@housinginnovations.us	Shannon	Quinn-Sheeran	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,SNOFO Providers,ctbostest,Ger	10/7/2024 12:28pm
130	Sfox@cceh.org	Sarah	Fox	General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
131	cperez@columbushouse.org	Caroline	Perez	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,SNOFO Providers,Renewal Evalu	10/7/2024 12:28pm
132	manssour.hanne@ct.gov	Manssour	Hanne	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm
133	rgraham@cceh.org	Roy	Graham	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm
134	shooker@theconnectioninc.org	Stacy	Hooker	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,SNOFO Providers,Renewal Evalu	10/7/2024 12:28pm
135	kwytrykowska@cmhacc.org	Karolina	Wytrykowska	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm
136	liz.myers@wrccinc.org	Liz	Myers	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm
137	Shandae@trfp.org	Shanda	Easley	HUD Grantees,Renewal Evaluation Provider List,YHDP	10/7/2024 12:28pm
138	housingfirst@arcforpeace.org			HUD Grantees	10/7/2024 12:28pm
139	k.prunty@danbury-ct.gov			HUD Grantees	10/7/2024 12:28pm
140	emergeinc@optonline.net			HUD Grantees	10/7/2024 12:28pm
141	hlavin@theconnectioninc.org	Helen	McAlinden	HUD Grantees,Renewal Evaluation Provider List	10/7/2024 12:28pm
142	keith.brown@rnpinc.org			HUD Grantees	10/7/2024 12:28pm
143	Irodriguez@casaincct.org			HUD Grantees	10/7/2024 12:28pm

144	jessica@shworks.org	Jessica	Kubicki	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee	10/7/2024 12:28pm
145	mr Ramirez@cccymca.org			HUD Grantees	10/7/2024 12:28pm
146	javargas@cccymca.org			HUD Grantees	10/7/2024 12:28pm
147	ccoreano@cccymca.org			HUD Grantees	10/7/2024 12:28pm
148	hsmith@cccymca.org			HUD Grantees	10/7/2024 12:28pm
149	kcwikla@centerforfamilyjustice.org			HUD Grantees	10/7/2024 12:28pm
150	jolivares@gbapp.org			HUD Grantees	10/7/2024 12:28pm
151	saffoldt@crtct.org			HUD Grantees,Renewal Evaluation Provider List	10/7/2024 12:28pm
152	john.sullivan2@va.gov	John	Sullivan	HUD Grantees	10/7/2024 12:28pm
153	mjarvis@handsonhartford.org			HUD Grantees	10/7/2024 12:28pm
154	kortiz@hartfordhousing.org	Katrina	Ortiz	HUD Grantees	10/7/2024 12:28pm
155	hobread@aol.com			HUD Grantees	10/7/2024 12:28pm
156	smachattie@immacare.org			HUD Grantees,Renewal Evaluation Provider List	10/7/2024 12:28pm
157	rcurrie@theconnectioninc.org	ROchelle	Currie	YHDP	10/7/2024 12:28pm
158	ncannavo@hungerford.org	Nancy	J. Cannavo	HUD Grantees	10/7/2024 12:28pm
159	glenn.ryan@mccallcenterct.org			HUD Grantees	10/7/2024 12:28pm
160	lfusco@mhconn.org	Lysa	Fusco	HUD Grantees	10/7/2024 12:28pm
161	lbeeman@sbaproject.org			HUD Grantees	10/7/2024 12:28pm
162	sharlene@mwchrysalis.org	Sharlene	Kereleja	HUD Grantees,Renewal Evaluation Provider List	10/7/2024 12:28pm
163	dditrio@newoppinc.org	Dona	Ditrio	HUD Grantees,Renewal Evaluation Provider List	10/7/2024 12:28pm
164	ddecilla@continuumct.org	Dominique	DeCilla	HUD Grantees	10/7/2024 12:28pm
165	ssimone@fellowshipplace.org			HUD Grantees	10/7/2024 12:28pm
166	btaylor@leeway.net			HUD Grantees	10/7/2024 12:28pm
167	kkblack@theconnectioninc.org	Keyonna	K. Black	HUD Grantees,Renewal Evaluation Provider List	10/7/2024 12:28pm
168	ddejarnette@theconnectioninc.org			HUD Grantees,Renewal Evaluation Provider List	10/7/2024 12:28pm
169	agopian@workplace.org			HUD Grantees	10/7/2024 12:28pm
170	cpatrick@bhcare.org			HUD Grantees,Renewal Evaluation Provider List	10/7/2024 12:28pm
171	stoure@ccahelping.org			HUD Grantees	10/7/2024 12:28pm
172	rpotluri@columbushouse.org	Radhika	Potluri	HUD Grantees,Renewal Evaluation Provider List	10/7/2024 12:28pm
173	lwesoly@leeway.net			HUD Grantees	10/7/2024 12:28pm
174	njoyner@actspooner.org			HUD Grantees	10/7/2024 12:28pm
175	david.shadbegian@accessagency.org	David	Shadbegian	HUD Grantees,Renewal Evaluation Provider List,YHDP	10/7/2024 12:28pm
176	dd@pacifichouse.org			HUD Grantees	10/7/2024 12:28pm
177	rrodriguez@mfap.com	Rosie	Rodriguez	HUD Grantees	10/7/2024 12:28pm
178	dcordovez@mfap.com			HUD Grantees	10/7/2024 12:28pm
179	spunzalan@reliancehealthinc.org			HUD Grantees,Renewal Evaluation Provider List	10/7/2024 12:28pm

180	nbatista@safefuturesct.org	Nazmie	Batista	HUD Grantees, Renewal Evaluation Provider List	10/7/2024 12:28pm
181	lpina@safefuturesct.org	Luanna	Pina	HUD Grantees, Renewal Evaluation Provider List	10/7/2024 12:28pm
182	sheilah@trfp.org	Sheila	Hayes	HUD Grantees	10/7/2024 12:28pm
183	anne.stockton@uwsect.org			HUD Grantees	10/7/2024 12:28pm
184	aestrella@inspiricact.org			HUD Grantees	10/7/2024 12:28pm
185	dkatz@kidsin crisis.org			HUD Grantees	10/7/2024 12:28pm
186	lschlesinger@safehavenofgw.org	Lee	Schlesinger	HUD Grantees	10/7/2024 12:28pm
187	kathleen.durand@ct.gov	Katie	Durand	HUD Grantees, Steering Committee, General, YHDP	10/7/2024 12:28pm
188	mary.mcgowan@journeyhomeCT.org	Mary	McGowan	HUD Grantees, Renewal Evaluation Provider List, General, YHDP	10/7/2024 12:28pm
189	alyssa.languth@csh.org	Alyssa	Languth	HUD Grantees, Steering Committee, General, YHDP	10/7/2024 12:28pm
190	clavoie@newreach.org	Cindy	Lavoie	General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
191	kfitzgerald@uwgnh.org	Kelly	Fitzgerald	HUD Grantees, Steering Committee, General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
192	mklawiter@clrp.org	Maren	Klawiter	General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
193	pam@thehousingcollective.org	pam	ralston	HUD Grantees, Steering Committee, SNOFO Providers, General, YHDI	10/7/2024 12:28pm
194	wilsony@crtct.org	Ymonne	Wilson	SNOFO Providers, YHDP	10/7/2024 12:28pm
195	rhiannon.mccabe@accessagency.org	Rhiannon	McCabe	HUD Grantees, Steering Committee, SNOFO Providers, Renewal Evalu	10/7/2024 12:28pm
196	lborkowski@theconnectioninc.org	Lee Anne	Borkowski	HUD Grantees, Steering Committee, Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm
197	jasmine.berry@ct.gov	Jasmine	Berry	YHDP	10/7/2024 12:28pm
198	lharrison@reliancehealthinc.org			YHDP	10/7/2024 12:28pm
199	kelly.gonzalez@use.salvationarmy.org			YHDP	10/7/2024 12:28pm
200	adelgado@theconnectioninc.org			YHDP	10/7/2024 12:28pm
201	hkudisch@columbushouse.org	Hebe	Kudisch	HUD Grantees, Steering Committee, SNOFO Providers, Renewal Evalu	10/7/2024 12:28pm
202	slazarus@reliancehealthinc.org			YHDP	10/7/2024 12:28pm
203	jbrayman@reliancehealthinc.org			YHDP	10/7/2024 12:28pm
204	fowlerc@crtct.org	CARMEN	FOWLER	YHDP	10/7/2024 12:28pm
205	cwalters@noankcss.org			YHDP	10/7/2024 12:28pm
206	jean.holcomb@ct.gov	Jean	Holcomb	YHDP	10/7/2024 12:28pm
207	rortiz@theconnectioninc.org	Renee	Ortiz	YHDP	10/7/2024 12:28pm
208	lilla@columbushouse.org	Lilla	Bryan-Hemmings	HUD Grantees, Renewal Evaluation Provider List	10/7/2024 12:28pm
209	Sofia.Swaby@USE.SalvationArmy.Org			YHDP	10/7/2024 12:28pm
210	dpascua@reliancehealthinc.org	David	Pascua	HUD Grantees, Renewal Evaluation Provider List, General, YHDP	10/7/2024 12:28pm
211	ablack@columbushouse.org	Andrew	Black	YHDP	10/7/2024 12:28pm
212	cfox@columbushouse.org	Cynthia	Fox	YHDP	10/7/2024 12:28pm
213	parker.stevens@accessagency.org	Parker	Stevens	YHDP	10/7/2024 12:28pm
214	ddorman@chrhealth.org	Douglas	Dorman	YHDP	10/7/2024 12:28pm
215	astrida@trfp.org	Astrid	Aalund	YHDP	10/7/2024 12:28pm

216	tbryant@cceh.org	Tashmia	Bryant	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm
217	Rcho@cceh.org	Richard	Cho	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm
218	brenda.earle@ct.gov	Brenda	Earle	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm
219	forimogunje@cceh.org	Folashade	Orimogunje	HUD Grantees,Renewal Evaluation Provider List	10/7/2024 12:28pm
220	kcallaghan@tvcca.org	Kerry	Callaghan	HUD Grantees,Renewal Evaluation Provider List,General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
221	michaelroberson@gmail.com	Michael	Roberson	General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
222	j.dimuzio@ywcagreenwich.org	Jessie	DiMuzio	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,General,YHDP	10/7/2024 12:28pm
223	kdaisey@chrysaliscenterct.org	Kelley	Daisey	General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
224	wvalaitis@womenfamilies.org	Wayne	Valaitis	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,SNOFO Providers,General,YHDI	10/7/2024 12:28pm
225	cdyer@reliancehealthinc.org	Carrie	Dyer	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm
226	bennettjoce@dss.nyc.gov	J	bennett	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,General,YHDP	10/7/2024 12:28pm
227	karen.futoma@chfa.org	Karen	Futoma	Steering Committee	10/7/2024 12:28pm
228	kstarks@southparkinn.org	Keysha	Starks	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,General,YHDP	10/7/2024 12:28pm
229	heather.flannery@intervalhousect.org	Heather	Flannery	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,General,YHDP	10/7/2024 12:28pm
230	willie.rodriguez@use.salvationarmy.org	Willie	Rodriguez	YHDP	10/7/2024 12:28pm
231	mblount@immacare.org	Melvya	Blount	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm
232	pookab731@outlook.com	Melvya	Blount	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,General,YHDP	10/7/2024 12:28pm
233	melissa.santiago@ct.gov	Melissa	Santiago	Steering Committee	10/7/2024 12:28pm
234	david.pascua@ct.gov	David	Pascua	HUD Grantees,Renewal Evaluation Provider List,General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
235	grantsadmin@ywc Hartford.org	Sarah	Ward	HUD Grantees,Renewal Evaluation Provider List,General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
236	asabrowski@ctcadv.org	Annie	Stockton Sabrows	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm
237	bsullivan@klpgovaffairs.com	Brian	Sullivan	General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
238	paul.casanova@perceptionprograms.org	Paul	Casanova	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,General,YHDP	10/7/2024 12:28pm
239	davidh@nlhhc.org	David	Horst	General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
240	lpawlik@mercyhousingct.org	Lisa	Pawlik	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,SNOFO Providers,Renewal Eval	10/7/2024 12:28pm
241	mylesw@housinginnovations.us	Myles	Wensek	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm
242	mmormile@cmhacc.org	Marie	Mormile Mehler	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm
243	gcavallo@cmhacc.org	Grace	Cavallo	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm
244	cporcher@cmhacc.org	Christopher	Porcher	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm
245	danielle@pschousing.org	Danielle	Hubley	Steering Committee,General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
246	monika.gunning@hhchealth.org	Monika	Gunning	HUD Grantees	10/7/2024 12:28pm
247	jrouleau@newoppinc.org	Jeffrey	Rouleau	HUD Grantees,Renewal Evaluation Provider List,General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
248	ybird@southparkinn.org	Yoshi	Bird	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm
249	jsendecka@chrysaliscenterct.org	Jadwiga	Sendecka	General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
250	alopez@mhconn.org	Agustin	Lopez	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm
251	lauren@shworks.org	Lauren	Zimmermann	Steering Committee,General	10/7/2024 12:28pm

252	deborah.lawrence@ct.gov	Deborah	Lawrence	HUD Grantees	10/7/2024 12:28pm
253	jparker@tvcca.org	Jaime	Parker	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,SNOFO Providers,Renewal Evalu	10/7/2024 12:28pm
254	jbanks@southparkinn.org	Jane	Banks	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,General,YHDP	10/7/2024 12:28pm
255	kcarmelich@chrysaliscenterct.org	Kimberly	Carmelich	HUD Grantees,Renewal Evaluation Provider List,General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
256	jason.hyatt@soundct.org	Jason	Hyatt	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,General,YHDP	10/7/2024 12:28pm
257	fstolz@columbushouse.org	felicia	stolz	General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
258	ccrane@midymca.org	Candace	Crane	General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
259	ahinman@chd.org	Ashley	Hinman	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,SNOFO Providers,Renewal Evalu	10/7/2024 12:28pm
260	joanne.comstock@ct.gov	Joanne	Comstock	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
261	aguerrera@svdpmmission.org	Anthony	Guerrera	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm
262	mcremers@svdpmmission.org	Megan	Cremers	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm
263	kcapone@mercyhousingct.org	Kara	Capone	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm
264	rbeach@cceh.org	Ryan	Beach	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm
265	sharon.redfern@cornerstone-cares.org	Sharon	Redfern	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
266	hardink@chc1.com	Kathleen	Harding	Steering Committee,General,YHDP	10/7/2024 12:28pm
267	kmillier@chrysaliscenterct.org	Kimberly	May-Miller	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm
268	ksholomicky@mhconn.org	Kaylynn	Sholomicky	HUD Grantees,Renewal Evaluation Provider List,General,YHDP	10/7/2024 12:28pm
269	jenn.kirchmeier@cornerstone-cares.org	Jennifer	Kirchmeier	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,General,YHDP	10/7/2024 12:28pm
270	lisa.cretella@use.salvationarmy.org	Lisa	Cretella	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,General,YHDP	10/7/2024 12:28pm
271	acaruso@chrysaliscenterct.org	Angie	Caruso	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm
272	rosemaryf@sistersplacect.org			Steering Committee,General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
273	cbiscette@mercyhousingct.org			Steering Committee,General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
274	awhite@housinginnovations.us	Andrea	White	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,General,YHDP	10/7/2024 12:28pm
275	andrea.white.ny@gmail.com	Andrea	White	HUD Grantees	10/7/2024 12:28pm
276	maryellen@svidmiddletown.org	MARYELLEN	M SHUCKEROW	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,SNOFO Providers,Renewal Evalu	10/7/2024 12:28pm
277	carline@pschousing.org	Carline	Charmelus	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm
278	grants@newreach.org	T-J	Ciocca	YHDP	10/7/2024 12:28pm
279	flee@safefuturesct.org	Fenty	Lee	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,SNOFO Providers,Renewal Evalu	10/7/2024 12:28pm
280	hfish@usmhs.org	Holly	Fish	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm
281	sandy.midura@use.salvationarmy.org	Sandy	Midura	HUD Grantees,Renewal Evaluation Provider List,General,YHDP	10/7/2024 12:28pm
282	mmiddleton@columbushouse.org	Margaret	Middleton	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm
283	tbruff@immacare.org	tahira	bruff	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
284	jcaraballosvdp@comcast.net	Jessica	Caraballo	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm
285	dmcbride@chrysaliscenterct.org	Denetra	McBride	General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
286	esoucy@chrhealth.org	Emily	Soucy	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm
287	lmarmolejos@chnct.org	Luz	Marmolejos	HUD Grantees	10/7/2024 12:28pm

288	nsmith@chrysaliscenterct.org	Nicole	Smith	HUD Grantees, Renewal Evaluation Provider List, General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
289	layotte@safehavenofgw.org	lori	ayotte	HUD Grantees, General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
290	teenah@trfp.org	TEENA	HAYES	General, YHDP	10/7/2024 12:28pm
291	afreeman@cceh.org	Amber	Freeman	HUD Grantees, Steering Committee, Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm
292	pzakarian@abhct.com	paul	zakarian	General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
293	alecours@reliancehealthinc.org	Alisa	Lecours	HUD Grantees, Renewal Evaluation Provider List, YHDP	10/7/2024 12:28pm
294	mlefever@uwgnh.org	Margaret	LeFever	HUD Grantees, Steering Committee, Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm
295	robert.bongiolatti@ct.gov	Robert	Bongiolatti	HUD Grantees, Steering Committee, General, YHDP	10/7/2024 12:28pm
296	cwc1646@gmail.com	Crane W	Cesario	HUD Grantees, Steering Committee, General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
297	julia.flores@accessagency.org	Julia	Flores	HUD Grantees, Steering Committee, Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm
298	aroldan@newoppinc.org	Anthony	Roldan	HUD Grantees, Steering Committee, Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm
299	obrown@newoppinc.org	Ola	Brown	General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
300	bshultz@bethelmilford.org	Bianca	Shultz	HUD Grantees, Steering Committee, General, YHDP	10/7/2024 12:28pm
301	rsaintvil@bethelmilford.org	Ruth	Menard	HUD Grantees, Steering Committee, General, YHDP	10/7/2024 12:28pm
302	kkeller@alwayshome.org	Kathryn	Keller	HUD Grantees, Steering Committee, General, YHDP	10/7/2024 12:28pm
303	sonya.jelks@csh.org	Sonya	Jelks	HUD Grantees, Steering Committee, Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm
304	kimberlynardone@gmail.com	Kimberly	Nardone	HUD Grantees, General, YHDP	10/7/2024 12:28pm
305	abbym@housinginnovations.us	Abby	Miller	HUD Grantees, Steering Committee, Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm
306	sofina.begum@journeyhomect.org	Sofina	Begum	General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
307	jremmey@mhconn.org	Jessica	Remmey	HUD Grantees, Steering Committee, Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm
308	chris.venable@journeyhomect.org	Chris	Venable	HUD Grantees, Steering Committee, Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm
309	bbonds@chrysaliscenterct.org	Brian	Bonds	HUD Grantees, Steering Committee, Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm
310	tabitha.wolchesky@soundct.org	Tabitha	Wolchesky	HUD Grantees, Steering Committee, General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
311	lisa.moon@soundct.org	Lisa	Moon	HUD Grantees, Steering Committee, Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm
312	agordon@mercyhousingct.org	Amanda	Gordon	HUD Grantees, Steering Committee, SNOFO Providers, Renewal Evali	10/7/2024 12:28pm
313	emma.king@accessagency.org	Emma	King	HUD Grantees, Steering Committee, SNOFO Providers, Renewal Evali	10/7/2024 12:28pm
314	lucianad@ywcahartford.org	Luciana	DeGray	HUD Grantees, General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
315	jmerz@act-ct.org	John	Merz	HUD Grantees, Renewal Evaluation Provider List	10/7/2024 12:28pm
316	emcfolley@theopenhearth.org	Elijah	McFolley III	HUD Grantees, Steering Committee, General, YHDP	10/7/2024 12:28pm
317	rlyas@southparkinn.org	Rebekah	Lyas	HUD Grantees, Steering Committee, SNOFO Providers, General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
318	jcorrea@immacare.org	Janie	Correa	HUD Grantees, Steering Committee, Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm
319	dhall@usmhs.org	Demetrice	Hall	HUD Grantees, Renewal Evaluation Provider List	10/7/2024 12:28pm
320	kiya.kennebrew@csh.org	Kiya	Kennebrew	Steering Committee, General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
321	stephanie.lazarus@csh.org	Stephanie	Lazarus	Steering Committee, General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
322	kyren.mccrorey@use.salvationarmy.org	Kyren	McCrorey	HUD Grantees, Steering Committee, Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm
323	crose@fsc-ct.org	Caitlin	Rose	HUD Grantees, Steering Committee, Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm

324	amitkevicius17@gmail.com	Anna	Smith	Steering Committee,General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
325	amaitland@fsc-ct.org	April	Maitland	General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
326	rlemanski@prudencecrandall.org	Rebecca	Lemanski	Steering Committee,General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
327	sarah.pavone@journeyhomect.org	Sarah	Pavone	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,SNOFO Providers,Renewal Evalu	10/7/2024 12:28pm
328	lhumbert@libertycs.org	Lydia	Humbert	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm
329	sviolante@cca-ct.org	Stacey	Violante Cote	Steering Committee,General,YHDP	10/7/2024 12:28pm
330	kellie@svidmiddletown.org	Kellie	Robbins	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm
331	lindsay@shworks.org	Lindsay	Fabrizio	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,SNOFO Providers,General,YHDI	10/7/2024 12:28pm
332	tina@nutmegit.com	Tina	Cormier	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,General,YHDP	10/7/2024 12:28pm
333	dbencivengo@chrysaliscenterct.org	Deanna	Bencivengo	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm
334	nhilton@newoppinc.org	Nichelle	hilton	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm
335	mrussell@fellowshipplace.org	Melissa	Russell	General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
336	dina.hill@ccfc-ct.org	Dina	Hill	General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
337	deborah.boulet@accessagency.org	Deborah	Boulet	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm
338	shurley@usmhs.org	Steve	Hurley	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm
339	lynette.sparkman@hhchealth.org	Lynnette	Sparkman-McLau	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm
340	ystephen@fsc-ct.org	Yeharar	Stephen	HUD Grantees,Renewal Evaluation Provider List,General,YHDP	10/7/2024 12:28pm
341	zhernandez@chrysaliscenterct.org	Zaida	Hernandez	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm
342	director@covenantshelternl.org	Peggy	Miceli	Steering Committee	10/7/2024 12:28pm
343	bcomerford@leeway.net	Brenda	Comerford	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,General,YHDP	10/7/2024 12:28pm
344	mcabanas@bhcare.org	Mayra	Cabanas	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm
345	kvaill@townofwinchester.org	Katie	Vaill	General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
346	kcrafft@newoppinc.org	Kelly	Craft	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm
347	fitzgibbons.ryan22@gmail.com	Ryan	Fitzgibbons	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,General,YHDP	10/7/2024 12:28pm
348	alebron@svidpmission.org	Amanda	Lebron	HUD Grantees,Renewal Evaluation Provider List,General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
349	felicity.eles@csh.org	Felicity	Eles	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
350	slambert@chrhealth.org	Sheryl	Lambert	HUD Grantees,Renewal Evaluation Provider List,General,YHDP	10/7/2024 12:28pm
351	brett.sandman@use.salvationarmy.org	Brett	Sandman	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm
352	mollie.machado@ct.gov	Mollie	Machado	HUD Grantees,SNOFO Providers,Renewal Evaluation Provider List,C	10/7/2024 12:28pm
353	rgrant@newreach.org	Randy	Grant	HUD Grantees,Renewal Evaluation Provider List,General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
354	tchirsky@newoppinc.org	Tanya	Chirsky	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,SNOFO Providers,Renewal Evalu	10/7/2024 12:28pm
355	afeeley@newreach.org	Allison	Feeley	HUD Grantees,Renewal Evaluation Provider List,General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
356	tachica@nutmegit.com	Tachica	Murray	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,General,YHDP	10/7/2024 12:28pm
357	vjones@libertycs.org	Victor	Jones	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm
358	diamond.lovette804@gmail.com	Diamomd	Lovette	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,General,YHDP	10/7/2024 12:28pm
359	dellavalle8173@gmail.com	Christine	DellaValle	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,General,YHDP	10/7/2024 12:28pm

360	pschmitz@cceh.org	Paul	Schmitz	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,General,YHDP	10/7/2024 12:28pm
361	donna@nlhhc.org	Donna	Russo	HUD Grantees,Renewal Evaluation Provider List,General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
362	kagosto@fsc-ct.org	Kassandra	Agosto	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm
363	kconforti@chrhealth.org	Katie	Conforti	HUD Grantees,Renewal Evaluation Provider List,General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
364	lghio@northwesthillscog.org	LEONARDO	GHIO	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,General,YHDP	10/7/2024 12:28pm
365	kathleen@kazanasstrategies.com	Kathy	Hunter	HUD Grantees	10/7/2024 12:28pm
366	mpaulemon@bhcare.org	Marie	Paulemon	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm
367	tracy.radden@use.salvationarmy.org	Tracy	Radden	YHDP	10/7/2024 12:28pm
368	jrivera@cccymca.org	Jadette	Rivera	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,General,YHDP	10/7/2024 12:28pm
369	jpierce@ctcadv.org	Leaha	Hall	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,General,YHDP	10/7/2024 12:28pm
370	sarahs@ywcahartford.org	Sarah	Szczebak	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm
371	anniestockton2@hotmail.com	Annie	Stockton	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,General,YHDP	10/7/2024 12:28pm
372	nadine.malone@journeyhomeect.org	Nadine	Malone	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm
373	orinkes@hotmail.com	liv	r	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm
374	czall@nlhhc.org	Catherine	Zall	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,SNOFO Providers,Renewal Evalu	10/7/2024 12:28pm
375	msantiago@svdpmmission.org	Megan	Santiago	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm
376	jscharnberg@northwestcf.org	Julie	Scharnberg	Steering Committee,General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
377	eserio@deskct.org	Evan	Serio	HUD Grantees,SNOFO Providers,General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
378	floranne@hfhsccommunity.org	Floranne	Rawolle	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm
379	ryan@hfhsccommunity.org	Ryan	Fitzgibbons	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,General,YHDP	10/7/2024 12:28pm
380	eoakes@cliffordbeers.org	Emily	Oakes	HUD Grantees,General,YHDP	10/7/2024 12:28pm
381	ggrollon@newoppinc.org	Gawdys	Grollon	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm
382	agarcia@cmhacc.org	Amanda	Garcia	HUD Grantees,Renewal Evaluation Provider List,General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
383	malvarez@fsc-ct.org	Melanie	Alvarez	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm
384	nsmith@prudencecrandall.org	Nicole	Smith	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm
385	crich@newreach.org	Cara	Rich	General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
386	eerussell@theconnectioninc.org	Erin	Russell	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm
387	chbishop@chd.org	Christina	Bishop	General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
388	creynolds@columbushouse.org	Carl	Reynolds	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm
389	alavoie@cmhacc.org	Ann	Lavoie	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm
390	sboyce@handsonhartford.org	Stephanie	Boyce	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,General,YHDP	10/7/2024 12:28pm
391	mabney@immcare.org	Mercedez	Abney	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm
392	ptang@chd.org	Paul	Tang	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,SNOFO Providers,Renewal Evalu	10/7/2024 12:28pm
393	tania.banks.icr@gmail.com	Tania	Banks	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,General,YHDP	10/7/2024 12:28pm
394	aleena.durant@gmail.com			Steering Committee,General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
395	rdenise1919@gmail.com			Steering Committee,General	10/7/2024 12:28pm

396	ngalella@gmail.com			Steering Committee,General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
397	ebonybeall@yahoo.com			Steering Committee,General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
398	tsamuel2569@gmail.com	Tracy	Samuel	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,General,YHDP	10/7/2024 12:28pm
399	sarruda@chd.org	Samantha	Arruda	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,SNOFO Providers,Renewal Evalu	10/7/2024 12:28pm
400	kgiurintano@usmhs.org	Kaitlyn	Giurintano	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm
401	maryannburns89@gmail.com	Maryann	Burns	General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
402	genea124@gmail.com	GENEA	STEPHENSON	Steering Committee	10/7/2024 12:28pm
403	leeshep424@gmail.com	LEE	SHEPERD	General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
404	jriver@nhlegal.org	Johanetsy	Rivera	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,General,YHDP	10/7/2024 12:28pm
405	uduake@trfp.org			HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm
406	tbooker@nlhhc.org	Tara	Booker	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm
407	jaleec1203@gmail.com	Jamie	Main	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,General,YHDP	10/7/2024 12:28pm
408	geri.harrison@journeyhomect.org	Gerilyn	Harrison	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm
409	nstone@chrhealth.org	Nordia	Stone	HUD Grantees,Renewal Evaluation Provider List,General,YHDP	10/7/2024 12:28pm
410	aguerrera@fsc-ct.org	Anthony	Guerrera	HUD Grantees,Renewal Evaluation Provider List,General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
411	mvassell@mercyhousingct.org	Marcus	Vassell	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm
412	angel.cotto@icrweb.org			Steering Committee,General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
413	llawsonscott33@gmail.com			Steering Committee,General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
414	richardcoleman158@gmail.com			HUD Grantees,General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
415	natmazzone@gmail.com			Steering Committee,General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
416	ahebert@chd.org	Anthony	Hebert	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm
417	modoi@wheelerclinic.org	Magdalin	Odoi	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,General,YHDP	10/7/2024 12:28pm
418	mabney@immacare.org	Mercedez	Abney	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm
419	tbruff@cceh.org	Tahira	Bruff	General,YHDP	10/7/2024 12:28pm
420	jvitarelli@ctcadv.org	Joanne	Vitarelli	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm
421	phylicia.adams@csh.org	Phylicia	Adams	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,General,YHDP	10/7/2024 12:28pm
422	lstarkes@columbushouse.org	Leslie	Starkes	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm
423	aevans@cmhacc.org	Angela	Evans	General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
424	cpollifrone@fellowshipplace.org	Christine	Pollifrone	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,General,YHDP	10/7/2024 12:28pm
425	patricia.gilbanks@nuvancehealth.org	Patricia	Gilbanks	General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
426	anastacia.woolcock@journeyhomect.org	Anastacia	Woolcock	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm
427	rgordils@newoppinc.org	Rosaly	Gordils	General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
428	kmitchell@sistersplacect.org	Kimberly	Mitchell	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm
429	yonique.hendricks@ct.gov	Yonique	Hendricks	HUD Grantees,General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
430	kimberly.beach@ct.gov	Kimberly	Beach	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,SNOFO Providers,General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
431	bcolbert@act-ct.org	Brennden	Colbert	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm

432	mdiaz@act-ct.org	Maria	Diaz	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm
433	jonm@martinhousect.org	Jon	Maderia	Steering Committee,General,YHDP	10/7/2024 12:28pm
434	1phoenixcb@gmail.com	Vaniel	Tate	General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
435	jhiggins@chd.org	Jennifer	Higgins	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,SNOFO Providers,Renewal Evalu	10/7/2024 12:28pm
436	tjohnson@ctcadv.org	Tonya	Johnson	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm
437	amietrz@gmail.com			Steering Committee	10/7/2024 12:28pm
438	tracie.douglas@ct.gov	Tracie	Douglas	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm
439	cogbf.ministries@gmail.com	Nelta	Glaudin	HUD Grantees,General,YHDP	10/7/2024 12:28pm
440	jdowner@youthcontinuum.org	Jasene	Downer	HUD Grantees,Renewal Evaluation Provider List,General,YHDP	10/7/2024 12:28pm
441	jscherb@uwgnh.org	Julia	Scherb	HUD Grantees,Renewal Evaluation Provider List,General,YHDP	10/7/2024 12:28pm
442	iheredia@usmhs.org	Ignacio	Heredia	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm
443	liztorres@housingsmarts.com	Elizabeth	Torres	General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
444	nichele.carver@usich.gov	Nichele	Carver	HUD Grantees,General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
445	lcretella@nbhact.org	Lisa	Cretella	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,General,YHDP	10/7/2024 12:28pm
446	sylvon@interfaitharc.org	Sylvon	Griffin	General,YHDP	10/7/2024 12:28pm
447	candice.capotorto@carelon.com	Candice	Capotorto	General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
448	mjohnston@newreach.org	Maggie	Johnston	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,SNOFO Providers,Renewal Evalu	10/7/2024 12:28pm
449	cindy.hernandez1@ct.gov	Cindy	Hernandez	HUD Grantees	10/7/2024 12:28pm
450	aferguson@chrhealth.org	Anne-Marie	Ferguson	HUD Grantees,Renewal Evaluation Provider List	10/7/2024 12:28pm
451	cbeltre@columbushouse.org	Caroline	Beltre	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm
452	isabela@interfaitharc.org	Isabela	Lamorte	General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
453	lfranceshi@bhcare.org	Lesette	Franceshi	General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
454	kingraham@columbushouse.org	Karen	Ingraham	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm
455	jcaraballo@svdpofbristol.com	Jessica	Caraballo	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm
456	lmaisonet@fsc-ct.org	Ladine	Maisonet	General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
457	kara.zichichi@ct.gov	Kara	Zichichi	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,SNOFO Providers,Renewal Evalu	10/7/2024 12:28pm
458	smoscariello@libertycs.org	Silvia	Moscariello	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,SNOFO Providers,Renewal Evalu	10/7/2024 12:28pm
459	mmiddleton@columbushouse.org	Margaret	Middleton	HUD Grantees,SNOFO Providers,Renewal Evaluation Provider List,Y	10/7/2024 12:28pm
460	abaker@youthcontinuum.org	Ann	Baker	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,Renewal Evaluation Provider Lis	10/7/2024 12:28pm
461	sarahj@nlhhc.org	Sarah	Jarrett	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,SNOFO Providers,Renewal Evalu	10/7/2024 12:28pm
462	brahamatullah@columbushouse.org	Bibi	Rahamatullah	HUD Grantees,SNOFO Providers,Renewal Evaluation Provider List,C	10/7/2024 12:28pm
463	tmendez@hwhct.org	Tykeisha	Mendez	YHDP	10/7/2024 12:28pm
464	crobalino@ctcadv.org	Carlos	Robalino	HUD Grantees,General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
465	ccasey@fsc-ct.org	Catellia	Casey	HUD Grantees,Renewal Evaluation Provider List,General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
466	krigarvey@ihcda.in.gov	Kristin	Garvey	Steering Committee,General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
467	leticiasepulveda@housingsmarts.com	Leticia	Sepulveda	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,SNOFO Providers,General,YHDI	10/7/2024 12:28pm

468	cwills@hfgp.org	Cynthia	Wills	General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
469	rosadoi@crtct.org	Imani	Rosado	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,SNOFO Providers,Renewal Eval	10/7/2024 12:28pm
470	michaelmoore2023@myyahoo.com			Steering Committee	10/7/2024 12:28pm
471	jaboa1@unh.newhaven.edu			Steering Committee	10/7/2024 12:28pm
472	tqimaribanks@gmail.com	Tania	Banks	Steering Committee	10/7/2024 12:28pm
473	jakenjentwins14@gmail.com	Sonia	Soto	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,SNOFO Providers,General,YHDI	10/7/2024 12:28pm
474	hlindell1217@gmail.com			Steering Committee	10/7/2024 12:28pm
475	tayna.castillo@uconn.edu			Steering Committee	10/7/2024 12:28pm
476	ademusis@columbushouse.org	Aimee	DeMusis	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,SNOFO Providers,Renewal Eval	10/7/2024 12:28pm
477	wmoble@dungarvin.com	Willie	Moble	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,SNOFO Providers,General,YHDI	10/7/2024 12:28pm
478	phillip.e.mckeough@hud.gov	Phillip	McKeough	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,SNOFO Providers,General,YHDI	10/7/2024 12:28pm
479	rissa.c@outlook.com	Larissa	Cuevas	HUD Grantees,General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
480	hsmith@bethelmidford.org	Hannah	Smith	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,SNOFO Providers,General,YHDI	10/7/2024 12:28pm
481	jane@svdmiddletown.org	Jane	Johnson	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,SNOFO Providers,General,YHDI	10/7/2024 12:28pm
482	egrochowska@svdpofbristol.com	Ewa	Grochowska	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,SNOFO Providers,General,YHDI	10/7/2024 12:28pm
483	ypotter@ctcadv.org	Yolanda Potter	Potter	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,SNOFO Providers,General,YHDI	10/7/2024 12:28pm
484	john.e.thomas@ct.gov	John	Thomas	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,SNOFO Providers,General,YHDI	10/7/2024 12:28pm
485	beth@endsexualviolencect.org			Steering Committee	10/7/2024 12:28pm
486	kenisha@endsexualviolencect.org			Steering Committee	10/7/2024 12:28pm
487	mprobert@cmhacc.org	Michael	Probert	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,SNOFO Providers,General,YHDI	10/7/2024 12:28pm
488	pwagner@cceh.org	Paul	Wagner	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,SNOFO Providers,General,YHDI	10/7/2024 12:28pm
489	nparulekar@cceh.org	Nehali	Parulekar	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,SNOFO Providers,General,YHDI	10/7/2024 12:28pm
490	aking@allianceforliving.org	Abigail	King	HUD Grantees,SNOFO Providers,YHDP	10/7/2024 12:28pm
491	elder.chasity@yahoo.com	Chasity	Elder	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,SNOFO Providers,General,YHDI	10/7/2024 12:28pm
492	pschmitz@nutmegit.com	Paul	Schmitz	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,SNOFO Providers,General,YHDI	10/7/2024 12:28pm
493	cmartinez@ctcadv.org	Cindy	Martinez	HUD Grantees,General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
494	triepe@sistersplacect.org	Taylor	Riepe	HUD Grantees,General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
495	sbarbaro@nlhhc.org	Angela	Spinnato	HUD Grantees,SNOFO Providers	10/7/2024 12:28pm
496	dawn@dawnfish.com	Dawn	Fish	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,SNOFO Providers,General,YHDI	10/7/2024 12:28pm
497	trodriguez@newoppinc.org	Tabitha	Rodriguez	HUD Grantees,General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
498	janine.hewitt@use.salvationarmy.org	Janine	Hewitt	HUD Grantees,YHDP	10/7/2024 12:28pm
499	danielle.darigan@accessagency.org	Danielle	Darigan	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,SNOFO Providers,General,YHDI	10/7/2024 12:28pm
500	acerruto@sbaproject.org	Ashley	Cerruto	General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
501	jpierre@newreach.org	Jeff	Pierre	General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
502	theresa.rivera@ct.gov			Steering Committee	10/7/2024 12:28pm
503	joy.zavarella@ct.gov			Steering Committee	10/7/2024 12:28pm

504	heriberto.cajigas@ct.gov			Steering Committee	10/7/2024 12:28pm
505	rashayne@gmail.com	Rashayne	Brae	General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
506	kgonzalez@southparkinn.org	Kelly	Gonzalez	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,SNOFO Providers,General,YHDI	10/7/2024 12:28pm
507	dpadilla@ccfc-ct.org	Des	padilla	General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
508	lserrano@sistersplacect.org	Luz	Serrano	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,SNOFO Providers,General,YHDI	10/7/2024 12:28pm
509	jfletcher@chrysaliscenterct.org	Jill	Fletcher	General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
510	william.seals@ct.gov			Steering Committee	10/7/2024 12:28pm
511	jennifer.moriarty@va.gov			Steering Committee	10/7/2024 12:28pm
512	theresa.rivera@ct.go			Steering Committee	10/7/2024 12:28pm
513	rrioux@apexcc.org	Rebecca	Rioux	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
514	ggonzalez@cccymca.org	Gigi	Gonzalez	HUD Grantees,General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
515	mannstiffany52@gmail.com	Tiffany	Manns	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,SNOFO Providers,General,YHDI	10/7/2024 12:28pm
516	jeanette.flores@ct.gov	Jeanette	Flores	General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
517	patricia.mccreanor@ct.gov	Patricia J.	McCreanor	HUD Grantees,General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
518	barbara.greenflood@csh.org	Barbara	M Green-Flood	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,General,YHDP	10/7/2024 12:28pm
519	ggrullo@newoppinc.org	Gawdys	Grullon	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,SNOFO Providers,General,YHDI	10/7/2024 12:28pm
520	assistantdirectorhs@windhamct.com	Liz	Myers	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,SNOFO Providers,General,YHDI	10/7/2024 12:28pm
521	mradhay@casaincct.org	Melissa	Radhay	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,SNOFO Providers,General,YHDI	10/7/2024 12:28pm
522	mguerrera@fellowshipplace.org	Mary	Guerrera	General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
523	gfernandez@newneighborhoods.org	Gema	Fernandez	HUD Grantees,SNOFO Providers,General,YHDP	10/7/2024 12:28pm
524	smithj@crtct.org	Jeanisha	Smith	SNOFO Providers,General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
525	dominiqua.garry@journeyhomect.org	Dominiqua	Garry	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,SNOFO Providers,General,YHDI	10/7/2024 12:28pm
526	jennifer.burke@use.salvationarmy.org	Jennifer	Burke	HUD Grantees,General,YHDP	10/7/2024 12:28pm
527	asanchez@ccfc-ct.org	Amanda	Sanchez	General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
528	stacey.mirant@irnpinc.org	stacey	miranti	General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
529	tortolaza@cmhacc.org	Thais	Ortolaza	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,SNOFO Providers,General,YHDI	10/7/2024 12:28pm
530	plipp@ccfc-ct.org	Paul	Lipp	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
531	mvallo@ccfc-ct.org	Matt	Vallo	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,SNOFO Providers,General,YHDI	10/7/2024 12:28pm
532	michael.aiello@jud.ct.gov			Steering Committee	10/7/2024 12:28pm
533	annette.buckley@ctunitedway.org			Steering Committee	10/7/2024 12:28pm
534	zahinamorales@gmail.com	Zahina	Crespo-Morales	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,SNOFO Providers,General,YHDI	10/7/2024 12:28pm
535	dlangois@allianceforliving.org	Dorie	Langlois	General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
536	tool4everyneed@gmail.com	Kevin	Sabo	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,SNOFO Providers,General,YHDI	10/7/2024 12:28pm
537	netzabilie.jimenez@use.salvationarmy.org	Netzabilie	Jimenez	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,SNOFO Providers,General,YHDI	10/7/2024 12:28pm
538	sheryl.horowitz@hartford.gov	sheryl	horowitz	HUD Grantees,General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
539	swilliams@cceh.org	Samm	Williams	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,SNOFO Providers,General,YHDI	10/7/2024 12:28pm

540	kimberly.nardone@journeyhomect.org	Kimberly	Nardone	HUD Grantees,General,YHDP	10/7/2024 12:28pm
541	michael.labianca@jud.ct.gov			Steering Committee	10/7/2024 12:28pm
542	ronette.daniels@ctunitedway.org			Steering Committee	10/7/2024 12:28pm
543	afox@columbushouse.org	Alex	Fox	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,General,YHDP	10/7/2024 12:28pm
544	tcunningham@alwayshome.org	Tricia	Cunningham	General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
545	evez@housingsolutionstulsa.org	Erin	Velez	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,SNOFO Providers,General,YHDI	10/7/2024 12:28pm
546	michaelv@trfp.org	MICHAEL	VAZ	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,SNOFO Providers,General,YHDI	10/7/2024 12:28pm
547	jaysepayton2007@gmail.com			Steering Committee	10/7/2024 12:28pm
548	camimaelynn@gmail.com			Steering Committee	10/7/2024 12:28pm
549	adesrosiers@tvcca.org	Amanda	Desrosiers	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,SNOFO Providers,General,YHDI	10/7/2024 12:28pm
550	aogle@cmhacc.org	Amy	Ogle	General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
551	jsteranko@newreach.org	Jason	Steranko	HUD Grantees,SNOFO Providers,General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
552	bh@cewadvisors.com	Brian	Hull	HUD Grantees,General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
553	jperrin@apexcc.org	Judith	Perrin	HUD Grantees,SNOFO Providers,General	10/7/2024 12:28pm
554	maria.albino@tcbinc.org	MARIA	ALBINO	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,SNOFO Providers,General,YHDI	10/7/2024 12:28pm
555	ethibaudeau@operationpathways.org	Eva	Thibaudeau Gracz	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,SNOFO Providers,General,YHDI	10/7/2024 12:28pm
556	mdubay@chd.org			Steering Committee	10/7/2024 12:28pm
557	erin@love146.org			Steering Committee	10/7/2024 12:28pm
558	tamarra@love146.org			Steering Committee	10/7/2024 12:28pm
559	alexys.gaudette@accessagency.org	Alexys	Gaudette	HUD Grantees,General,YHDP	10/7/2024 12:28pm
560	saraz@housinginnovations.org	Sara	Zuiderveen	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,SNOFO Providers,General,YHDI	10/7/2024 12:28pm
561	dream@catherinecaban.com	Catherine	Cabana	HUD Grantees,Steering Committee,SNOFO Providers,General,YHDI	10/7/2024 12:28pm

P. 1 of 2024 Local Competition Selection Results posted to CT BOS Website

chrome-extension://efaidnbmnnpbpcjpcglclefindmkaj/https://www.ctbos.org/wp-content/uploads/FINAL-RANKING-REPORT-2024-NOFO.pdf

Grantium™ Microsoft Office Ho... New Tab Adobe Acrobat

rt E-Sign

ctbos.org / FINAL-R...024-NOFO

Share

AI Assistant

Local Competition Selection Results

Applicant Name	Project Name	Score	Ranked	Status	Amount Requested from HUD	Reallocated Funds
Thames Valley Council for Community Action, Inc.	Homeless Collaborative Network	100.00	1	Accepted	\$ 851,085	
Liberty Community Services, Inc.	Liberty Consolidated Scattered Site Housing	100.00	2	Accepted	\$ 681,263	
Community Renewal Team, Inc.	2024 CRT Project Teach	100.00	3	Accepted	\$ 549,304	
Windham Regional Community Council	Project Home C	100.00	4	Accepted	\$ 511,248	
CT Department of Mental Health and Addiction Services	CT0073 Manchester CHR Rental Assistance	100.00	5	Accepted	\$ 382,054	
St. Vincent DePaul Mission of Waterbury, Inc.	Society of Support (SOS)	100.00	6	Accepted	\$ 376,089	
CT Department of Mental Health and Addiction Services	CT0061 CHR PSH	100.00	7	Accepted	\$ 336,091	
CT Department of Mental Health and Addiction Services	CT0011 New Haven Columbus House Sojourners	100.00	8	Accepted	\$ 257,651	
CT Department of Mental Health and Addiction Services	CT0135 Hartford CHR Soromundi Commons	100.00	9	Accepted	\$ 243,155	
Connecticut Department of Housing	CT0278 Youth Continuum Supportive Housing Project	100.00	10	Accepted	\$ 243,128	
Alliance for Living	Alliance for Living-Supportive Housing Program - Renewal Application FY 2024	100.00	11	Accepted	\$ 184,428	
YWCA Hartford Region, Inc.	Soromundi Commons Supportive Housing	100.00	12	Accepted	\$ 181,707	
CT Department of Mental Health and Addiction Services	CT0142 Torrington Mental Health CT Rental Assistance	100.00	13	Accepted	\$ 181,631	
Friendship Service Center, Inc.	Arch Street Housing	100.00	14	Accepted	\$ 159,839	
Youth Continuum, Inc.	CT0351 Youth Permanent Supportive Housing	100.00	15	Accepted	\$ 96,862	
CT Department of Mental Health and Addiction Services	CT0176 Norwich New London Rental Assistance Boswell	100.00	16	Accepted	\$ 45,500	
CT Department of Mental Health and Addiction Services	CT0164 New Haven Rental Assistance	95.00	17	Accepted	\$3,408,697	
Community Renewal Team, Inc.	2024 CRT H-PASS	95.00	18	Accepted	\$ 686,756	
CT Department of Mental Health and Addiction Services	CT0070 Meriden Wallingford Rushford Rental Assistance	95.00	19	Accepted	\$ 657,020	
CT Department of Mental Health and Addiction Services	CT0089 Norwich New London Rental Assistance	95.00	20	Accepted	\$ 624,160	
CT Department of Mental Health and Addiction Services	CT0062 BHCare Rental Assistance	95.00	21	Accepted	\$ 570,711	
CT Department of Mental Health and Addiction Services	CT0200 Torrington Rental Assistance WHO	95.00	22	Accepted	\$ 356,306	
Liberty Community Services, Inc.	Liberty Consolidated Rapid Rehousing	95.00	23	Accepted	\$ 344,060	
CT Department of Mental Health and Addiction Services	CT0054 Middletown Rental Assistance	95.00	24	Accepted	\$ 329,096	
My Sisters' Place, Inc.	Permanent Supportive Housing at MSP	95.00	25	Accepted	\$ 305,534	
St. Vincent DePaul Place, Middletown, Inc.	SVD Middletown SHP	95.00	26	Accepted	\$ 232,056	
CT Department of Mental Health and Addiction Services	CT0023 Hartford Mary Seymour Place	95.00	27	Accepted	\$ 227,957	
CT Department of Mental Health and Addiction Services	CT0053 Middletown The Connection	95.00	28	Accepted	\$ 204,845	
CT Department of Mental Health and Addiction Services	CT0013 New Haven Cedar Hill	95.00	29	Accepted	\$ 196,067	
Safe Futures, Inc.	Phoenix House Transitional Housing Program	95.00	30	Accepted	\$ 51,596	
Holy Family Home and Shelter, Inc.	Homes Plus	95.00		Fully Reallocated	\$ -	\$ (157,962)
Connecticut Department of Housing	CT0306 CT BOS DOH PSH 1	93.45	31	Accepted	\$ 944,151	
Friendship Service Center, Inc.	TLP	92.00	32	Accepted	\$ 210,007	
Thames River Community Service Inc.	Thames River Family Program	92.00	33	Accepted	\$ 195,983	
CT Department of Mental Health and Addiction Services	CT0297 Pendleton PSH	90.00	34	Accepted	\$ 211,712	

Search

1:41 PM
10/7/2024

P. 2 of 2024 Local Competition Selection Results posted to CT BOS Website

edit, convert, sign tools chrome-extension://efaidnbmninnbpcjpcglclefindmkaj/https://www.ctbos.org/wp-content/uploads/FINAL-RANKING-REPORT-2024-NOFO.pdf

Grantium™ Microsoft Office Ho... New Tab Adobe Acrobat

E-Sign

ctbos.org / FINAL-R...024-NOFO

Search, Print, Download, Share icons

Share

AI Assistant

Sig

CT Department of Mental Health and Addiction Services	CT0012 New Haven Lucht Hall	90.00	35	Accepted	\$ 164,603
Safe Futures, Inc.	Flora O'Neil Apartments	90.00	36	Accepted	\$ 104,167
New Opportunities Inc.	Meriden SHP	90.00	37	Accepted	\$ 50,644
CT Department of Mental Health and Addiction Services	CT0340 DMHAS BOS PSH 2019	87.83	38	Accepted	\$1,063,740
CT Department of Mental Health and Addiction Services	CT0265 BOS DMHAS 2014	85.92	39	Accepted	\$3,198,097
Connecticut Department of Housing	CT0330 CT BOS CCADV RRH	85.00	40	Accepted	\$3,849,308
Columbus House, Inc	CT0171 CHI Consolidated SHP FY2024	85.00	41	Accepted	\$1,058,836
Community Renewal Team, Inc.	2024 CRT PSH Condolitated	85.00	42	Accepted	\$ 988,243
Friendship Service Center, Inc.	PEAK	85.00	43	Accepted	\$ 641,164
CT Department of Mental Health and Addiction Services	CT0151 Waterbury Housing Plus	85.00	44	Accepted	\$ 450,163
Chrysalis Center, Inc.	CT0139 Hartford Chrysalis Supportive Housing for Veterans	85.00	45	Accepted	\$ 358,061
CT Department of Mental Health and Addiction Services	CT0077 Windham United Services Brick Row	85.00	46	Accepted	\$ 150,927
CT Department of Mental Health and Addiction Services	CT0172 Hartford Sue Ann Shay Place	85.00	47	Accepted	\$ 133,761
CT Department of Mental Health and Addiction Services	CT0286 BOS DMHAS 2015	83.17	48	Accepted	\$2,263,693
CT Department of Mental Health and Addiction Services	CT0161 New Britain CMHA Rental Assistance	80.00	49	Accepted	\$1,037,445
New Opportunities Inc.	Freedom Walk	80.00	50	Accepted	\$ 478,362
Prudence Crandall Center, Inc.	Permanent Supportive Housing for people with disabilities Rosehill	80.00	51	Accepted	\$ 434,977
Chrysalis Center, Inc.	Family Matters CT0064	80.00	52	Accepted	\$ 247,829
CT Department of Mental Health and Addiction Services	CT0154 Greater Hartford Mercy Rental Assistance	80.00	53	Accepted	\$ 134,881
Connecticut Department of Housing	CT0352 DOH YHDP Rapid Rehousing	77.32	Not Ranked	Accepted	\$2,373,653
Connecticut Department of Housing	CT0294 DOH CT BOS RRH	77.22	54	Accepted	\$3,247,950
Connecticut Department of Housing	CT0339 CT BOS RRH Bonus 2019	76.11	55	Accepted	\$ 772,740
ImmaCare Inc.	CDF Combo 1-4 FY2024	75.00	56	Accepted	\$ 956,755
Liberty Community Services, Inc.	Safe Haven	75.00	57	Accepted	\$ 874,200
Connecticut Coalition to End Homelessness	CCEH - YHDP Shelter Diversion/Rapid Exit 1	75.00	Not Ranked	Accepted	\$ 350,864
CT Department of Mental Health and Addiction Services	CT0204 Waterbury Rental Assistance	75.00	58	Accepted	\$ 272,094
CT Department of Mental Health and Addiction Services	CT0052 Middletown Liberty Commons	75.00	59	Accepted	\$ 243,153
CT Department of Mental Health and Addiction Services	CT0129 New Haven Safe Haven	75.00	60	Accepted	\$ 199,565
Prudence Crandall Center, Inc.	Rosehill transitional living program	73.00	61	Accepted	\$ 184,897
Chrysalis Center, Inc.	CT0240 Walking Into Wall Street	70.00	62	Accepted	\$ 604,531
CT Department of Mental Health and Addiction Services	CT0131 Hartford Hudson View Commons	70.00	63	Accepted	\$ 167,543
The Salvation Army, a New York Corporation	YHDP Crisis Housing Greater Hartford	70.00	Not Ranked	Accepted	\$ 63,495
CT Department of Mental Health and Addiction Services	CT0246 Greater Middletown Mercy Rental Assistance	65.00	64	Accepted	\$ 326,102
Chrysalis Center, Inc.	CT0066 Greater Hartford Chrysalis Rental Assistance	60.00	65	Accepted	\$1,321,411
CT Department of Mental Health and Addiction Services	CT0141 Torrington CHD Pilots	60.00	66	Accepted	\$ 285,420
CT Department of Mental Health and Addiction Services	CT0076 Windham United Services Rental Assistance	60.00	67	Accepted	\$ 125,486
CT Department of Mental Health and Addiction Services	CT0211 Waterbury Step-up	60.00	68	Accepted	\$ 107,808
CT Department of Mental Health and Addiction Services	CT0237 Waterbury East Main Street	60.00	69	Accepted	\$ 95,754
Center for Human Development Inc.	PILOTS (CT0121)	57.76	70	Accepted	\$ 629,118



Search



1:42 PM 10/7/2024

P. 3 of 2024 Local Competition Selection Results posted to CT BOS Website

chrome-extension://efaidnbmninnbpcjpcglclefindmkaj/https://www.ctbos.org/wp-content/uploads/FINAL-RANKING-REPORT-2024-NOFO.pdf

Grantium™ Microsoft Office Ho... New Tab Adobe Acrobat

E-Sign

ctbos.org / FINAL-R...024-NOFO

Search, Print, Download, Share, AI Assistant

CT Department of Mental Health and Addiction Services	CT0022 Greater Hartford Rental Assistance Consolidated	55.31	71	Accepted	\$3,433,433	
Connecticut Department of Housing	CT0279 Central CAN RRH	50.00	72	Accepted	\$ 414,788	
Youth Continuum, Inc.	Youth Continuum Crisis Housing	48.00	Not Ranked	Accepted	\$ 106,788	
Connecticut Coalition to End Homelessness	CT HMIS - BOS 2024		73	Accepted	\$ 145,891	
Connecticut Coalition to End Homelessness	Greater Hartford HMIS 2024		74	Accepted	\$ 122,740	
Connecticut Department of Housing	CT0295 DOH CT BOS CAN SSO		75	Accepted	\$ 787,475	
Connecticut Department of Housing	CT0379 CT BOS CCADV CE		76	Accepted	\$ 523,381	
Connecticut Department of Housing	CT0338 CT BOS CCADV RRH	45.00	77	Accepted	\$2,991,094	
Chrysalis Center, Inc.	CT0191 St. Philip House	45.00	78	Accepted	\$ 193,412	
New London Homeless Hospitality Center, Inc.	Housing for Health FY2024 Application	45.00	79	Accepted	\$ 44,265	
The Connection, Inc.	CT0312 YHDP CAN 8 Application - Crisis Housing	44.00	Not Ranked	Accepted	\$ 50,000	
Killingly Housing Authority	KILLINGLY CONSOLIDATED WRAP AROUND HOUSING PROGRAM	35.00	80	Accepted	\$ 138,208	
Journey Home, Inc.	YHDP Greater Hartford and Central 2024 Renewal		Not Ranked	Accepted	\$ 180,381	
Youth Continuum, Inc.	Youth Continuum Youth Navigators		Not Ranked	Accepted	\$ 110,857	
Thames River Community Service Inc.	CT0307 TH YHDP		Not Ranked	Accepted	\$ 103,047	
Thames River Community Service Inc.	CT0308 YHDP Youth Navigator Eastern		Not Ranked	Accepted	\$ 73,670	
Women and Families Center	CT0309 YHDP CAN 8 Youth Navigator		Not Ranked	Accepted	\$ 51,100	
The Housing Collective	CT0318 Waterbury Litchfield CAN Youth Navigator		Not Ranked	Accepted	\$ 46,828	
The ACCESS Agency, Inc.	CT0316 YHDP Youth Navigator NE		Not Ranked	Accepted	\$ 34,472	
Connecticut Coalition to End Homelessness	CCEH - YHDP Shelter Diversion/Rapid Exit 2		Not Ranked	Accepted	\$ 26,893	
CT Department of Mental Health and Addiction Services	CT0395 New Reach New Haven PSH Bonus 2023		81	Accepted	\$ 692,490	
Connecticut Department of Housing	CT0356 Prudence Crandall Center's Enhanced Housing Options		82	Accepted	\$ 442,340	
CT Department of Mental Health and Addiction Services	CT0382 CHI The Tyler, Rockview II and Mather Street		83	Accepted	\$ 332,286	
Connecticut Department of Housing	CT0383 New Reach New Haven RRH 2022		84	Accepted	\$ 284,027	
Connecticut Department of Housing	CT0396 Mercy Homeless to Housing Rapid Re-Housing 2023		85	Accepted	\$ 230,540	
CT Department of Mental Health and Addiction Services	CT0376 CHI Village at Park River and The Jefferson		86	Accepted	\$ 225,050	
CT Department of Mental Health and Addiction Services	CT0378 Chrysalis Oxyboxo Lofts		87	Accepted	\$ 132,278	
CT Department of Mental Health and Addiction Services	CT0380 Hartford My Sisters' Place PSH 2022		88	Accepted	\$ 116,190	
St. Vincent DePaul Place, Middletown, Inc.	St Vincent's Commons		89	Accepted	\$ 84,714	
CT Department of Mental Health and Addiction Services	CT0355 St. Mary's Place		90	Accepted	\$ 40,125	
CT Department of Mental Health and Addiction Services	CT0022 Greater Hartford Rental Assistance Consolidated Expansion	87.00	91	Accepted	\$ 713,689	\$ 157,962
Killingly Housing Authority	KILLINGLY CONSOLIDATED WRAP AROUND HOUSING PROGRAM EXPANSION	83.00	92	Accepted Reduced	\$ 66,502	
CT Department of Mental Health and Addiction Services	Apex Northwest PSH	73.00	93	Accepted	\$ 695,412	
CT Department of Mental Health and Addiction Services	CT0054 Middletown Rental Assistance Expansion	68.00	94	Accepted	\$ 209,000	
Connecticut Department of Housing	CT0294 DOH CT BOS RRH NLHHC Expansion	88.00	95	Accepted	\$ 561,732	

Search

1:43 PM
10/7/2024

P. 4 of 2024 Local Competition Selection Results posted to CT BOS Website

Adobe Acrobat: PDF edit, convert, sign tools chrome-extension://efaidnbmnnnibpcajpcglclefindmkaj/https://www.ctbos.org/wp-content/uploads/FINAL-RANKING-REPORT-2024-NOFO.pdf

gn in to Microsoft... Grantium™ Microsoft Office Ho... New Tab Adobe Acrobat

Edit Convert E-Sign

ctbos.org / FINAL-R...024-NOFO

Search icons

Share

AI Assistant

Thames Valley Council for Community Action, Inc.	Homeless Collaborative Network Expansion	89.00	96	Accepted	\$ 390,577
Liberty Community Services, Inc.	Liberty Consolidated Scattered Site Housing Expansion	87.00	97	Accepted	\$ 281,510
CT Department of Mental Health and Addiction Services	CT0265 BOS DMHAS 2014 Expansion	83.00	98	Accepted	\$ 145,132
Community Renewal Team, Inc.	2024 CRT PSH Condoligated Expansion	76.00	99	Accepted	\$ 387,165
Connecticut Department of Housing	CT0295 DOH CT BOS CAN SSO Expansion		100	Accepted	\$ 781,774
CT Department of Mental Health and Addiction Services	Chrysalis Center HEARRT House	68.00	101	Accepted	\$ 211,059
CT Department of Mental Health and Addiction Services	New Reach Greater New Haven PSH	92.00	102	Accepted Reduced	\$ 841,764
CT Department of Mental Health and Addiction Services	Southeastern Mental Health Authority PSH	75.50	103	Accepted	\$ 259,864
CT Department of Mental Health and Addiction Services	Chrysalis Center Cedar Pointe	73.00	104	Accepted	\$ 62,700
Connecticut Department of Housing	CT0339 CT BOS RRH Bonus 2019 CHI NH Expansion	90.00	105	Accepted Reduced	\$ 468,156
Connecticut Department of Housing	CT0339 CT BOS RRH Bonus 2019 CHI MMW Expansion	90.00	106	Accepted Reduced	\$ 434,971
Connecticut Department of Housing	CT0294 DOH CT BOS RRH FSC Expansion	72.00	107	Accepted	\$ 522,984
Connecticut Department of Housing	Safe Futures Joint TH-RRH Program	102.00	108	Accepted	\$ 411,818
Connecticut Department of Housing	FSC and AA RRH 2024	80.00	109	Accepted	\$ 802,072
Connecticut Department of Housing	CT0330 CT BOS CCADV RRH Expansion		110	Accepted	\$2,160,423
CT Department of Mental Health and Addiction Services	BOS Planning Grant		Not Ranked	Accepted	\$1,500,000
New Opportunities Inc.	NOI Meriden PSH Project	*	Not Ranked	Rejected	\$ -
Center for Human Development Inc.	Rapid ReHousing to Home	*	Not Ranked	Rejected	\$ -
Center for Human Development Inc.	Housing to Home +	*	Not Ranked	Rejected	\$ -
New Opportunities Inc.	NOI RH Project - Waterbury	*	Not Ranked	Rejected	\$ -
Center for Human Development Inc.	Housing to Home	*	Not Ranked	Rejected	\$ -

* Project did not meet threshold, therefore was not scored or ranked.

Accepted Reduced new projects submitted original budgets which were corrected/reduced in order to comply with CT BOS CoC's & HUD's competition requirements

HMIS, Coordinated Entry & YHDP Youth Navigator projects are not scored. Also, projects not yet having a full year of operation (e.g. new, 1st year of recipient or change to



Search



1:43 PM
10/7/2024

Local Competition Selection Results

Local Competition Selection Results

Applicant Name	Project Name	Score	Ranked	Status	Amount Requested from HUD	Reallocated Funds
Thames Valley Council for Community Action, Inc.	Homeless Collaborative Network	100.00	1	Accepted	\$ 851,085	
Liberty Community Services, Inc.	Liberty Consolidated Scattered Site Housing	100.00	2	Accepted	\$ 681,263	
Community Renewal Team, Inc.	2024 CRT Project Teach	100.00	3	Accepted	\$ 549,304	
Windham Regional Community Council	Project Home C	100.00	4	Accepted	\$ 511,248	
CT Department of Mental Health and Addiction Services	CT0073 Manchester CHR Rental Assistance	100.00	5	Accepted	\$ 382,054	
St. Vincent DePaul Mission of Waterbury, Inc.	Society of Support (SOS)	100.00	6	Accepted	\$ 376,089	
CT Department of Mental Health and Addiction Services	CT0061 CHR PSH	100.00	7	Accepted	\$ 336,091	
CT Department of Mental Health and Addiction Services	CT0011 New Haven Columbus House Sojourners	100.00	8	Accepted	\$ 257,651	
CT Department of Mental Health and Addiction Services	CT0135 Hartford CHR Soromundi Commons	100.00	9	Accepted	\$ 243,155	
Connecticut Department of Housing	CT0278 Youth Continuum Supportive Housing Project	100.00	10	Accepted	\$ 243,128	
Alliance for Living	Alliance for Living-Supportive Housing Program - Renewal Application FY 2024	100.00	11	Accepted	\$ 184,428	
YWCA Hartford Region, Inc.	Soromundi Commons Supportive Housing	100.00	12	Accepted	\$ 181,707	
CT Department of Mental Health and Addiction Services	CT0142 Torrington Mental Health CT Rental Assistance	100.00	13	Accepted	\$ 181,631	
Friendship Service Center, Inc.	Arch Street Housing	100.00	14	Accepted	\$ 159,839	
Youth Continuum, Inc.	CT0351 Youth Permanent Supportive Housing	100.00	15	Accepted	\$ 96,862	
CT Department of Mental Health and Addiction Services	CT0176 Norwich New London Rental Assistance Boswell	100.00	16	Accepted	\$ 45,500	
CT Department of Mental Health and Addiction Services	CT0164 New Haven Rental Assistance	95.00	17	Accepted	\$3,408,697	
Community Renewal Team, Inc.	2024 CRT H-PASS	95.00	18	Accepted	\$ 686,756	
CT Department of Mental Health and Addiction Services	CT0070 Meriden Wallingford Rushford Rental Assistance	95.00	19	Accepted	\$ 657,020	
CT Department of Mental Health and Addiction Services	CT0089 Norwich New London Rental Assistance	95.00	20	Accepted	\$ 624,160	
CT Department of Mental Health and Addiction Services	CT0062 BHCare Rental Assistance	95.00	21	Accepted	\$ 570,711	
CT Department of Mental Health and Addiction Services	CT0200 Torrington Rental Assistance WHO	95.00	22	Accepted	\$ 356,306	
Liberty Community Services, Inc.	Liberty Consolidated Rapid Rehousing	95.00	23	Accepted	\$ 344,060	
CT Department of Mental Health and Addiction Services	CT0054 Middletown Rental Assistance	95.00	24	Accepted	\$ 329,096	
My Sisters' Place, Inc.	Permanent Supportive Housing at MSP	95.00	25	Accepted	\$ 305,534	
St. Vincent DePaul Place, Middletown, Inc.	SVD Middletown SHP	95.00	26	Accepted	\$ 232,056	
CT Department of Mental Health and Addiction Services	CT0023 Hartford Mary Seymour Place	95.00	27	Accepted	\$ 227,957	
CT Department of Mental Health and Addiction Services	CT0053 Middletown The Connection	95.00	28	Accepted	\$ 204,845	
CT Department of Mental Health and Addiction Services	CT0013 New Haven Cedar Hill	95.00	29	Accepted	\$ 196,067	
Safe Futures, Inc.	Phoenix House Transitional Housing Program	95.00	30	Accepted	\$ 51,596	
Holy Family Home and Shelter, Inc.	Homes Plus	95.00		Fully Reallocated	\$ -	\$ (157,962)
Connecticut Department of Housing	CT0306 CT BOS DOH PSH 1	93.45	31	Accepted	\$ 944,151	
Friendship Service Center, Inc.	TLP	92.00	32	Accepted	\$ 210,007	
Thames River Community Service Inc.	Thames River Family Program	92.00	33	Accepted	\$ 195,983	
CT Department of Mental Health and Addiction Services	CT0297 Pendleton PSH	90.00	34	Accepted	\$ 211,712	

CT Department of Mental Health and Addiction Services	CT0012 New Haven Lucht Hall	90.00	35	Accepted	\$ 164,603
Safe Futures, Inc.	Flora O'Neil Apartments	90.00	36	Accepted	\$ 104,167
New Opportunities Inc.	Meriden SHP	90.00	37	Accepted	\$ 50,644
CT Department of Mental Health and Addiction Services	CT0340 DMHAS BOS PSH 2019	87.83	38	Accepted	\$1,063,740
CT Department of Mental Health and Addiction Services	CT0265 BOS DMHAS 2014	85.92	39	Accepted	\$3,198,097
Connecticut Department of Housing	CT0330 CT BOS CCADV RRH	85.00	40	Accepted	\$3,849,308
Columbus House, Inc	CT0171 CHI Consolidated SHP FY2024	85.00	41	Accepted	\$1,058,836
Community Renewal Team, Inc.	2024 CRT PSH Condolidated	85.00	42	Accepted	\$ 988,243
Friendship Service Center, Inc.	PEAK	85.00	43	Accepted	\$ 641,164
CT Department of Mental Health and Addiction Services	CT0151 Waterbury Housing Plus	85.00	44	Accepted	\$ 450,163
Chrysalis Center, Inc.	CT0139 Hartford Chrysalis Supportive Housing for Veterans	85.00	45	Accepted	\$ 358,061
CT Department of Mental Health and Addiction Services	CT0077 Windham United Services Brick Row	85.00	46	Accepted	\$ 150,927
CT Department of Mental Health and Addiction Services	CT0172 Hartford Sue Ann Shay Place	85.00	47	Accepted	\$ 133,761
CT Department of Mental Health and Addiction Services	CT0286 BOS DMHAS 2015	83.17	48	Accepted	\$2,263,693
CT Department of Mental Health and Addiction Services	CT0161 New Britain CMHA Rental Assistance	80.00	49	Accepted	\$1,037,445
New Opportunities Inc.	Freedom Walk	80.00	50	Accepted	\$ 478,362
Prudence Crandall Center, Inc.	Permanent Supportive Housing for people with disabilities Rosehill	80.00	51	Accepted	\$ 434,977
Chrysalis Center, Inc.	Family Matters CT0064	80.00	52	Accepted	\$ 247,829
CT Department of Mental Health and Addiction Services	CT0154 Greater Hartford Mercy Rental Assistance	80.00	53	Accepted	\$ 134,881
Connecticut Department of Housing	CT0352 DOH YHDP Rapid Rehousing	77.32	Not Ranked	Accepted	\$2,373,653
Connecticut Department of Housing	CT0294 DOH CT BOS RRH	77.22	54	Accepted	\$3,247,950
Connecticut Department of Housing	CT0339 CT BOS RRH Bonus 2019	76.11	55	Accepted	\$ 772,740
ImmaCare Inc.	CDF Combo 1-4 FY2024	75.00	56	Accepted	\$ 956,755
Liberty Community Services, Inc.	Safe Haven	75.00	57	Accepted	\$ 874,200
Connecticut Coalition to End Homelessness	CCEH - YHDP Shelter Diversion/Rapid Exit 1	75.00	Not Ranked	Accepted	\$ 350,864
CT Department of Mental Health and Addiction Services	CT0204 Waterbury Rental Assistance	75.00	58	Accepted	\$ 272,094
CT Department of Mental Health and Addiction Services	CT0052 Middletown Liberty Commons	75.00	59	Accepted	\$ 243,153
CT Department of Mental Health and Addiction Services	CT0129 New Haven Safe Haven	75.00	60	Accepted	\$ 199,565
Prudence Crandall Center, Inc.	Rosehill transitional living program	73.00	61	Accepted	\$ 184,897
Chrysalis Center, Inc.	CT0240 Walking Into Wall Street	70.00	62	Accepted	\$ 604,531
CT Department of Mental Health and Addiction Services	CT0131 Hartford Hudson View Commons	70.00	63	Accepted	\$ 167,543
The Salvation Army, a New York Corporation	YHDP Crisis Housing Greater Hartford	70.00	Not Ranked	Accepted	\$ 63,495
CT Department of Mental Health and Addiction Services	CT0246 Greater Middletown Mercy Rental Assistance	65.00	64	Accepted	\$ 326,102
Chrysalis Center, Inc.	CT0066 Greater Hartford Chrysalis Rental Assistance	60.00	65	Accepted	\$1,321,411
CT Department of Mental Health and Addiction Services	CT0141 Torrington CHD Pilots	60.00	66	Accepted	\$ 285,420
CT Department of Mental Health and Addiction Services	CT0076 Windham United Services Rental Assistance	60.00	67	Accepted	\$ 125,486
CT Department of Mental Health and Addiction Services	CT0211 Waterbury Step-up	60.00	68	Accepted	\$ 107,808
CT Department of Mental Health and Addiction Services	CT0237 Waterbury East Main Street	60.00	69	Accepted	\$ 95,754
Center for Human Development Inc.	PILOTS (CT0121)	57.76	70	Accepted	\$ 629,118

CT Department of Mental Health and Addiction Services	CT0022 Greater Hartford Rental Assistance Consolidated	55.31	71	Accepted	\$3,433,433	
Connecticut Department of Housing	CT0279 Central CAN RRH	50.00	72	Accepted	\$ 414,788	
Youth Continuum, Inc.	Youth Continuum Crisis Housing	48.00	Not Ranked	Accepted	\$ 106,788	
Connecticut Coalition to End Homelessness	CT HMIS - BOS 2024		73	Accepted	\$ 145,891	
Connecticut Coalition to End Homelessness	Greater Hartford HMIS 2024		74	Accepted	\$ 122,740	
Connecticut Department of Housing	CT0295 DOH CT BOS CAN SSO		75	Accepted	\$ 787,475	
Connecticut Department of Housing	CT0379 CT BOS CCADV CE		76	Accepted	\$ 523,381	
Connecticut Department of Housing	CT0338 CT BOS CCADV RRH	45.00	77	Accepted	\$2,991,094	
Chrysalis Center, Inc.	CT0191 St. Philip House	45.00	78	Accepted	\$ 193,412	
New London Homeless Hospitality Center, Inc.	Housing for Health FY2024 Application	45.00	79	Accepted	\$ 44,265	
The Connection, Inc.	CT0312 YHDP CAN 8 Application - Crisis Housing	44.00	Not Ranked	Accepted	\$ 50,000	
Killingly Housing Authority	KILLINGLY CONSOLIDATED WRAP AROUND HOUSING PROGRAM	35.00	80	Accepted	\$ 138,208	
Journey Home, Inc.	YHDP Greater Hartford and Central 2024 Renewal		Not Ranked	Accepted	\$ 180,381	
Youth Continuum, Inc.	Youth Continuum Youth Navigators		Not Ranked	Accepted	\$ 110,857	
Thames River Community Service Inc.	CT0307 TH YHDP		Not Ranked	Accepted	\$ 103,047	
Thames River Community Service Inc.	CT0308 YHDP Youth Navigator Eastern		Not Ranked	Accepted	\$ 73,670	
Women and Families Center	CT0309 YHDP CAN 8 Youth Navigator		Not Ranked	Accepted	\$ 51,100	
The Housing Collective	CT0318 Waterbury Litchfield CAN Youth Navigator		Not Ranked	Accepted	\$ 46,828	
The ACCESS Agency, Inc.	CT0316 YHDP Youth Navigator NE		Not Ranked	Accepted	\$ 34,472	
Connecticut Coalition to End Homelessness	CCEH - YHDP Shelter Diversion/Rapid Exit 2		Not Ranked	Accepted	\$ 26,893	
CT Department of Mental Health and Addiction Services	CT0395 New Reach New Haven PSH Bonus 2023		81	Accepted	\$ 692,490	
Connecticut Department of Housing	CT0356 Prudence Crandall Center's Enhanced Housing Options		82	Accepted	\$ 442,340	
CT Department of Mental Health and Addiction Services	CT0382 CHI The Tyler, Rockview II and Mather Street		83	Accepted	\$ 332,286	
Connecticut Department of Housing	CT0383 New Reach New Haven RRH 2022		84	Accepted	\$ 284,027	
Connecticut Department of Housing	CT0396 Mercy Homeless to Housing Rapid Re-Housing 2023		85	Accepted	\$ 230,540	
CT Department of Mental Health and Addiction Services	CT0376 CHI Village at Park River and The Jefferson		86	Accepted	\$ 225,050	
CT Department of Mental Health and Addiction Services	CT0378 Chrysalis Oxyboxo Lofts		87	Accepted	\$ 132,278	
CT Department of Mental Health and Addiction Services	CT0380 Hartford My Sisters' Place PSH 2022		88	Accepted	\$ 116,190	
St. Vincent DePaul Place, Middletown, Inc.	St Vincent's Commons		89	Accepted	\$ 84,714	
CT Department of Mental Health and Addiction Services	CT0355 St. Mary's Place		90	Accepted	\$ 40,125	
CT Department of Mental Health and Addiction Services	CT0022 Greater Hartford Rental Assistance Consolidated Expansion	87.00	91	Accepted	\$ 713,689	\$ 157,962
Killingly Housing Authority	KILLINGLY CONSOLIDATED WRAP AROUND HOUSING PROGRAM EXPANSION	83.00	92	Accepted Reduced	\$ 66,502	
CT Department of Mental Health and Addiction Services	Apex Northwest PSH	73.00	93	Accepted	\$ 695,412	
CT Department of Mental Health and Addiction Services	CT0054 Middletown Rental Assistance Expansion	68.00	94	Accepted	\$ 209,000	
Connecticut Department of Housing	CT0294 DOH CT BOS RRH NLHHC Expansion	88.00	95	Accepted	\$ 561,732	

Thames Valley Council for Community Action, Inc.	Homeless Collaborative Network Expansion	89.00	96	Accepted	\$ 390,577
Liberty Community Services, Inc.	Liberty Consolidated Scattered Site Housing Expansion	87.00	97	Accepted	\$ 281,510
CT Department of Mental Health and Addiction Services	CT0265 BOS DMHAS 2014 Expansion	83.00	98	Accepted	\$ 145,132
Community Renewal Team, Inc.	2024 CRT PSH Condolitated Expansion	76.00	99	Accepted	\$ 387,165
Connecticut Department of Housing	CT0295 DOH CT BOS CAN SSO Expansion		100	Accepted	\$ 781,774
CT Department of Mental Health and Addiction Services	Chrysalis Center HEARRT House	68.00	101	Accepted	\$ 211,059
CT Department of Mental Health and Addiction Services	New Reach Greater New Haven PSH	92.00	102	Accepted Reduced	\$ 841,764
CT Department of Mental Health and Addiction Services	Southeastern Mental Health Authority PSH	75.50	103	Accepted	\$ 259,864
CT Department of Mental Health and Addiction Services	Chrysalis Center Cedar Pointe	73.00	104	Accepted	\$ 62,700
Connecticut Department of Housing	CT0339 CT BOS RRH Bonus 2019 CHI NH Expansion	90.00	105	Accepted Reduced	\$ 468,156
Connecticut Department of Housing	CT0339 CT BOS RRH Bonus 2019 CHI MMW Expansion	90.00	106	Accepted Reduced	\$ 434,971
Connecticut Department of Housing	CT0294 DOH CT BOS RRH FSC Expansion	72.00	107	Accepted	\$ 522,984
Connecticut Department of Housing	Safe Futures Joint TH-RRH Program	102.00	108	Accepted	\$ 411,818
Connecticut Department of Housing	FSC and AA RRH 2024	80.00	109	Accepted	\$ 802,072
Connecticut Department of Housing	CT0330 CT BOS CCADV RRH Expansion		110	Accepted	\$2,160,423
CT Department of Mental Health and Addiction Services	BOS Planning Grant		Not Ranked	Accepted	\$1,500,000
New Opportunities Inc.	NOI Meriden PSH Project	*	Not Ranked	Rejected	\$ -
Center for Human Development Inc.	Rapid ReHousing to Home	*	Not Ranked	Rejected	\$ -
Center for Human Development Inc.	Housing to Home +	*	Not Ranked	Rejected	\$ -
New Opportunities Inc.	NOI RH Project - Waterbury	*	Not Ranked	Rejected	\$ -
Center for Human Development Inc.	Housing to Home	*	Not Ranked	Rejected	\$ -

* Project did not meet threshold, therefore was not scored or ranked.

Accepted Reduced new projects submitted original budgets which were corrected/reduced in order to comply with CT BOS CoC's & HUD's competition requirements

HMIS, Coordinated Entry & YHDP Youth Navigator projects are not scored. Also, projects not yet having a full year of operation (e.g. new, 1st year of recipient or change to

Housing Leveraging Commitments



STATE OF CONNECTICUT
DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING



September 4, 2024

Sharon Castelli, CEO
Chrysalis Center Inc.
255 Homestead Ave
Hartford, CT 06112

Dear Ms. Castelli:

Project Name: Chrysalis Center Cedar Pointe
Source of Commitment: Section 811 Housing Vouchers

This letter of commitment confirms that the CT Department of Housing has committed six 811 vouchers (non CoC/ESG funded Project-based Rental Assistance) for all of the units in the Chrysalis Center Cedar Pointe proposed new PSH project located in Newington, CT. This commitment of rental assistance is funded by Section 811 vouchers for the six units (100% of units) proposed to receive CoC program funding via the new project application submitted by the CT Department of Mental Health in the 2024 CoC Program Competition (project name is Chrysalis Center Cedar Pointe).

These certificates will be provided on the grant start date of the project if awarded through the 2024 CoC Competition. Assuming the project is awarded CoC funds and a grant is executed, these six units will be available for program participants by no later than 7 /1/25.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Steve DiLella".

Steve DiLella
Director, Individual and Family Support Program
CT Department of Housing



STATE OF CONNECTICUT
DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING



September 4, 2024

Sharon Castelli, CEO
Chrysalis Center Inc.
255 Homestead Ave
Hartford, CT 06112

Dear Ms. Castelli:

Project Name: Chrysalis Center Cedar Pointe
Source of Commitment: CT State Bond Funding

This letter of commitment confirms that the CT Department of Housing has committed Connecticut State Bond Funding for Chrysalis Center Cedar Pointe in the amount of \$333,000 for the six units of housing for individuals (100% of the proposed HUD PSH CoC project). This funding will subsidize all 6 units in the project located in Newington, CT. This commitment of non-CoC/ESG funding will subsidize 6 units with capital and operating funding for the new project application submitted by the CT Department of Mental Health and Addiction Services (DMHAS) in the 2024 CoC Program Competition (project name is Chrysalis Center Cedar Pointe).

These funds have been committed, and this commitment is also codified in a DOH contract that has already been awarded which covers operating costs for twenty years for the proposed project cited above. As such, these subsidies will be provided beginning on the grant start date of the project, if awarded through the 2024 CoC Competition. Assuming the project is awarded CoC funds and a grant agreement is executed, these 6 units will be available for program participants as of a presumed project start date of 7/1/25.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Steve DiLella".

Steve DiLella
CT Department of Housing

Healthcare Formal Agreements



STATE OF CONNECTICUT
DEPARTMENT OF MENTAL HEALTH AND ADDICTION SERVICES
A Healthcare Service Agency

NED LAMONT
GOVERNOR

NANCY NAVARRETTA, MA, LPC, NCC
COMMISSIONER

September 4, 2024

To Whom It May Concern:

This letter of commitment confirms a formal agreement between the Connecticut Department of Mental Health and Addiction Services (DMHAS) and the Connecticut Balance of State Continuum of Care (CT BOS). DMHAS will provide Substance Use Disorder (SUD) treatment and recovery services for all program participants who qualify and choose those services in the proposed new PSH project with the project name Southeastern Mental Health Authority PSH, which has been submitted as part of the CT BOS 2024 Consolidated CoC Application. The services will be tailored to the needs of the project participants and provided by Southeastern Mental Health Authority, the Local Mental Health Authority (LMHA), which operates under the auspices of DMHAS.

These SUD treatment and recovery services will be provided beginning on the grant start date of the project if awarded through the 2024 CoC Competition and for the full project operating year. DMHAS will continue to provide these services for the full operating year for each subsequent renewal. The annual value of these services, estimating that 5 of the 10 program participants use SUD treatment or recovery services at \$12,993 per program participant, is \$64,966 which represents 25 percent of the funding being requested (\$259,864). This valuation is consistent with costs for comparable services provided by LMHAs and not supported by CoC grant funds.

If awarded by HUD, the project will serve 10 households who meet DedicatedPLUS eligibility criteria as defined by HUD and who will be referred and prioritized by the applicable Coordinated Access Network in accordance with the written standards adopted by the CT Balance of State Continuum of Care. Participant eligibility for the project is based on HUD CoC Program fair housing requirements and is not restricted by DMHAS.

Sincerely,

Alice M. Minervino, MA
Director of Housing & Homeless Services
Department of Mental Health & Addiction Services
CT BOS Co-Chair

CC: Steve DiLella, CT BOS Co-Chair
Stephanie Lazarus, CT BOS Co-Chair
John Merz, CT BOS Co-Chair



STATE OF CONNECTICUT
DEPARTMENT OF MENTAL HEALTH AND ADDICTION SERVICES
A Healthcare Service Agency

NED LAMONT
GOVERNOR

NANCY NAVARRETTA, MA, LPC, NCC
COMMISSIONER

September 4, 2024

To Whom It May Concern:

This letter of commitment confirms a formal agreement between the Connecticut Department of Mental Health and Addiction Services (DMHAS) and the Connecticut Balance of State Continuum of Care (CT BOS). DMHAS will provide Substance Use Disorder (SUD) treatment and recovery services for all program participants who qualify and choose those services in the proposed PSH new project with the project name New Reach Greater New Haven PSH which has been submitted as part of the CT BOS 2024 Consolidated CoC Application. The services will be tailored to the needs of the project participants and provided by Local Mental Health Authorities (LMHAs), which operate under the auspices of DMHAS. These SUD treatment and recovery services will be provided beginning on the grant start date of the project if awarded through the 2024 CoC Competition and for the full project operating year. DMHAS will continue to provide these services for the full operating year for each subsequent renewal.

The annual value of these services, estimating that 17 program participants in the 30 program households use SUD treatment or recovery services at \$12,379 per program participant, is \$210,441 which represents 25 percent of the funding being requested (\$841,764). This valuation is consistent with costs for comparable services provided by LMHAs and not supported by CoC grant funds.

If awarded by HUD, the project will serve 100% households who meet DedicatedPLUS eligibility criteria as defined by HUD and who will be referred and prioritized by the applicable Coordinated Access Network in accordance with the written standards adopted by the CT Balance of State Continuum of Care. Participant eligibility for the project is based on HUD CoC Program fair housing requirements and is not restricted by DMHAS.

Sincerely,

Alice M. Minervino, MA
Director of Housing & Homeless Services
Department of Mental Health & Addiction Services
CT BOS Co-Chair

CC: Steve DiLella, CT BOS Co-Chair
Stephanie Lazarus, CT BOS Co-Chair
John Merz, CT BOS Co-Chair

(860) 418-7000
410 Capitol Avenue, P.O. Box 341431, Hartford, CT 06134 <https://portal.ct.gov/dmhas>
An Affirmative Action/Equal Opportunity Employer